The Rado graph and the Urysohn space

Peter J. Cameron School of Mathematical Sciences



London E1 4NS, U.K.

p.j.cameron@qmul.ac.uk

New Zealand Mathematics Colloquium Dunedin, December 2004

Slide 2

The countable random graph

We begin with the *countable random graph* or *Rado graph R*.

A graph G is *homogeneous* if every isomorphism betweem (finite) induced subgraphs of G extends to an automorphism of G.

This is a very strong symmetry condition on a graph. In particular, a homogeneous graph is vertex-transitive, edge-transitive, non-edge-transitive, ...

A countable graph is *universal* if every (at most) countable graph can be embedded in it as an induced subgraph.

Slide 3

The random graph

Theorem 1 (Erdős and Rényi) *There is a countable graph R with the property that a random countable graph (edges chosen independently with probability* $\frac{1}{2}$) *is almost surely isomorphic to R.*

The graph R has the properties that

- it is *universal*: any finite (or countable) graph is embeddable as an induced subgraph of *R*;
- it is *homogeneous*: any isomorphism between finite induced subgraphs of *R* extends to an automorphism of *R*.

As well as being the "random graph", *R* is also generic in the sense of Baire category (with respect to a natural metric on the set of all graphs on a fixed countable vertex set).

Slide 4

Sketch proof

Property (*) Given finite disjoint sets U, V of vertices, there is a vertex joined to everything in U and to nothing in V.

Step 1 With probability 1, a countable random graph has property (*).

Calculation shows that, for a fixed pair U, V, the probability that no such vertex z exists is zero. Then use the fact that a countable union of null sets is null.

Step 2 Any two countable graphs with property (*) are isomorphic.

A standard 'back-and-forth' argument: condition (*) allows us to extend any partial isomorphism (in either direction) to any further point.

Explicit constructions

The argument by Erdős and Rényi is a nonconstructive existence proof, and they offered no explicit construction.

The year after the paper by Erdős and Rényi, an explicit construction for R was given by Rado (though apparently without noticing that it was the random graph. The vertex set is the set of natural numbers; for x < y, we join x to y if the xth digit of y (written in base 2) is one. (The joining rule is symmetric.) Two other constructions:

- Vertices are primes congruent to 1 mod 4; join p to q if p is a square mod q (this is symmetric by quadratic reciprocity).
- Take a countable model of the Zermelo– Fraenkel axioms for set theory, and symmetrise the membership relation.

Slide 6

Automorphisms of R

The homogeneous graph *R* has a very rich automorphism group. Here are some of its properties.

- (Truss) Aut(R) is simple and has cardinality 2^{N_0} .
- (Cameron–Johnson) Aut(R) contains 2^{\aleph_0} conjugacy classes of cyclic automorphisms.
- (Truss) Aut(R) contains generic elements (that is, a conjugacy class which is residual in Aut(R) in the sense of Baire category). All cycles of such elements are finite, but they have infinite order.

Truss also found all possible cycle structures of automorphisms of R.

Slide 7

Automorphism groups of R

A body of results describe various interesting subgroups of Aut(R):

- (Hodges, Hodkinson, Lascar, Shelah) Aut(R) contains generic n-tuples of elements. Any such n-tuple generates a free group of rank n, all of whose orbits are finite.
- (Bhattacharjee, Macpherson) Aut(R) contains a free group of rank 2 whose non-identity elements have only finitely many cycles.
- (Bhattacharjee, Macpherson) Aut(R) contains a dense locally finite subgroup.

Slide 8

Regular automorphism groups and Cayley graphs

A group acts as a regular group of automorphisms of a graph if and only if the graph is a Cayley graph for the group.

The existence of many cyclic automorphisms of R is proved by showing that, with probability 1, a random Cayley graph for the infinite cyclic group is isomorphic to R.

Cameron and Johnson found that, if the countable group X is not the union of finitely many translates of square-root sets of non-identity elements together with a finite set, then a random Cayley graph for X is isomorphic to R with probability 1.

Slide 9

Countable homogeneous structures

Fraïssé gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a class $\mathscr C$ of finite structures to be the finite substructures of a countable homogeneous structure. The most important condition is the *amalgamation property*. If the conditions are satisfied, then the countable structure is unique up to isomorphism, and is called the *Fraïssé limit* of $\mathscr C$.

In particular, we have:

Theorem 2 (Fraïssé) *R is the unique countable universal homogeneous graph.*

Countable homogeneous graphs

Theorem 3 (Lachlan and Woodrow) *The countably infinite homogeneous graphs are the following:*

- (a) the disjoint union of m complete graphs of size n, where m and n are finite or countable (and at least one is infinite);
- (b) the complement of a graph under (a);
- (c) the Henson graph H_n , the Fraïssé limit of the class of graphs containing no complete subgraph of size r, for given finite $r \ge 3$;
- (d) the complement of a graph under (c);
- (e) the random graph (the Fraïssé limit of the class of all finite graphs).

Slide 11

Regular automorphism groups

Which of the Henson graphs has a regular automorphism group? That is, which is a Cayley graph? Henson showed that H_3 has cyclic automorphisms but H_r does not for r > 3.

More generally, we have:

- H_3 is a Cayley graph for any one of a large class of countable groups (there is a characterisation like that of Cameron and Johnson for R);
- for r > 3, H_r is not a normal Cayley graph for any countable group X (that is, there is no graph admitting both the left and the right regular action of X). It is not known whether H_r can be a Cayley graph for r > 3.

Slide 12

Urysohn space

In a posthumous paper published in 1927, P. S. Urysohn showed that there exists a unique universal and homogeneous *Polish space* (complete separable metric space) U. Here "homogeneous" means that any isometry between finite subsets extends to an isometry of the whole space; "universal" means that any Polish space can be isometrically embedded into U.

This result is a precursor of the work of Fraïssé; the separability condition plays the role of countability in Fraïssé's work.

Vershik has shown that U is the random metric space with respect to a wide class of natural measures on the class of Polish spaces, and that it is generic.

We do not yet have a simple explicit description of U.

Slide 13

Urysohn and Fraïssé

A convenient construction of U is as follows. Let Q be the universal homogeneous "rational metric space": the Fraïssé limit of the class of finite metric spaces with rational distances. Then U is the completion of Q. Moreover, any isometry of Q extends uniquely to an isometry of U.

Our strategy is to build isometry groups of Q using similar techniques to those used for R earlier, they or their closures in $\operatorname{Aut}(U)$ provide us with interesting isometry groups of U.

Regular automorphisms

There are 2^{\aleph_0} non-conjugate cyclic isometries of Q (permuting all vertices in a single cycle). Each of these has the property that all its orbits on U are dense. In particular, the closure of the group generated by such an isometry (in the natural topology on $\operatorname{Aut}(U)$) is an abelian group acting transitively on U.

Problem: What can one say about the structure and conjugacy of the abelian groups arising in this way? Note that these groups are not necessarily torsion-free.

Moreover, one can show that the condition of Cameron and Johnson for a group to act regularly on R also guarantees a regular action on Q. Again one can ask what the closure of such a group looks like.

Slide 15

Normal structure

An isometry σ whose cycles are dense in U has the property that $d(u, \sigma(u))$ is constant for all points $u \in U$. Hence it lies in the normal subgroup B(U) of $\operatorname{Aut}(U)$ consisting of *bounded isometries*, those for which $d(u, \sigma(u))$ is bounded. Thus this subgroup is non-trivial; it is also easy to see that it is not the whole of $\operatorname{Aut}(U)$ (that is, unbounded isometries exist).

Problem: Is it true that B(U) and Aut(U)/B(U) are simple?

Slide 16

A dense free subgroup

Using a trick invented by Tits, we can show:

Theorem 4 There is a subgroup F of Aut(U) which is a free group of countable rank and is dense in Aut(U).

The proof depends on the facts that

- Aut(U)/B(U) contains a free subgroup;
- B(U) is a dense subgroup of Aut(U).

Problem: Does the analogue of Bhattacharjee–Macpherson hold? That is, does Aut(U) have a dense locally finite subgroup?