## 3.7 Cyclic designs

Let  $\Theta = \mathbb{Z}_t$ . For  $\Phi \subseteq \Theta$ , a *translate* of  $\Phi$  is a set of the form

$$\Phi + \theta = \{\phi + \theta : \phi \in \Phi\}$$

for some  $\theta$  in  $\Theta$ . Of course,  $\Phi$  is a translate of itself.

It is possible to have  $\Phi + \theta_1 = \Phi + \theta_2$  even when  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ . Then  $\Phi + (\theta_1 - \theta_2) = \Phi$ . Let *l* be the number of distinct translates of  $\Phi$ : we shall abuse grouptheoretic terminology slightly and refer to *l* as the *index* of  $\Phi$ . Then  $\Phi + (l \mod t) = \Phi$ . Moreover, *l* is the smallest positive integer with this property, and *l* divides *t* (for if not, the remainder on dividing *t* by *l* is a smaller positive number *l'* with  $\Phi + (l' \mod t) = \Phi$ ).

**Definition** An incomplete-block design with treatment set  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  is a *thin cyclic design* if there is some subset  $\Phi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  such that the blocks are all the distinct translates of  $\Phi$ : the design is said to be *generated* by  $\Phi$ . An incomplete-block design is a *cyclic* design if its blocks can be partitioned into sets of blocks such that each set is a thin cyclic design.

**Example 3.13** Let  $\Phi = \{0, 1, 3\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_8$ . This has index 8, so it generates the following thin cyclic design.

$$\{0,1,3\},\{1,2,4\},\{2,3,5\},\{3,4,6\},\{4,5,7\},\{5,6,0\},\{6,7,1\},\{7,0,2\}.$$

**Example 3.14** Here is a cyclic design for  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  which is not thin.

$$\{0,1,4\},\{1,2,5\},\{2,3,0\},\{3,4,1\},\{4,5,2\},\{5,0,3\},\{0,2,4\},\{1,3,5\}.$$

The index of  $\{0, 1, 4\}$  is 6 and the index of  $\{0, 2, 4\}$  is 2.

**Theorem 3.13** Let  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{Z}_t$  and let l be the index of  $\Phi$ . For  $\theta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ , let

$$m_{\theta}(\Phi) = |\{(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \Phi \times \Phi : \phi_1 - \phi_2 = \theta\}|,$$

so that

$$\chi_\Phi\chi_{-\Phi} = \sum_{ heta\in\Theta} m_ heta(\Phi)\chi_ heta.$$

Then, in the thin cyclic design generated by  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Lambda(0,\theta) = m_{\theta}(\Phi) \times \frac{l}{t}$$

and

$$\Lambda(\eta,\zeta) = \Lambda(0,\zeta-\eta). \tag{3.7}$$

74

**Proof** Treatments 0 and  $\theta$  concur in the translate  $\Phi + \psi$  if and only if there are  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  in  $\Phi$  such that  $\phi_1 + \psi = \theta$  and  $\phi_2 + \psi = 0$ , that is  $\psi = -\phi_2$  and  $\theta = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ . If l = t then  $\Lambda(0, \theta) = m_{\theta}(\Phi)$ . In general, the family of sets  $\Phi, \Phi + 1, \dots, \Phi + t - 1$ consists of t/l copies of the *l* distinct translates  $\Phi, \Phi + 1, \dots, \Phi + l - 1$ , so the concurrence in the thin design is  $(l/t)m_{\theta}(\Phi)$ .

Moreover, treatments 0 and  $\theta$  concur in  $\Phi + \psi$  if and only if treatments  $\eta$  and  $\eta + \theta$  concur in  $\Phi + \psi + \eta$ , so  $\Lambda(0, \theta) = \Lambda(\eta, \eta + \theta)$ .

**Corollary 3.14** Every cyclic design is partially balanced with respect to the cyclic association scheme on  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  defined by the blueprint {0}, {±1}, {±2}, .... (It may be partially balanced with respect to a cyclic association scheme with fewer associate classes.)

**Proof** Since Equation (3.7) holds in each thin component of the design, it holds overall, and

$$\Lambda = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} \Lambda(0, \theta) M_{\theta},$$

where

$$M_{\theta}(\eta, \zeta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \zeta - \eta = \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

as in Section 1.4.5. But  $\Lambda$  is symmetric, so  $\Lambda(0, -\theta) = \Lambda(-\theta, 0) = \Lambda(0, \theta)$ , by Equation (3.7). The adjacency matrices for the cyclic association scheme defined by the blueprint  $\{0\}, \{\pm 1\}, \{\pm 2\} \dots$  are  $(M_{\theta} + M_{-\theta})$  if  $2\theta \neq 0$  and  $M_{\theta}$  if  $2\theta = 0$ , so  $\Lambda$  is a linear combination of the adjacency matrices, and so the design is partially balanced with respect to this association scheme.

Suppose that  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_s$  is a blueprint for  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  such that  $\Lambda(0, \theta)$  is constant  $\lambda_i$  for  $\theta$  in  $\Delta_i$ . Putting  $A_i = \sum_{\theta \in \Delta_i} M_{\theta}$  gives  $\Lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i A_i$ , and so the design is partially balanced with respect to the cyclic association scheme defined by the blueprint.

Now write  $\lambda_{\theta}$  for  $\Lambda(0, \theta)$ .

**Technique 3.8** To calculate the concurrences in the thin design generated by  $\Phi$ , form the *table of differences* for  $\Phi$ . Try to find the coarsest blueprint such that  $\lambda_{\theta}$  is constant on each set in the partition.

**Example 3.13 revisited** In  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ , the block  $\{0,1,3\}$  gives the following table of differences.

	0	1	3
0	0	1	3
1	7	0	2
3	5	6	0

76

Therefore  $\lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 1$  and  $\lambda_4 = 0$ . Hence the design is partially balanced for the association scheme defined by the blueprint  $\{0\}$ ,  $\{4\}$ ,  $\{1,2,3,5,6,7\}$  (so this design is group divisible with groups  $0,4 \parallel 1,5 \parallel,2,6 \parallel 3,7$ ).

**Definition** A subset  $\Phi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  is a *perfect difference set* for  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  if there are integers r,  $\lambda$  such that

$$\chi_{\Phi}\chi_{-\Phi}=r\chi_0+\lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_t}-\chi_0);$$

in other words,  $m_{\theta}(\Phi) = \lambda$  for all  $\theta$  with  $\theta \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.15** *The thin cyclic design generated by*  $\Phi$  *is balanced if and only if*  $\Phi$  *is a perfect difference set.* 

**Example 3.5 revisited** The subset  $\{1, 2, 4\}$  is a perfect difference set for  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ .

	1	2	4
1	0	1	3
2	6	0	2
4	4	5	0

Its table of differences contains every non-zero element of  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  exactly once.

**Theorem 3.16** The canonical efficiency factors of a cyclic design are

$$1 - \frac{1}{rk} \sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_t} \lambda_{\theta} \eta^{\theta}$$

for complex t-th roots of unity  $\eta$  with  $\eta \neq 1$ .

**Proof** Use Theorems 3.12 and 2.18. ■

**Technique 3.9** Let  $\zeta = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{t}\right)$ . Then  $\eta$  is a complex *t*-th root of unity if there is an integer *m* such that  $\eta = \zeta^m$ . To calculate canonical efficiency factors of cyclic designs numerically, replace  $\eta^{\theta} + \eta^{-\theta}$  by  $2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\theta m}{t}\right)$ . To calculate the harmonic mean efficiency factor *A* as an exact rational number, leave everything in powers of  $\zeta$ .

**Example 3.15** Consider the thin cyclic design generated by  $\{0, 1, 3, 7\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ .

	0	1	3	7
0	0	1	3	7
1	8	0	2	6
3	6	7	0	4
7	2	3	5	0

## 3.7. CYCLIC DESIGNS

Thus the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  are

$$4 + (\eta + \eta^{-1}) + 2(\eta^2 + \eta^{-2}) + 2(\eta^3 + \eta^{-3}) + (\eta + \eta^{-4})$$

where  $\eta^9 = 1$ . If  $\eta^3 = 1$  and  $\eta \neq 1$  then  $\eta + \eta^{-1} = -1$  (the cube roots of unity sum to zero) so the eigenvalue is

$$4 - 1 - 2 + 4 - 1 = 4;$$

otherwise it is

$$4 + \eta^2 + \eta^{-2} - 2 = 2 + \eta^2 + \eta^{-2}$$

because the primitive ninth roots of unity sum to zero (because all the ninth roots do). Let  $\zeta$  be a fixed primitive ninth root of unity, and put  $x = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ ,  $y = \zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}$  and  $z = \zeta^4 + \zeta^{-4}$ . Then the canonical efficiency factors are

$$\frac{3}{4}$$
,  $\frac{14-x}{16}$ ,  $\frac{14-y}{16}$ ,  $\frac{14-z}{16}$ ,

all with multiplicity 2.

Substituting  $x = 2\cos 40^\circ$ ,  $y = 2\cos 80^\circ$ ,  $z = 2\cos 160^\circ$  gives

0.7500, 0.7792, 0.8533 and 0.9925

to 4 decimal places, and A = 0.8340.

To do the exact calculation, we note first that x + y + z = 0. Then

$$xy = (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})(\zeta^{2} + \zeta^{-2})$$
  
=  $\zeta + \zeta^{3} + \zeta^{-3} + \zeta^{-1}$   
=  $x - 1$ ,

and similarly yz = y - 1 and zx = z - 1. Therefore xy + yz + zx = x + y + z - 3 = -3and xyz = (x - 1)z = xz - z = z - 1 - z = -1.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{14-x} + \frac{1}{14-y} + \frac{1}{14-x} \\ &= \frac{(14-x)(14-y) + (14-x)(14-z) + (14-y)(14-z)}{(14-x)(14-y)(14-z)} \\ &= \frac{3 \cdot 14^2 - 28(x+y+z) + (xy+yz+zx)}{14^3 - 14^2(x+y+z) + 14(xy+yz+zx) - xyz} \\ &= \frac{3 \cdot 14^2 - 3}{14^3 - 3 \cdot 14 + 1} = \frac{195}{901}, \end{aligned}$$

so  

$$4A^{-1} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{16 \times 195}{901}$$
so  

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4 \times 195}{901} = \frac{3241}{2703}$$
and  

$$A = \frac{2703}{3241}.$$