Circular designs balanced for neighbours at distances one and two



r.a.bailey@qmul.ac.uk

SETA 2012

Ongoing joint work with Tank Aldred (University of Otago, New Zealand), Brendan McKay (ANU, Australia) and Ian Wanless (Monash University, Australia)

We consider experiments where the experimental units are arranged in a circle or in a single line in space or time.

We consider experiments where the experimental units are arranged in a circle or in a single line in space or time.

If neighbouring treatments may affect the response on an experimental unit, then we need a model which includes the effects of direct treatments, left neighbours and right neighbours. It is desirable that each ordered pair of treatments occurs just once as neighbours and just once with a single unit in between. A circular design with this property is equivalent to a special type of quasigroup.

We consider experiments where the experimental units are arranged in a circle or in a single line in space or time.

If neighbouring treatments may affect the response on an experimental unit, then we need a model which includes the effects of direct treatments, left neighbours and right neighbours. It is desirable that each ordered pair of treatments occurs just once as neighbours and just once with a single unit in between. A circular design with this property is equivalent to a special type of quasigroup.

In one variant of this, self-neighbours are forbidden. In a further variant, it is assumed that the left-neighbour effect is the same as the right-neighbour effect, so all that is needed is that each unordered pair of treatments occurs just once as neighbours and just once with a single unit in between.

We consider experiments where the experimental units are arranged in a circle or in a single line in space or time.

If neighbouring treatments may affect the response on an experimental unit, then we need a model which includes the effects of direct treatments, left neighbours and right neighbours. It is desirable that each ordered pair of treatments occurs just once as neighbours and just once with a single unit in between. A circular design with this property is equivalent to a special type of quasigroup.

In one variant of this, self-neighbours are forbidden. In a further variant, it is assumed that the left-neighbour effect is the same as the right-neighbour effect, so all that is needed is that each unordered pair of treatments occurs just once as neighbours and just once with a single unit in between.

I shall report progress on finding methods of constructing the three types of design.

Problem: If we grow each variety in a separate field, then any perceived differences may be caused by differences in fertility between the fields.

Problem: If we grow each variety in a separate field, then any perceived differences may be caused by differences in fertility between the fields.

Problem: If we grow the varieties mixed up in the same field, with several plots per variety, then each tall variety may shade the variety growing on the plot to its immediate North.

Problem: If we grow each variety in a separate field, then any perceived differences may be caused by differences in fertility between the fields.

Problem: If we grow the varieties mixed up in the same field, with several plots per variety, then each tall variety may shade the variety growing on the plot to its immediate North.

Solution: Use a neighbour-balanced design in which each ordered pair (i, j) of different varieties occurs the same number of times as (South, North) neighbours.

An experiment on control of aphids

Entomologists wanted to compare several sprays to deter aphids from the crop without killing them. The sprays should be applied to a square array of rectangular plots in a single field, using a Latin square (each spray occurs on one plot per row and one plot per column). Entomologists wanted to compare several sprays to deter aphids from the crop without killing them. The sprays should be applied to a square array of rectangular plots in a single field, using a Latin square (each spray occurs on one plot per row and one plot per column).

Problem: If one spray is effective, it may actually increase the number of aphids on neighbouring plots.

- The aphids are as likely to spread East as West, so direction in one dimension is not an issue,
- but the North–South effect may be different from the East–West one, because the plots are not square.

Entomologists wanted to compare several sprays to deter aphids from the crop without killing them. The sprays should be applied to a square array of rectangular plots in a single field, using a Latin square (each spray occurs on one plot per row and one plot per column).

Problem: If one spray is effective, it may actually increase the number of aphids on neighbouring plots.

The aphids are as likely to spread East as West, so direction in one dimension is not an issue,

but the North–South effect may be different from the East–West one, because the plots are not square.

Solution: Use a quasi-complete Latin square, in which each unordered pair $\{i, j\}$ of sprays occurs the same number of times as neighbours within rows and the same number of times as neighbours within columns.

The experiment at Rothamsted on control of aphids



A marine biologist wanted to compare 5 genotypes of bryozoan by suspending them in sea water around the circumference of a cylindrical tank. Each genotype was replicated 5 times, so that altogether 25 items were suspended in the tank. A marine biologist wanted to compare 5 genotypes of bryozoan by suspending them in sea water around the circumference of a cylindrical tank. Each genotype was replicated 5 times, so that altogether 25 items were suspended in the tank.

The marine biologist required that

- (i) each ordered pair of items should occur just once as ordered neighbours around the circumference of the tank;
- (ii) each ordered pair of items should occur just once with a single item in between them, in order.









The lazy way to write the design

(1 1 3 4 3 0 0 1 0 2 2 0 3 3 1 2 1 4 0 4 4 2 3 2 4)

1 1

Denote by $\tau(i)$ the treatment on plot *i*. Denote by Y_i the response on plot *i*.

Denote by $\tau(i)$ the treatment on plot *i*. Denote by Y_i the response on plot *i*.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \rho_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i$$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

Denote by $\tau(i)$ the treatment on plot *i*. Denote by Y_i the response on plot *i*.

 $Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \rho_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

The direct treatment effects δ , the left neighbour effects λ and the right neighbour effects ρ

Denote by $\tau(i)$ the treatment on plot *i*. Denote by Y_i the response on plot *i*.

 $Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \rho_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

The direct treatment effects δ , the left neighbour effects λ and the right neighbour effects ρ can be estimated orthogonally of each other in a experiment of this size

Denote by $\tau(i)$ the treatment on plot *i*. Denote by Y_i the response on plot *i*.

 $Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \rho_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

The direct treatment effects δ , the left neighbour effects λ and the right neighbour effects ρ can be estimated orthogonally of each other in a experiment of this size if and only if each pair (λ_j , δ_k) occurs equally often and each pair (δ_j , ρ_k) occurs equally often and each pair (λ_j , ρ_k) occurs equally often;

one and two.

Denote by $\tau(i)$ the treatment on plot *i*. Denote by Y_i the response on plot *i*.

 $Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \rho_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

The direct treatment effects δ , the left neighbour effects λ and the right neighbour effects ρ can be estimated orthogonally of each other in a experiment of this size if and only if each pair (λ_j , δ_k) occurs equally often and each pair (δ_j , ρ_k) occurs equally often and each pair (λ_j , ρ_k) occurs equally often; in other words, the design has neighbour balance at distances

9/33

Among the triples of the form

$$\big(\tau(i-1),\tau(i),\tau(i+1)\big),$$

each ordered pair of treatments occurs once in positions 1 and 2, once in positions 1 and 3, and once in positions 2 and 3.

Among the triples of the form

$$(\tau(i-1),\tau(i),\tau(i+1)),$$

each ordered pair of treatments occurs once in positions 1 and 2, once in positions 1 and 3, and once in positions 2 and 3. Among the triples of the form

```
(row, column, symbol),
```

each ordered pair of symbols occurs once in positions 1 and 2, once in positions 1 and 3, and once in positions 2 and 3.

Among the triples of the form

$$(\tau(i-1),\tau(i),\tau(i+1)),$$

each ordered pair of treatments occurs once in positions 1 and 2, once in positions 1 and 3, and once in positions 2 and 3. Among the triples of the form

```
(row, column, symbol),
```

each ordered pair of symbols occurs once in positions 1 and 2, once in positions 1 and 3, and once in positions 2 and 3.

These are conditions for a Latin square whose rows and columns have the same labels as the symbols—a quasigroup.

Building the design from a quasigroup (Latin square)

The quasigroup operation \circ is defined by

 $a \circ b$ = symbol in row *a* and column *b* of the Latin square.

The quasigroup operation \circ is defined by

 $a \circ b$ = symbol in row *a* and column *b* of the Latin square.

In the circular design, each triple should have the form

 $(a, b, a \circ b).$

The quasigroup operation \circ is defined by

 $a \circ b =$ symbol in row *a* and column *b* of the Latin square.

In the circular design, each triple should have the form

 $(a, b, a \circ b)$.

We can start with any ordered pair (x, y) and successively build the circular design from the quasigroup as

 $x \quad y \quad x \circ y \quad y \circ (x \circ y) \quad (x \circ y) \circ (y \circ (x \circ y)) \quad \cdots$

0	A	В	С	D
Α	В	Α	D	С
В	C	D	Α	В
С	D	С	В	Α
D	A	В	С	D



(A A)



(A A)



(A A B


(A A B



(A A B A)



(A A B A)



(A A B A C



(A A B A C



(A A B A C D



(A A B A C D



(A A B A C D A



(A A B A C D A



0	A	В	С	D
Α	B	Α	D	С
В	C	D	Α	В
С	D	С	В	Α
D	A	В	С	D

(A A B A C D A A oops!

This quasigroup gives a design with four separate circles, not one.

Let's call a quasigroup Eulerian if it gives a single large circle: that is, a sequence with maximal period.

Let's call a quasigroup Eulerian if it gives a single large circle: that is, a sequence with maximal period.

	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	0	2	3	4
1	2	3	1	4	0
2	3	4	0	2	1
3	0	2	4	1	3
4	4	1	3	0	2

Let's call a quasigroup Eulerian if it gives a single large circle: that is, a sequence with maximal period.

										0	1	2	3	4	4									
								0		1	0	2	3	4	$\overline{4}$									
								1		2	3	1	4		0									
								2		3	4	0	2		1									
								3		0	2	4	1		3									
								4	. .	4	1	3	0		2									
									·															
(1	1	3	4	3	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	3	3	1	2	1	4	0	4	4	2	3	2	4)

If $n \leq 4$, a manual check shows that there are none.

If $n \le 4$, a manual check shows that there are none. For n = 5, we have shown an example. If $n \leq 4$, a manual check shows that there are none.

For n = 5, we have shown an example.

For every other value of *n* that we have tried, we have found an Eulerian quasigroup by computer search; and we can prove that existence for coprime *n* and *m* implies existence for *mn*;

BUT we have been unable to prove that they always exist.

Show that, if $Q = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ or $Q = GF(p^s)$, then no binary operation of the form

$$x \circ y = ax + by + c$$

makes *Q* into an Eulerian quasigroup.

Sometimes it is undesirable to have the same treatment on neighbouring plots.

Sometimes it is undesirable to have the same treatment on neighbouring plots.

We need a circular design with n(n-1) plots in which each

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with all but one of the right-neighbour treatments.

Sometimes it is undesirable to have the same treatment on neighbouring plots.

We need a circular design with n(n-1) plots in which each

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with all but one of the right-neighbour treatments.

The incidence of

direct treatments with left-neighbour treatments is a symmetric balanced incomplete-block design (BIBD, aka 2-design);

Sometimes it is undesirable to have the same treatment on neighbouring plots.

We need a circular design with n(n-1) plots in which each

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with all but one of the right-neighbour treatments.

The incidence of

direct treatments with left-neighbour treatments is a symmetric balanced incomplete-block design (BIBD, aka 2-design); direct treatments with right-neighbour treatments is a symmetric BIBD;

left-neighbour treatments with right-neighbour treatments is a symmetric BIBD.

Sometimes it is undesirable to have the same treatment on neighbouring plots.

We need a circular design with n(n-1) plots in which each

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with all but one of the right-neighbour treatments.

The incidence of

direct treatments with left-neighbour treatments is a symmetric balanced incomplete-block design (BIBD, aka 2-design); direct treatments with right-neighbour treatments is a symmetric BIBD;

left-neighbour treatments with right-neighbour treatments is a symmetric BIBD.

Preece (1976) showed that, for overall balance, the missing pairs at distance two must also be the self-pairs.

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with every right-neighbour treatment except itself.

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with every right-neighbour treatment except itself.

The results of Druilhet (1999) show that such designs are optimal for the estimation of direct effects and neighbour effects, in the sense of minimizing average variance of these estimators.

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with every right-neighbour treatment except itself.

The results of Druilhet (1999) show that such designs are optimal for the estimation of direct effects and neighbour effects, in the sense of minimizing average variance of these estimators.

A quasigroup is **idempotent** if $x \circ x = x$ for all x.

- each ordered pair of distinct treatments occurs just once as ordered neighbours.
- each left-neighbour treatment occurs just once with every right-neighbour treatment except itself.

The results of Druilhet (1999) show that such designs are optimal for the estimation of direct effects and neighbour effects, in the sense of minimizing average variance of these estimators.

A quasigroup is **idempotent** if $x \circ x = x$ for all x.

Our circular design is equivalent to an idempotent quasigroup in which the n(n-1) off-diagonal cells give a single circle.

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ . sequence [4,3,1,2] all different, non-zero

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence neighbour sums [2, 4, 3] sum of ends

[4, 3, 1, 2]1

all different, non-zero all different, non-zero, non-1 must be 1

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty 0 4 2 3 0)$

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1)$

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1 \ \infty \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2$

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1 \ \infty \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2 \ \infty \ 3 \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3$
The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty 0 4 2 3 0 \infty 1 0 3 4 1 \infty 2 1 4 0 2 \infty 3 2 0 1 3 \infty 4 3 1 2 4)$

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty 0 4 2 3 0 \infty 1 0 3 4 1 \infty 2 1 4 0 2 \infty 3 2 0 1 3 \infty 4 3 1 2 4)$

Neighbours of ∞ at distances one and two are OK, by cyclic construction.

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty 0 4 2 3 0 \infty 1 0 3 4 1 \infty 2 1 4 0 2 \infty 3 2 0 1 3 \infty 4 3 1 2 4)$

Neighbours of ∞ at distances one and two are OK, by cyclic construction.

Differences at distance one come from the original sequence; most differences at distance two are the neighbour sums.

The treatments are the integers modulo 5, together with ∞ .

sequence[4,3,1,2]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[2,4,3]all different, non-zero, non-1sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0,4,2,3,0]

 $(\infty 0 4 2 3 0 \infty 1 0 3 4 1 \infty 2 1 4 0 2 \infty 3 2 0 1 3 \infty 4 3 1 2 4)$

Neighbours of ∞ at distances one and two are OK, by cyclic construction.

Differences at distance one come from the original sequence; most differences at distance two are the neighbour sums. 1 - last cumulative sum = 1 - 0 = 1 = missing neighbour-sum

so differences at distance two either side of ∞ give this.







19/33



19/33

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ . sequence [4, 1, 2, 5, 3] all different, non-zero

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence

[4, 1, 2, 5, 3] all different, non-zero neighbour sums [5, 3, 1, 2] all different, non-zero, non-4

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence sum of ends [4, 1, 2, 5, 3]1

all different, non-zero neighbour sums [5, 3, 1, 2] all different, non-zero, non-4 must be 1

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence neighbour sums [5, 3, 1, 2] sum of ends cumulative sums [0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]1

all different, non-zero all different, non-zero, non-4 must be 1

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence sum of ends cumulative sums [0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]1

all different, non-zero neighbour sums [5,3,1,2] all different, non-zero, non-4 must be 1

 $(\infty 0 4 5 1 0 3)$

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4$

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5$

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5 \\ \dots \ \infty \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3 \ 0$

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5 \\ \dots \ \infty \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 4 \ 1$

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5 \\ \dots \ \infty \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 4 \ 1 \ \infty \ 5 \ 3 \ 4 \ 0 \ 5 \ 2)$

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5 \\ \dots \ \infty \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 4 \ 1 \ \infty \ 5 \ 3 \ 4 \ 0 \ 5 \ 2)$

Neighbours of ∞ at distances one and two are OK, by cyclic construction.

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5 \\ \dots \ \infty \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 4 \ 1 \ \infty \ 5 \ 3 \ 4 \ 0 \ 5 \ 2)$

Neighbours of ∞ at distances one and two are OK, by cyclic construction.

Differences at distance one come from the original sequence; most differences at distance two are the neighbour sums.

The treatments are the integers modulo 6, together with ∞ .

sequence[4, 1, 2, 5, 3]all different, non-zeroneighbour sums[5, 3, 1, 2]all different, non-zero, non-4sum of ends1must be 1cumulative sums[0, 4, 5, 1, 0, 3]

 $(\infty \ 0 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ \infty \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ \infty \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 5 \\ \dots \ \infty \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3 \ 0 \ \infty \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 4 \ 1 \ \infty \ 5 \ 3 \ 4 \ 0 \ 5 \ 2)$

Neighbours of ∞ at distances one and two are OK, by cyclic construction.

Differences at distance one come from the original sequence; most differences at distance two are the neighbour sums.

1 - last cumulative sum = 1 - 3 = 4 = missing neighbour-sum so differences at distance two either side of ∞ give this.

Theorem

Given an initial sequence of the non-zero integers modulo n - 1satisfying those conditions, that construction always produces an idempotent Eulerian circular sequence.

Theorem

Such an initial sequence can be constructed whenever $n \ge 6$.

Suppose that the effect of the neighbouring treatment is the same whether it is from the left or the right.

Suppose that the effect of the neighbouring treatment is the same whether it is from the left or the right.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

Suppose that the effect of the neighbouring treatment is the same whether it is from the left or the right.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

Can we arrange that every treatment have every treatment as neighbour just once, on one side or the other?

Suppose that the effect of the neighbouring treatment is the same whether it is from the left or the right.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

Can we arrange that every treatment have every treatment as neighbour just once, on one side or the other?

A self-pair gives a self-neighbour on both sides, so we must ban self-pairs. So we need a circle of n(n-1)/2 plots.

Suppose that the effect of the neighbouring treatment is the same whether it is from the left or the right.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

Can we arrange that every treatment have every treatment as neighbour just once, on one side or the other?

A self-pair gives a self-neighbour on both sides, so we must ban self-pairs. So we need a circle of n(n-1)/2 plots.

Each plot has two neighbours, so each treatment has an even number of neighbours, so n - 1 must be even.

Suppose that the effect of the neighbouring treatment is the same whether it is from the left or the right.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

where the ε_i are independent random variables with mean 0 and common variance σ^2 .

Can we arrange that every treatment have every treatment as neighbour just once, on one side or the other?

A self-pair gives a self-neighbour on both sides, so we must ban self-pairs. So we need a circle of n(n-1)/2 plots.

Each plot has two neighbours, so each treatment has an even number of neighbours, so n - 1 must be even.

Any triple (a, b, a) gives *b* as a neighbour of *a* on both sides, so there can be no such triples.

Model and variance

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

In vector form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\delta} + \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}.$$

Model and variance

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

In vector form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\delta} + \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}.$$

The neighbour conditions in the design imply that each treatment occurs *r* times, where r = (n - 1)/2.

Model and variance

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

In vector form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\delta} + \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}.$$

The neighbour conditions in the design imply that each treatment occurs *r* times, where r = (n - 1)/2. Also $\mathbf{X}_1^{\top} \mathbf{X}_1 = r\mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{X}_1^{\top} \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{X}_2^{\top} \mathbf{X}_2 = 2r\mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I})$, where **I** is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and **J** is the $n \times n$ all-1 matrix.

$$Y_i = \lambda_{\tau(i-1)} + \delta_{\tau(i)} + \lambda_{\tau(i+1)} + \varepsilon_i,$$

In vector form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\delta} + \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}.$$

The neighbour conditions in the design imply that each treatment occurs *r* times, where r = (n - 1)/2. Also $\mathbf{X}_1^{\top} \mathbf{X}_1 = r\mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{X}_1^{\top} \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{X}_2^{\top} \mathbf{X}_2 = 2r\mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I})$, where **I** is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and **J** is the $n \times n$ all-1 matrix.

Some calculations show that the variance of the estimator of the difference between two direct effects is

$$\frac{2(2r-1)}{(r-1)(2r+1)}\sigma^2$$

while that for the difference between two neighbour effects is

$$\frac{2r}{r-1)(2r+1)}\sigma^2.$$

The treatments are the integers modulo 9.

The treatments are the integers modulo 9.

circular sequence $(1, 2, 5, 3) \pm \text{entries are all different}$

The treatments are the integers modulo 9.

circular sequence $(1,2,5,3) \pm \text{entries}$ are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm \text{entries}$ are all different
circular sequence cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

circular sequence cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2)

circular sequence cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4)

circular sequence cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4 5 7 3 6

circular sequence circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

 $(1\ 3\ 8\ 2\ 3\ 5\ 1\ 4\ 5\ 7\ 3\ 6\ 7\ 0\ 5\ 8$

circular sequence cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4 5 7 3 6 7 0 5 8 0 2 7 1

circular sequence cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different circular neighbour sums $(3,7,8,4) \pm$ entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4 5 7 3 6 7 0 5 8 0 2 7 1 2 4 0 3

circular sequence
circular neighbour sums
cumulative sums

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1,2,5,3) & \pm \mbox{ entries are all different} \\ {\rm s} & (3,7,8,4) & \pm \mbox{ entries are all different} \\ [1,3,8,2] & \mbox{ last one is coprime to 9} \end{array}$

 $(1\ 3\ 8\ 2\ 3\ 5\ 1\ 4\ 5\ 7\ 3\ 6\ 7\ 0\ 5\ 8\ 0\ 2\ 7\ 1\ 2\ 4\ 0\ 3\ 4\ 6\ 2\ 5$

circular sequence
circular neighbour sums
cumulative sums

(1,2,5,3) \pm entries are all different s (3,7,8,4) \pm entries are all different [1,3,8,2] last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4 5 7 3 6 7 0 5 8 0 2 7 1 2 4 0 3 4 6 2 5 6 8 4 7

circular sequence	(1, 2, 5, 3)	\pm entries are all different
circular neighbour sums	(3, 7, 8, 4)	\pm entries are all different
cumulative sums	[1, 3, 8, 2]	last one is coprime to 9

circular sequence	(1,2,5,3)	\pm entries are all different
circular neighbour sums	(3, 7, 8, 4)	\pm entries are all different
cumulative sums	[1, 3, 8, 2]	last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4 5 7 3 6 7 0 5 8 0 2 7 1 2 4 0 3 4 6 2 5 6 8 4 7 8 1 6 0)

We keep adding 2 to the original sequence of length 4. Because 2 is coprime to 9, every pair in the original sequence gets all its shifts modulo 9.

circular sequence	(1,2,5,3)	\pm entries are all different
circular neighbour sums	(3, 7, 8, 4)	\pm entries are all different
cumulative sums	[1, 3, 8, 2]	last one is coprime to 9

(1 3 8 2 3 5 1 4 5 7 3 6 7 0 5 8 0 2 7 1 2 4 0 3 4 6 2 5 6 8 4 7 8 1 6 0)

We keep adding 2 to the original sequence of length 4. Because 2 is coprime to 9, every pair in the original sequence gets all its shifts modulo 9.

Differences at distance one come from the original sequence; difference at distance two are the neighbour sums.









Theorem

Given an initial circular sequence of (n - 1)/2 of the integers modulo n satisfying those conditions, that construction always produces a circular sequence balanced for undirected neighbours at distances one and two.

Theorem

Such an initial sequence can be constructed whenever n is odd and $n \ge 9$. There is also such a circular sequence when n = 7.

A quasigroup of order *n* with operation \circ is Eulerian if the sequence

$$x \quad y \quad x \circ y \quad y \circ (x \circ y) \quad (x \circ y) \circ (y \circ (x \circ y)) \quad \cdots$$

does not repeat before n^2 steps.

A quasigroup of order *n* with operation \circ is Eulerian if the sequence

 $x \quad y \quad x \circ y \quad y \circ (x \circ y) \quad (x \circ y) \circ (y \circ (x \circ y)) \quad \cdots$

does not repeat before n^2 steps.

Conjecture

If $n \ge 5$ *then there exists an Eulerian quasigroup of order n.*

Theorem

If Q_1 and Q_2 are Eulerian quasigroups of orders n and m, where n and m are coprime, then $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ is an Eulerian quasigroup of order nm.

Theorem

If Q_1 and Q_2 are Eulerian quasigroups of orders n and m, where n and m are coprime, then $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ is an Eulerian quasigroup of order nm.

Proof. In the sequence

$$(a,x)$$
 (b,y) $(a\Box b,x\circ y)$ $(b\Box (a\Box b),y\circ (x\circ y))$ \cdots

the first coordinates repeat every n^2 steps, but not earlier, and the second coordinates repeat every m^2 steps, but not earlier.

• *q* where *q* is an odd prime power and $q \ge 5$

- *q* where *q* is an odd prime power and $q \ge 5$
- ► 3*q* where *q* is an odd prime power

- *q* where *q* is an odd prime power and $q \ge 5$
- ► 3*q* where *q* is an odd prime power
- ▶ 2*q* where *q* is an odd prime power

- *q* where *q* is an odd prime power and $q \ge 5$
- ▶ 3*q* where *q* is an odd prime power
- ▶ 2*q* where *q* is an odd prime power
- ▶ 4*q* where *q* is an odd prime power

- *q* where *q* is an odd prime power and $q \ge 5$
- ▶ 3*q* where *q* is an odd prime power
- ▶ 2*q* where *q* is an odd prime power
- ▶ 4*q* where *q* is an odd prime power
- powers of 2 bigger than 4.

If $Q = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ or $Q = \operatorname{GF}(p^s)$, then no binary operation of the form $x \circ y = ax + by + c$

makes *Q* into an Eulerian quasigroup.

If *q* is odd, try taking $Q = \mathbb{Z}_q$ and putting

$$x \circ y = \pi(x+y)$$

where π is a relatively simple permutation.

If *q* is odd, try taking $Q = \mathbb{Z}_q$ and putting

$$x \circ y = \pi(x+y)$$

where π is a relatively simple permutation.

For example, when q = 7 put $\pi = (0 \ 1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$ so that

$$4 \circ 5 = \pi(4+5) = \pi(2) = 0.$$

Theorem *If n is even then no Eulerian quasigroup can be obtained from a group of order n by permutions of rows, columns or symbols.* **Theorem** If $n \ge 5$ and there is no Eulerian quasigroup of order *n* then *n* is divisible by a prime power exceeding 500.