

A new approach to the Leech lattice

Robert A. Wilson

Queen Mary, University of London

University of Cambridge, 21st October 2009

INTRODUCTION

The Leech lattice

The Leech lattice is a 24-dimensional lattice (i.e. discrete additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^{24}) with many remarkable properties.

The Leech lattice

The Leech lattice is a 24-dimensional lattice (i.e. discrete additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^{24}) with many remarkable properties.

- ▶ It is the unique **even self-dual** 24-dimensional lattice with **no roots** (i.e. vectors of norm 2).

The Leech lattice

The Leech lattice is a 24-dimensional lattice (i.e. discrete additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^{24}) with many remarkable properties.

- ▶ It is the unique **even self-dual** 24-dimensional lattice with **no roots** (i.e. vectors of norm 2).
- ▶ Its 196560 minimal vectors (of norm 4) describe the unique way to **pack** 196560 (the maximum possible) **unit spheres**, all touching a given unit sphere.

The Leech lattice

The Leech lattice is a 24-dimensional lattice (i.e. discrete additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^{24}) with many remarkable properties.

- ▶ It is the unique **even self-dual** 24-dimensional lattice with **no roots** (i.e. vectors of norm 2).
- ▶ Its 196560 minimal vectors (of norm 4) describe the unique way to **pack** 196560 (the maximum possible) **unit spheres**, all touching a given unit sphere.
- ▶ Its automorphism group is (a double cover of) **Conway's sporadic simple group**.

Constructing the Leech lattice

- ▶ The standard construction uses the (binary) **Golay code**, the unique (linear) **perfect 3-error-correcting** code of any length (or rather the extended code).

Constructing the Leech lattice

- ▶ The standard construction uses the (binary) **Golay code**, the unique (linear) **perfect 3-error-correcting** code of any length (or rather the extended code).
- ▶ There is also a construction in **12 complex** dimensions, using the **ternary Golay code**,

Constructing the Leech lattice

- ▶ The standard construction uses the (binary) **Golay code**, the unique (linear) **perfect 3-error-correcting** code of any length (or rather the extended code).
- ▶ There is also a construction in **12 complex** dimensions, using the **ternary Golay code**,
- ▶ and a construction in **6 quaternionic** dimensions, using the **hexacode**, a certain code over the field of order 4.

Constructing the Leech lattice

- ▶ The standard construction uses the (binary) **Golay code**, the unique (linear) **perfect 3-error-correcting** code of any length (or rather the extended code).
- ▶ There is also a construction in **12 complex** dimensions, using the **ternary Golay code**,
- ▶ and a construction in **6 quaternionic** dimensions, using the **hexacode**, a certain code over the field of order 4.
- ▶ Why not **3 octonionic** dimensions?!

Constructing the Leech lattice

- ▶ The standard construction uses the (binary) **Golay code**, the unique (linear) **perfect 3-error-correcting** code of any length (or rather the extended code).
- ▶ There is also a construction in **12 complex** dimensions, using the **ternary Golay code**,
- ▶ and a construction in **6 quaternionic** dimensions, using the **hexacode**, a certain code over the field of order 4.
- ▶ Why not **3 octonionic** dimensions?!
- ▶ Several attempts have been made by several people over several decades to find such a construction, without any real success—until now.

PRELIMINARIES: OCTONIONS AND E_8

Octonions

The (real) **octonion algebra** \mathbb{O} is an 8-dimensional (non-associative) algebra

Octonions

The (real) **octonion algebra** \mathbb{O} is an 8-dimensional (non-associative) algebra

- ▶ with an **orthonormal basis** $\{1 = i_\infty, i_0, \dots, i_6\}$ labelled by the projective line $PL(7) = \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{F}_7$,

Octonions

The (real) **octonion algebra** \mathbb{O} is an 8-dimensional (non-associative) algebra

- ▶ with an **orthonormal basis** $\{1 = i_\infty, i_0, \dots, i_6\}$ labelled by the projective line $PL(7) = \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{F}_7$,
- ▶ with **product** given by $i_0 i_1 = -i_1 i_0 = i_3$ and images under the subscript permutations $t \mapsto t + 1$ and $t \mapsto 2t$.

Octonions

The (real) **octonion algebra** \mathbb{O} is an 8-dimensional (non-associative) algebra

- ▶ with an **orthonormal basis** $\{1 = i_\infty, i_0, \dots, i_6\}$ labelled by the projective line $PL(7) = \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{F}_7$,
- ▶ with **product** given by $i_0 i_1 = -i_1 i_0 = i_3$ and images under the subscript permutations $t \mapsto t + 1$ and $t \mapsto 2t$.
- ▶ The **norm** is $N(x) = x\bar{x}$, where \bar{x} denotes the octonion **conjugate** of x , and satisfies $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$.

Octonions

The (real) **octonion algebra** \mathbb{O} is an 8-dimensional (non-associative) algebra

- ▶ with an **orthonormal basis** $\{1 = i_\infty, i_0, \dots, i_6\}$ labelled by the projective line $PL(7) = \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{F}_7$,
- ▶ with **product** given by $i_0 i_1 = -i_1 i_0 = i_3$ and images under the subscript permutations $t \mapsto t + 1$ and $t \mapsto 2t$.
- ▶ The **norm** is $N(x) = x\bar{x}$, where \bar{x} denotes the octonion **conjugate** of x , and satisfies $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$.
- ▶ The **Moufang laws** hold in the octonions:
 $(xy)(zx) = x(yz)x$, $x(y(xz)) = (xyx)z$ and $((yx)z)x = y(xzx)$.

E_8

The E_8 root system embeds in this algebra in various interesting ways. For example,

E_8

The E_8 root system embeds in this algebra in various interesting ways. For example,

- ▶ take the 240 roots to be the 112 octonions $\pm i_t \pm i_u$ for any distinct $t, u \in PL(7)$, and

E_8

The E_8 root system embeds in this algebra in various interesting ways. For example,

- ▶ take the 240 roots to be the 112 octonions $\pm i_t \pm i_u$ for any distinct $t, u \in PL(7)$, and
- ▶ the 128 octonions $\frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i_0 \pm \cdots \pm i_6)$ which have an **odd** number of minus signs.

E_8

The E_8 root system embeds in this algebra in various interesting ways. For example,

- ▶ take the 240 roots to be the 112 octonions $\pm i_t \pm i_u$ for any distinct $t, u \in PL(7)$, and
- ▶ the 128 octonions $\frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i_0 \pm \cdots \pm i_6)$ which have an odd number of minus signs.
- ▶ Denote by L the lattice spanned by these 240 octonions,

E_8

The E_8 root system embeds in this algebra in various interesting ways. For example,

- ▶ take the 240 roots to be the 112 octonions $\pm i_t \pm i_u$ for any distinct $t, u \in PL(7)$, and
- ▶ the 128 octonions $\frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i_0 \pm \cdots \pm i_6)$ which have an odd number of minus signs.
- ▶ Denote by L the lattice spanned by these 240 octonions,
- ▶ and write R for \bar{L} .

E_8

The E_8 root system embeds in this algebra in various interesting ways. For example,

- ▶ take the 240 roots to be the 112 octonions $\pm i_t \pm i_u$ for any distinct $t, u \in PL(7)$, and
- ▶ the 128 octonions $\frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i_0 \pm \cdots \pm i_6)$ which have an odd number of minus signs.
- ▶ Denote by L the lattice spanned by these 240 octonions,
- ▶ and write R for \bar{L} .
- ▶ Let $s = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + i_0 + \cdots + i_6)$, so that $s \in L$ and $\bar{s} \in R$.

Integral octonions

- ▶ $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}(1 + i_0)L = \frac{1}{2}R(1 + i_0)$ is closed under multiplication, and forms a copy of the Coxeter–Dickson **integral octonions**.

Integral octonions

- ▶ $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}(1 + i_0)L = \frac{1}{2}R(1 + i_0)$ is closed under multiplication, and forms a copy of the Coxeter–Dickson **integral octonions**.
- ▶ $L = (1 + i_0)A_0$ and $R = A_0(1 + i_0)$.

Integral octonions

- ▶ $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}(1 + i_0)L = \frac{1}{2}R(1 + i_0)$ is closed under multiplication, and forms a copy of the Coxeter–Dickson **integral octonions**.
- ▶ $L = (1 + i_0)A_0$ and $R = A_0(1 + i_0)$.
- ▶ It follows immediately from the **Moufang law** $(xy)(zx) = x(yz)x$ that $LR = (1 + i_0)A_0(1 + i_0)$.

Integral octonions

- ▶ $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}(1 + i_0)L = \frac{1}{2}R(1 + i_0)$ is closed under multiplication, and forms a copy of the Coxeter–Dickson **integral octonions**.
- ▶ $L = (1 + i_0)A_0$ and $R = A_0(1 + i_0)$.
- ▶ It follows immediately from the **Moufang law** $(xy)(zx) = x(yz)x$ that $LR = (1 + i_0)A_0(1 + i_0)$.
- ▶ Hence $B := \frac{1}{2}(1 + i_0)A_0(1 + i_0)$ satisfies

$$LR = 2B$$

$$BL = L$$

$$RB = R$$

Properties of L, R and B

▶ $L\bar{s} = 2B.$

Properties of L, R and B

- ▶ $L\bar{s} = 2B$.
- ▶ More generally, if ρ is any root in R then $L\rho = 2B$.

Properties of L, R and B

- ▶ $L\bar{s} = 2B$.
- ▶ More generally, if ρ is any root in R then $L\rho = 2B$.
- ▶ $2L \subset L\bar{s} \subset L$, and therefore $2L \subset Ls \subset L$.

Properties of L, R and B

- ▶ $L\bar{s} = 2B$.
- ▶ More generally, if ρ is any root in R then $L\rho = 2B$.
- ▶ $2L \subset L\bar{s} \subset L$, and therefore $2L \subset Ls \subset L$.
- ▶ $L\bar{s} + Ls = L$, so by self-duality of L we have $L\bar{s} \cap Ls = 2L$.

DEFINITIONS:

THE LEECH LATTICE
AND
THE CONWAY GROUP

The octonion Leech lattice

The **octonionic Leech lattice** $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbb{O}}$ is the set of triples (x, y, z) of octonions, with norm $N(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} + z\bar{z})$, such that

The octonion Leech lattice

The **octonionic Leech lattice** $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbb{O}}$ is the set of triples (x, y, z) of octonions, with norm

$$N(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} + z\bar{z}), \text{ such that}$$

1. $x, y, z \in L$;

The octonion Leech lattice

The **octonionic Leech lattice** $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbb{O}}$ is the set of triples (x, y, z) of octonions, with norm

$N(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} + z\bar{z})$, such that

1. $x, y, z \in L$;
2. $x + y, x + z, y + z \in L\bar{S}$;

The octonion Leech lattice

The **octonionic Leech lattice** $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbb{O}}$ is the set of triples (x, y, z) of octonions, with norm

$N(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} + z\bar{z})$, such that

1. $x, y, z \in L$;
2. $x + y, x + z, y + z \in L\bar{s}$;
3. $x + y + z \in Ls$.

The minimal vectors

The minimal vectors of Λ are the following 196560 vectors of norm 4, where λ is a root of L and $j, k \in J = \{\pm i_t \mid t \in PL(7)\}$:

Vectors		Number
$(2\lambda, 0, 0)$	$3 \times 240 =$	720
$(\lambda\bar{s}, \pm(\lambda\bar{s})j, 0)$	$3 \times 240 \times 16 =$	11520
$((\lambda s)j, \pm\lambda k, \pm(\lambda j)k)$	$3 \times 240 \times 16 \times 16 =$	184320
	Total =	196560

The octonionic Conway group

The following maps are symmetries of the octonionic Leech lattice, and generate the double cover $2 \cdot \text{Co}_1$ of Conway's group:

The octonionic Conway group

The following maps are symmetries of the octonionic Leech lattice, and generate the double cover $2 \cdot \text{Co}_1$ of Conway's group:

- ▶ Coordinate permutations

The octonionic Conway group

The following maps are symmetries of the octonionic Leech lattice, and generate the double cover $2 \cdot \text{Co}_1$ of Conway's group:

- ▶ Coordinate permutations
- ▶ $r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$

The octonionic Conway group

The following maps are symmetries of the octonionic Leech lattice, and generate the double cover $2 \cdot \text{Co}_1$ of Conway's group:

- ▶ Coordinate permutations
- ▶ $r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$
- ▶ $\frac{1}{2}R_{1-i_0}R_{1+i_t} : (x, y, z) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}((x(1-i_0))(1+i_t), (y(1-i_0))(1+i_t), (z(1-i_0))(1+i_t))$

The octonionic Conway group

The following maps are symmetries of the octonionic Leech lattice, and generate the double cover $2 \cdot \text{Co}_1$ of Conway's group:

- ▶ Coordinate permutations
- ▶ $r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$
- ▶ $\frac{1}{2}R_{1-i_0}R_{1+i_t} : (x, y, z) \mapsto$
 $\frac{1}{2}((x(1-i_0))(1+i_t), (y(1-i_0))(1+i_t), (z(1-i_0))(1+i_t))$
- ▶ The matrix

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{s} & \bar{s} \\ s & -1 & 1 \\ s & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

interpreted as the map

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}((y+z)s, x\bar{s} - y + z, x\bar{s} + y - z).$$

Remarks

- ▶ The above construction is deceptively simple. In fact, however, finding the correct definition was not at all easy.

Remarks

- ▶ The above construction is deceptively simple. In fact, however, finding the correct definition was not at all easy.
- ▶ I was surely not the first person to notice, around 1980, that the number of minimal vectors in the Leech lattice is

$$196560 = 3 \times 240 \times (1 + 16 + 16 \times 16).$$

Remarks

- ▶ The above construction is deceptively simple. In fact, however, finding the correct definition was not at all easy.
- ▶ I was surely not the first person to notice, around 1980, that the number of minimal vectors in the Leech lattice is

$$196560 = 3 \times 240 \times (1 + 16 + 16 \times 16).$$

- ▶ I was surely not the first person, therefore, to try to build the Leech lattice from triples of integral octonions.

Remarks

- ▶ The above construction is deceptively simple. In fact, however, finding the correct definition was not at all easy.
- ▶ I was surely not the first person to notice, around 1980, that the number of minimal vectors in the Leech lattice is

$$196560 = 3 \times 240 \times (1 + 16 + 16 \times 16).$$

- ▶ I was surely not the first person, therefore, to try to build the Leech lattice from triples of integral octonions.
- ▶ But I believe I am the first person to provide a convincing explanation for this numerology.

Remarks

- ▶ The above construction is deceptively simple. In fact, however, finding the correct definition was not at all easy.
- ▶ I was surely not the first person to notice, around 1980, that the number of minimal vectors in the Leech lattice is

$$196560 = 3 \times 240 \times (1 + 16 + 16 \times 16).$$

- ▶ I was surely not the first person, therefore, to try to build the Leech lattice from triples of integral octonions.
- ▶ But I believe I am the first person to provide a convincing explanation for this numerology.
- ▶ One can also give nice descriptions of many of the maximal subgroups, for example the Suzuki chain subgroups.

SOME PROOFS, I:

THIS IS THE LEECH
LATTICE

Some symmetries

- ▶ The definition of Λ is (obviously) invariant under **permutations of the three coordinates**, and under **sign-changes on any coordinates**.

Some symmetries

- ▶ The definition of Λ is (obviously) invariant under **permutations of the three coordinates**, and under **sign-changes on any coordinates**.
- ▶ It is also invariant under the map

$$r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$$

Some symmetries

- ▶ The definition of Λ is (obviously) invariant under **permutations of the three coordinates**, and under **sign-changes on any coordinates**.
- ▶ It is also invariant under the map

$$r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$$

- ▶ Certainly $Li_t = L$, so the first condition of the definition is preserved.

Some symmetries

- ▶ The definition of Λ is (obviously) invariant under **permutations of the three coordinates**, and under **sign-changes on any coordinates**.
- ▶ It is also invariant under the map

$$r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$$

- ▶ Certainly $Li_t = L$, so the first condition of the definition is preserved.
- ▶ Then $y(1 - i_t) \in LR = 2B = L\bar{S}$, so the second condition is preserved.

Some symmetries

- ▶ The definition of Λ is (obviously) invariant under **permutations of the three coordinates**, and under **sign-changes on any coordinates**.
- ▶ It is also invariant under the map

$$r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$$

- ▶ Certainly $Li_t = L$, so the first condition of the definition is preserved.
- ▶ Then $y(1 - i_t) \in LR = 2B = L\bar{S}$, so the second condition is preserved.
- ▶ Finally $(y + z)(1 - i_t) \in 2BL = 2L \subset Ls$, so the third condition is preserved also.

Some vectors in the Leech lattice

Suppose that λ is any root in L .

Some vectors in the Leech lattice

Suppose that λ is any root in L .

- ▶ Then the vector $(\lambda s, \lambda, -\lambda)$ lies in Λ , since $Ls \subseteq L$ and $\lambda s + \lambda = \lambda(s + 1) = -\lambda \bar{s}$.

Some vectors in the Leech lattice

Suppose that λ is any root in L .

- ▶ Then the vector $(\lambda s, \lambda, -\lambda)$ lies in Λ , since $Ls \subseteq L$ and $\lambda s + \lambda = \lambda(s + 1) = -\lambda \bar{s}$.
- ▶ Therefore Λ contains the vectors $(\lambda s, \lambda, \lambda) + (\lambda, \lambda s, -\lambda) = -(\lambda \bar{s}, \lambda \bar{s}, 0)$, that is, all vectors $(2\beta, 2\beta, 0)$ with β a root in $\frac{1}{2}L\bar{s} = B$.

Some vectors in the Leech lattice

Suppose that λ is any root in L .

- ▶ Then the vector $(\lambda s, \lambda, -\lambda)$ lies in Λ , since $Ls \subseteq L$ and $\lambda s + \lambda = \lambda(s + 1) = -\lambda \bar{s}$.
- ▶ Therefore Λ contains the vectors $(\lambda s, \lambda, \lambda) + (\lambda, \lambda s, -\lambda) = -(\lambda \bar{s}, \lambda \bar{s}, 0)$, that is, all vectors $(2\beta, 2\beta, 0)$ with β a root in $\frac{1}{2}L\bar{s} = B$.
- ▶ Hence Λ also contains

$$(\lambda(1+i_0), \lambda(1+i_0), 0) + (\lambda(1-i_0), -\lambda(1+i_0), 0) = (2\lambda, 0, 0).$$

The minimal vectors

Applying the above symmetries, Λ contains the following 196560 vectors of norm 4, where λ is a root of L and $j, k \in J = \{\pm i_t \mid t \in PL(7)\}$:

Vectors		Number
$(2\lambda, 0, 0)$	$3 \times 240 =$	720
$(\lambda\bar{s}, \pm(\lambda\bar{s})j, 0)$	$3 \times 240 \times 16 =$	11520
$((\lambda s)j, \pm\lambda k, \pm(\lambda j)k)$	$3 \times 240 \times 16 \times 16 =$	184320
	Total =	196560

Identification with the real Leech lattice

I claim that the 196560 vectors listed above span Λ .

Identification with the real Leech lattice

I claim that the 196560 vectors listed above span Λ .

- ▶ For if $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda$, then by adding suitable vectors of the third type, we may reduce z to 0.

Identification with the real Leech lattice

I claim that the 196560 vectors listed above span Λ .

- ▶ For if $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda$, then by adding suitable vectors of the third type, we may reduce z to 0.
- ▶ Then we know that $y \in L\bar{s}$, so by adding suitable vectors of the second type we may reduce y to 0 also.

Identification with the real Leech lattice

I claim that the 196560 vectors listed above span Λ .

- ▶ For if $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda$, then by adding suitable vectors of the third type, we may reduce z to 0.
- ▶ Then we know that $y \in L\bar{s}$, so by adding suitable vectors of the second type we may reduce y to 0 also.
- ▶ Finally we have that $x \in L\bar{s} \cap Ls = 2L$ so we can reduce x to 0 also.

Identification with the real Leech lattice

I claim that the 196560 vectors listed above span Λ .

- ▶ For if $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda$, then by adding suitable vectors of the third type, we may reduce z to 0.
- ▶ Then we know that $y \in L\bar{s}$, so by adding suitable vectors of the second type we may reduce y to 0 also.
- ▶ Finally we have that $x \in L\bar{s} \cap Ls = 2L$ so we can reduce x to 0 also.
- ▶ Thus the claim is proved.

Identification with the real Leech lattice

I claim that the 196560 vectors listed above span Λ .

- ▶ For if $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda$, then by adding suitable vectors of the third type, we may reduce z to 0.
- ▶ Then we know that $y \in L\bar{s}$, so by adding suitable vectors of the second type we may reduce y to 0 also.
- ▶ Finally we have that $x \in L\bar{s} \cap Ls = 2L$ so we can reduce x to 0 also.
- ▶ Thus the claim is proved.

At this stage it is easy to identify Λ with the Leech lattice in a number of different ways.

The MOG labelling

- ▶ Label the coordinates of each brick of the MOG as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 & i_0 \\ \hline i_4 & i_5 \\ \hline i_2 & i_6 \\ \hline i_1 & i_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The MOG labelling

- ▶ Label the coordinates of each brick of the MOG as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \quad i_0 \\ \hline i_4 \quad i_5 \\ \hline i_2 \quad i_6 \\ \hline i_1 \quad i_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- ▶ the vectors $(1 - i_0)(s, -1, -1)$ and $s(-s, 1, 1)$ are then

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \quad \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline -3 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \hline 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \hline 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \hline 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

...continued

- ▶ These vectors are in the standard Leech lattice, and in the octonionic Leech lattice.

...continued

- ▶ These vectors are in the standard Leech lattice, and in the octonionic Leech lattice.
- ▶ Similarly and by symmetry, the vectors $(1 \pm i_t)(s, 1, 1)$ are in both Leech lattices.

...continued

- ▶ These vectors are in the standard Leech lattice, and in the octonionic Leech lattice.
- ▶ Similarly and by symmetry, the vectors $(1 \pm i_t)(s, 1, 1)$ are in both Leech lattices.
- ▶ But, as we have seen, these vectors, together with images under (octonionic) **coordinate permutations** and **sign-changes**, span the lattice.

The lattice is self-dual

An alternative approach is to show directly from our definition that Λ is an **even self-dual** lattice with **no vectors of norm 2**, whence it is the Leech lattice by Conway's characterisation.

SOME PROOFS, II:

THIS IS THE CONWAY
GROUP

Some 'diagonal' symmetries

- ▶ **Reflection** in r (an octonion of norm 1) is the map $x \mapsto -r\bar{x}r$. In particular, $s = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + i_t)\bar{s}(1 + i_t)$.

Some 'diagonal' symmetries

- ▶ **Reflection** in r (an octonion of norm 1) is the map $x \mapsto -r\bar{x}r$. In particular, $s = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + i_t)\bar{s}(1 + i_t)$.
- ▶ Using R_a to denote right-multiplication by a , the **Moufang law** $R_a R_b R_a = R_{aba}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}R_s R_{1-i_0} R_{1+i_t} &= R_{1+i_0} R_{1-i_t} R_s \\R_{\bar{s}} R_{1-i_0} R_{1+i_t} &= R_{1+i_0} R_{1-i_t} R_{\bar{s}}.\end{aligned}$$

Some 'diagonal' symmetries

- ▶ **Reflection** in r (an octonion of norm 1) is the map $x \mapsto -r\bar{x}r$. In particular, $s = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + i_t)\bar{s}(1 + i_t)$.
- ▶ Using R_a to denote right-multiplication by a , the **Moufang law** $R_a R_b R_a = R_{aba}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}R_s R_{1-i_0} R_{1+i_t} &= R_{1+i_0} R_{1-i_t} R_s \\R_{\bar{s}} R_{1-i_0} R_{1+i_t} &= R_{1+i_0} R_{1-i_t} R_{\bar{s}}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(L(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t) &= (LR)L = 2BL = 2L \\((L\bar{s})(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t) &= ((L(1 + i_0))(1 - i_t))\bar{s} = 2L\bar{s} \\((Ls)(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t) &= ((L(1 + i_0))(1 - i_t))s = 2Ls.\end{aligned}$$

Some 'diagonal' symmetries

- ▶ **Reflection** in r (an octonion of norm 1) is the map $x \mapsto -r\bar{x}r$. In particular, $s = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + i_t)\bar{s}(1 + i_t)$.
- ▶ Using R_a to denote right-multiplication by a , the **Moufang law** $R_a R_b R_a = R_{aba}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}R_s R_{1-i_0} R_{1+i_t} &= R_{1+i_0} R_{1-i_t} R_s \\R_{\bar{s}} R_{1-i_0} R_{1+i_t} &= R_{1+i_0} R_{1-i_t} R_{\bar{s}}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(L(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t) &= (LR)L = 2BL = 2L \\((L\bar{s})(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t) &= ((L(1 + i_0))(1 - i_t))\bar{s} = 2L\bar{s} \\((Ls)(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t) &= ((L(1 + i_0))(1 - i_t))s = 2Ls.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In other words **the map** $\frac{1}{2}R_{1-i_0}R_{1+i_t}$ **preserves the octonion Leech lattice.**

The monomial subgroup

These symmetries generate a double cover $2 \cdot A_8$ of A_8 .

The monomial subgroup

These symmetries generate a double cover $2 \cdot A_8$ of A_8 .

- ▶ For the roots $1 + i_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 6$ form a copy of the **root system of type A_7** , whose Weyl group is the symmetric group S_8 .

The monomial subgroup

These symmetries generate a double cover $2 \cdot A_8$ of A_8 .

- ▶ For the roots $1 + i_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 6$ form a copy of the **root system of type A_7** , whose Weyl group is the symmetric group S_8 .
- ▶ The product of the reflections in $1 + i_0$ and $1 + i_t$ is the map $x \mapsto \frac{1}{4}(1 + i_t)((1 - i_0)x(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t)$ that is the **product of two bi-multiplications** $\frac{1}{2}B_{1-i_0}\frac{1}{2}B_{1+i_t}$.

The monomial subgroup

These symmetries generate a double cover $2 \cdot A_8$ of A_8 .

- ▶ For the roots $1 + i_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 6$ form a copy of the **root system of type A_7** , whose Weyl group is the symmetric group S_8 .
- ▶ The product of the reflections in $1 + i_0$ and $1 + i_t$ is the map $x \mapsto \frac{1}{4}(1 + i_t)((1 - i_0)x(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t)$ that is the **product of two bi-multiplications** $\frac{1}{2}B_{1-i_0}\frac{1}{2}B_{1+i_t}$.
- ▶ These elements generate the rotation part A_8 of the Weyl group, and applying the **triality automorphism** the maps $\frac{1}{2}R_{1-i_0}R_{1+i_t}$ generate $2A_8$.

The monomial subgroup

These symmetries generate a double cover $2 \cdot A_8$ of A_8 .

- ▶ For the roots $1 + i_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 6$ form a copy of the **root system of type A_7** , whose Weyl group is the symmetric group S_8 .
- ▶ The product of the reflections in $1 + i_0$ and $1 + i_t$ is the map $x \mapsto \frac{1}{4}(1 + i_t)((1 - i_0)x(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t)$ that is the **product of two bi-multiplications** $\frac{1}{2}B_{1-i_0}\frac{1}{2}B_{1+i_t}$.
- ▶ These elements generate the rotation part A_8 of the Weyl group, and applying the **triality automorphism** the maps $\frac{1}{2}R_{1-i_0}R_{1+i_t}$ generate $2A_8$.
- ▶ Adjoining coordinate permutations and sign changes we get a group $2A_8 \times S_4$.

The monomial subgroup

These symmetries generate a double cover $2 \cdot A_8$ of A_8 .

- ▶ For the roots $1 + i_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 6$ form a copy of the **root system of type A_7** , whose Weyl group is the symmetric group S_8 .
- ▶ The product of the reflections in $1 + i_0$ and $1 + i_t$ is the map $x \mapsto \frac{1}{4}(1 + i_t)((1 - i_0)x(1 - i_0))(1 + i_t)$ that is the **product of two bi-multiplications** $\frac{1}{2}B_{1-i_0}\frac{1}{2}B_{1+i_t}$.
- ▶ These elements generate the rotation part A_8 of the Weyl group, and applying the **triality automorphism** the maps $\frac{1}{2}R_{1-i_0}R_{1+i_t}$ generate $2A_8$.
- ▶ Adjoining coordinate permutations and sign changes we get a group $2A_8 \times S_4$.
- ▶ Adjoining the symmetry $r_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, yi_t, zi_t)$, this extends to a group of shape **$2^{3+12}(A_8 \times S_3)$** .

The Suzuki chain

The so-called Suzuki chain of subgroups of $2 \cdot \text{Co}_1$ is a series of subgroups of the following shapes:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot A_9 &\times S_3 \\ 2 \cdot A_8 &\times S_4 \\ (2 \cdot A_7 &\times L_3(2)):2 \\ (2 \cdot A_6 &\times U_3(3)):2 \\ (2 \cdot A_5 &\circ 2 \cdot J_2):2 \\ (2 \cdot A_4 &\circ 2 \cdot G_2(4)):2 \\ &6 \cdot \text{Suz}:2 \end{aligned}$$

Generators for the Conway group

- ▶ To obtain $2 \cdot A_9 \times S_3$, take the S_3 of coordinate permutations, and $2 \cdot A_8$, and extend $2 \cdot A_7$ to $2 \cdot S_7$ by adjoining the element $\frac{1}{2}R_{i_0-i_1}R_s^*$, where

$$R_s^* := R_s \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} s & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & s & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Generators for the Conway group

- ▶ To obtain $2 \cdot A_9 \times S_3$, take the S_3 of coordinate permutations, and $2 \cdot A_8$, and extend $2 \cdot A_7$ to $2 \cdot S_7$ by adjoining the element $\frac{1}{2} R_{i_0-i_1} R_s^*$, where

$$R_s^* := R_s \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} s & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & s & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Since both this subgroup and the monomial subgroup are maximal in $2 \cdot Co_1$, we now have generators for the Conway group.

Generators for the other Suzuki chain groups

- ▶ To obtain $(2 \cdot A_7 \times L_3(2)).2$ take the subgroup $2 \cdot A_7$ of $2 \cdot A_8$ generated by $\frac{1}{2} R_{i_0-i_1} R_{i_0-i_t}$, together with the **complex reflection group $2 \times L_3(2)$** generated by the monomial $2 \times S_4$ together with reflection in $(s, 1, 1)$, and adjoin $\frac{1}{2} R_{i_0-i_1} R_s^*$.

Generators for the other Suzuki chain groups

- ▶ To obtain $(2 \cdot A_7 \times L_3(2)).2$ take the subgroup $2 \cdot A_7$ of $2 \cdot A_8$ generated by $\frac{1}{2} R_{i_0-i_1} R_{i_0-i_t}$, together with the **complex reflection group $2 \times L_3(2)$** generated by the monomial $2 \times S_4$ together with reflection in $(s, 1, 1)$, and adjoin $\frac{1}{2} R_{i_0-i_1} R_s^*$.
- ▶ To obtain the remaining groups in the list, adjoin to $L_3(2)$ the $2 \cdot A_{9-n}$ in $2 \cdot A_9$ which commutes with the given $2 \cdot A_n$.

The involution centraliser

- ▶ The involution centraliser has the shape $2^{1+8} \cdot W(E_8)'$.

The involution centraliser

- ▶ The involution centraliser has the shape $2^{1+8} \cdot W(E_8)'$.
- ▶ Take the involution $\text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. Then the normal subgroup 2^{1+8} is generated by $r_t = \text{diag}(1, i_t, i_t)$ for all t .

The involution centraliser

- ▶ The involution centraliser has the shape $2^{1+8} \cdot W(E_8)'$.
- ▶ Take the involution $\text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. Then the normal subgroup 2^{1+8} is generated by $r_t = \text{diag}(1, i_t, i_t)$ for all t .
- ▶ Modulo this, the group $2 \cdot A_8$ together with $\text{diag}(i_t, i_t, 1)$ generate a maximal subgroup of $W(E_8)'$, which may be extended to the whole group by adjoining an element such as

$$\frac{1}{2} R_{1-i_0} \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

NOT THE END

Applications

- ▶ Perhaps this gives us a better understanding of why the Leech lattice exists.

Applications

- ▶ Perhaps this gives us a better understanding of why the Leech lattice exists.
- ▶ Perhaps it will give us new ways to prove important properties of the Leech lattice and the Conway group.

Applications

- ▶ Perhaps this gives us a better understanding of why the Leech lattice exists.
- ▶ Perhaps it will give us new ways to prove important properties of the Leech lattice and the Conway group.
- ▶ Perhaps we can use octonions to simplify the construction of the Monster.

Applications

- ▶ Perhaps this gives us a better understanding of why the Leech lattice exists.
- ▶ Perhaps it will give us new ways to prove important properties of the Leech lattice and the Conway group.
- ▶ Perhaps we can use octonions to simplify the construction of the Monster.
- ▶ Perhaps it will explain the '2-local group' $BDI(4)$ which contains Co_3 and looks as though it should be some kind of twist of 'skew-symmetric 3×3 matrices over octonions'.

THE END