

# Chapter 3

## Partially Balanced Incomplete-Block Designs

### 3.1 Block designs

Let  $\Omega$  be a set of experimental units, called ‘plots’, to which we can apply treatments in an experiment. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is partitioned into  $b$  blocks of  $k$  plots each. Define  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}$  by

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are in the same block} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the group divisible association scheme  $\text{GD}(b, k)$  on  $\Omega$  has adjacency matrices  $I, B - I$  and  $J - B$ .

A *block design* on  $\Omega$  for treatment-set  $\Theta$  is a function  $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \Theta$ . Strictly speaking, the design is the quadruple  $(\Omega, Q, \Theta, \phi)$ , where  $\Omega$  is the set of plots,  $Q$  is the group divisible association scheme on  $\Omega$ ,  $\Theta$  is the set of treatments and  $\phi$  is the design function from  $\Omega$  to  $\Theta$ . We say that “treatment  $\theta$  occurs on plot  $\omega$ ” if  $\phi(\omega) = \theta$ . Define the *design matrix*  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Theta}$  by

$$X(\omega, \theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi(\omega) = \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Delta$  be the set of blocks. The *incidence matrix* is the matrix  $N$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\Delta \times \Theta}$  given by

$$N(\delta, \theta) = |\{\omega \in \delta : \phi(\omega) = \theta\}|.$$

The design is *binary* if  $N(\delta, \theta) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $\delta$  in  $\Delta$  and all  $\theta$  in  $\Theta$ . In this case we often identify block  $\delta$  with  $\{\theta \in \Theta : N(\delta, \theta) = 1\}$  and identify the design with the family of blocks.

The design is a *complete-block design* if it is binary and  $k = |\Theta|$ , so that  $N = J_{\Delta, \Theta}$ . It is an *incomplete-block design* if it is binary and  $k < |\Theta|$ , so that each block is incomplete in the sense of not containing all of the treatments.

(When Yates introduced incomplete-block designs he called them ‘incomplete block designs’ to contrast them with complete block designs. However, when pure mathematicians took up his term, they assumed that ‘incomplete’ referred to the *design* and meant that not every  $k$ -subset of the treatments was a block. That is why I insist on the hyphen in ‘incomplete-block design’.)

The *replication*  $r_\theta$  of treatment  $\theta$  is

$$|\{\omega \in \Omega : \phi(\omega) = \theta\}|.$$

The design is *equi-replicate* if all treatments have the same replication. If there are  $t$  treatments with replication  $r$  then

$$tr = bk. \quad (3.1)$$

In such a design,  $X'X = rI$ .

The trick for remembering the parameters is that  $b$  and  $k$  are to do with **blocks** while  $t$  and  $r$  concern **treatments**. (In his first paper on incomplete-block designs, Yates was considering agricultural field trials to compare different varieties. So he called the treatments *varieties*, and let their number be  $v$ . This gives the mnemonic **v**arieties. In his second paper on the subject he switched to the less specific word *treatment*, and established the notation  $t, r, b, k$  used here. Statisticians have tended to follow this second usage, but pure mathematicians have retained  $v$  for the number of treatments, even though they often call them *points*.)

The *concurrence*  $\Lambda(\theta, \eta)$  of treatments  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  is

$$|\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \alpha, \beta \text{ in the same block, } \phi(\alpha) = \theta, \phi(\beta) = \eta\}|.$$

The matrix  $\Lambda$  is called the *concurrence matrix*. Equi-replicate block designs in which every non-diagonal concurrence is in  $\{0, 1\}$  are called *configurations*.

**Lemma 3.1** (i) *If the design is binary then  $\Lambda(\theta, \theta)$  is equal to the replication of  $\theta$ , and, for  $\eta \neq \theta$ ,  $\Lambda(\theta, \eta)$  is equal to the number of blocks in which  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  both occur.*

(ii)  $\sum_{\eta \in \Theta} \Lambda(\theta, \eta) = kr_\theta$ ; in particular, in an equi-replicate design

$$\sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Theta \\ \eta \neq \theta}} \Lambda(\theta, \eta) = r(k-1).$$

(iii)  $\Lambda = X'BX = N'N$ .

**Example 3.1** A small design on 12 experimental units is shown below. The set  $\Omega$  consists of three blocks  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$  of four plots each, so  $b = 3$  and  $k = 4$ . The treatment-set  $\Theta$  is  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , so  $t = 6$ . The design is equi-replicate with  $r = 2$ .

	$\delta_1$				$\delta_2$				$\delta_3$			
$\Omega$	$\omega_1$	$\omega_2$	$\omega_3$	$\omega_4$	$\omega_5$	$\omega_6$	$\omega_7$	$\omega_8$	$\omega_9$	$\omega_{10}$	$\omega_{11}$	$\omega_{12}$
$\phi$	1	2	3	4	1	2	5	6	3	4	5	6

With the plots in the obvious order, the blocks matrix  $B$  is given by

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The stratum projectors are  $\frac{1}{12}J$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}B - \frac{1}{12}J$  and  $I - \frac{1}{4}B$ .

With the plots in the same order as above and treatments also in the obvious order, the design matrix  $X$  is given by

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $X'X = 2I$ .

The incidence matrix  $N$  and concurrence matrix  $\Lambda$  are shown with their rows and columns labelled for clarity.

$$N = \begin{array}{l} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \quad \Lambda = \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

It is clear that  $\Lambda = N'N = X'BX$ . ■

The *dual* block design to  $(\Omega, Q, \Theta, \phi)$  is obtained by interchanging the roles of  $\Theta$  and  $\Delta$ . So the experimental units of the dual design are still the elements of  $\Omega$ , but the blocks are the sets  $\phi^{-1}(\theta)$  for  $\theta$  in  $\Theta$ . These new blocks define a group divisible association scheme  $Q^*$  on  $\Omega$  of type  $GD(t, r)$ . The dual design is the quadruple  $(\Omega, Q^*, \Delta, \psi)$  where the dual design function  $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$  is given by

$$\psi(\omega) = \text{old block containing } \omega.$$

The incidence matrix for the dual design is  $N'$ .

**Example 3.2** The dual of the design in Example 3.1 has treatment-set  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ . Its blocks are  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ ,  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ ,  $\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}$ ,  $\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}$ ,  $\{\delta_2, \delta_3\}$  and  $\{\delta_2, \delta_3\}$ .

**Definition** The *treatment-concurrence* graph of an incomplete-block design is the (not necessarily simple) graph whose vertices are the treatments and in which the number of edges from  $\theta$  to  $\eta$  is  $\Lambda(\theta, \eta)$  if  $\theta \neq \eta$ .

**Definition** An incomplete-block design is *connected* if its treatment-concurrence graph is connected.