Automorphisms and enumeration of switching classes of tournaments

L. Babai and P. J. Cameron

Department of Computer Science
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637, U.S, A.
E-mail: laci@cs.uchicago.edu

School of Mathematical Sciences
Queen Mary and Westfield College
Mile End Road
London E1 4NS, U.K.
E-mail: P.J.Cameron@qmw.ac.uk

Abstract

Two tournaments T_1 and T_2 on the same vertex set X are said to be *switching equivalent* if X has a subset Y such that T_2 arises from T_1 by switching all arcs between Y and its complement $X \setminus Y$.

The main result of this paper is a characterisation of the abstract finite groups which are full automorphism groups of switching classes of tournaments: they are those whose Sylow 2-subgroups are cyclic or dihedral. Unlike previous results of this type, we do not give an explicit construction, but only an existence proof. The proof follows as a special case of a result on the full automorphism group of random G-invariant digraphs selected from a certain class of probability distributions.

However, the proof gives additional information; for example, if G has cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, then there is a switching class C, with $\mathrm{Aut}(C) = G$, such that every subgroup of G of odd order is the full automorphism group of some tournament in C.

We also show that a permutation group G, acting on a set X, is contained in the automorphism group of some switching class of tournaments with vertex set X if and only if the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are cyclic or dihedral and act semiregularly on X. Applying this result to individual permutations leads to an enumeration of switching classes, of switching classes admitting odd permutations, and of tournaments in a switching class.

We conclude by remarking that both the class of switching classes of finite tournaments, and the class of "local orders" (that is, tournaments switching-equivalent to linear orders), give rise to countably infinite structures with interesting autmorphism groups (by a theorem of Fraïssé).

MR Subject Numbers: primary: 20B25; secondary: 05C25, 05C20, 05C30, 05E99

1 Introduction

The concept of *switching* of graphs (sometimes referred to as *Seidel equivalence*) was first defined by Seidel [26]. It is an equivalence relation under which the labelled graphs on a set of n vertices are partitioned into equivalence classes of size 2^{n-1}. Formulae for the numbers of isomorphism types of switching classes, and of graphs in a switching class, were found by Mallows and Sloane [25] and Goethals (personal communication), and are reported in [8]. It is also noted in [8] that every abstract group is the automorphism group of some switching class.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate a similarly-defined operation of switching of tournaments, to characterise the automorphism groups of switching classes, and to perform enumerations similar to those just mentioned for graphs.

The operation of *switching a graph* on the vertex set X with respect to a subset Y of X consists of complementing the adjacency relation between Y and the complementary set $X \setminus Y$ (that is, $y \in Y$ and $z \in X \setminus Y$ will be adjacent after switching precisely if they were not adjacent before switching), and leaving all other edges and non-edges unaltered.

Analogously, the operation of *switching a tournament* on the vertex set X with respect to a subset Y of X consists of reversing all the arcs between Y and the complementary set $X \setminus Y$, leaving all other arcs unaltered.

Observe that in both contexts, switching with respect to Y and to $X \setminus Y$ are the same operation. In each case, the switching operations form a group of order 2^{n-1}, where $n = |X|$. Switching equivalence partitions the set of graphs and the set of tournaments on the vertex set X into equivalence classes of size 2^{n-1}, called *switching classes* (of graphs and of tournaments, respectively).

Henceforth we shall discuss the case of tournaments only, except where expressly stated otherwise.

A permutation g of X is said to be an *automorphism* of the switching class C if it permutes among themselves the members of C. Clearly g is an automorphism of C if and only if it maps one member of C into C. In particular, the automorphism group of any tournament in C is a subgroup of the automorphism group of C. However, the containment may be proper. For example, the automorphism group of any tournament has odd order, but switching classes can admit automorphisms of even order. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove that a finite group is the automorphism group of some switching class if and only if its Sylow 2-subgroups are cyclic or dihedral.

The proof involves, in Section 4, a non-constructive (probabilistic) technique which is of greater generality than the particular result that we deduce from it. It also shows that, if G has cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, then there is a switching class C, with $\mathrm{Aut}(C) = G$, having the property that every subgroup of G of odd order is the full automorphism group of a tournament in C.

We also show that a finite permutation group leaves some switching class invariant if and only if its Sylow 2-subgroups are cyclic or dihedral and act semiregularly.

The enumeration results are given in Section 2, where we count the tournaments in a switching class whose automorphism group is given, and in Section 5, where we count switching classes. The result in Section 2 generalises Brouwer's enumeration of local orders [6]. We have not been able to enumerate switching classes whose automorphism groups have even order in general, but the number of such classes is found in the case when n is not divisible by 4.

A consequence of the above enumerations is the existence of $(k-1)$-transitive infinite permutation groups with exactly two orbits on k-sets and two on $(k+1)$-sets for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$; these are relevant to the problem considered in [9].

We conclude this section by describing two objects "equivalent" to switch-

ing classes of tournaments, which we will need later.

We can regard a tournament as an antisymmetric function f from ordered pairs of distinct vertices to $\{\pm 1\}$ (with $f(x,y) = +1$ if and only if there is an arc from x to y). Switching with respect to $\{x\}$ corresponds to changing the sign of f whenever x is one of the arguments; and switching with respect to an arbitrary subset is performed by switching with respect to its singleton subsets successively. Given a tournament f, define a function g on ordered triples of distinct elements by the rule

$$g(x,y,z) = f(x,y)f(y,z)f(z,x).$$

Then g is alternating and satisfies the "cocycle" condition

$$g(x,y,z)g(y,x,w)g(z,y,w)g(x,z,w) = +1.$$

We call such a function an *oriented two-graph*. Conversely, any oriented two-graph arises from a tournament in this way. Switching the tournament does not change the oriented two-graph, and in fact two tournaments yield the same oriented two-graph if and only if they are equivalent under switching. Thus there is a natural bijection between switching classes of tournaments and oriented two-graphs; corresponding objects have the same automorphism group.

A *double cover* of a set X is a set $\overline{X}$ with a surjective map $p : \overline{X} \to X$ with the property that $|p^{-1}(x)| = 2$ for all $x \in X$. A *special digraph* D on $\overline{X}$ is a digraph with the properties

(a) for all $x \in X$, the induced digraph on $p^{-1}(x)$ has no arcs;

(b) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, the induced digraph on $p^{-1}(\{x,y\})$ is a directed 4-cycle.

It follows that, if $a, b \in \overline{X}$, then a and b are joined by an arc if and only if $p(a) \neq p(b)$; and, if $p(a) = p(a')$ and b is another vertex, then the arcs on $\{a,b\}$ and $\{a',b\}$ are oppositely directed at b.

Let D be a special digraph on $\overline{X}$. If the set X_0 contains one vertex from each of the sets $p^{-1}(x)$ $(x \in X)$, then p induces a bijection from X_0 to X, and the induced digraph on X_0 is mapped to a tournament on X. Different choices of X_0 give rise to switching-equivalent tournaments, and every tournament in the switching class is realised in this way. Conversely, to each switching class, there corresponds a unique special digraph.

Now a special digraph has an automorphism z which interchanges the two points of $p^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Any automorphism of a switching class lifts to two automorphisms of the special digraph, differing by a factor z. Thus, to a group G of automorphisms of the switching class corresponds a group $\overline{G}$ of automorphisms of the special digraph, with $Z = \langle z \rangle \trianglelefteq \overline{G}$ and $\overline{G}/Z \cong G$. (Thus $\overline{G}$ is an extension of the cyclic group of order 2 by G.) This extension is crucial for our characterisation of the automorphism groups of switching classes in Sections 3 and 4.

Most of the results of this paper were proved in the 1980s, and were subsequently lost as both authors moved. As a result of a fortunate archaeological discovery, the paper came to light again and was completed at a meeting hosted by the CRM, Montréal. The main result, Theorem 3.1, has been cited as "Theorem 4.4(b)" in [3, p. 1499].

2 Counting tournaments in a switching class

In this section we give a formula for the number of non-isomorphic tournaments in a switching class, in terms of the automorphism group of the class. A particular case is the enumeration of locally transitive tournaments, established using different methods by A. Brouwer [6].

Lemma 2.1 *An automorphism of a switching class C of tournaments fixes some tournament in C if and only if it has odd order.*

Proof Clearly an automorphism of a tournament has odd order. Conversely, let g be an automorphism of odd order of a switching class on n points. The group T of switchings, of order 2^{n-1}, acts regularly on the switching class, and is normalised by g. By a simple special case of the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem ([21], p. 224), $\langle g \rangle$ is conjugate (in $T\langle g \rangle$) to the stabiliser of a tournament; that is, g fixes a tournament. □

Theorem 2.2 *Let G be the automorphism group of a switching class C of tournaments. Then the number of tournaments in C, up to isomorphism, is*

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ |g| \text{ odd}}} 2^{\mathrm{orb}(g)-1},$$

where $|g|$ is the order, and $\mathrm{orb}(g)$ *the number of cycles, of g.*

Proof If $|g|$ is even, then g fixes no tournament; if $|g|$ is odd, then g fixes one, and all the fixed tournaments are obtained by switching this one with respect to fixed partitions, that is, with respect to fixed subsets, since g cannot interchange a subset with its complement. Now the Orbit-Counting Lemma (the mis-attributed "Burnside's Lemma") gives the result. □

There is no "trivial" switching class of tournaments, invariant under the symmetric group, if $|X| > 2$. The simplest switching class is one whose corresponding oriented two-graph is a circular order.

A *local order* (see [9]) is defined to be a tournament containing no 4-vertex sub-tournament which consists of a vertex dominating or dominated by a 3-cycle. Local orders also appear in the literature under the names *locally transitive tournaments* [23] or *vortex-free tournaments* [22].

Lemma 2.3 *The following are equivalent for a switching class C of tournaments:*

(a) C contains a local order;

(b) C consists entirely of local orders;

(c) the corresponding oriented two-graph is a circular order.

Proof An oriented two-graph is a circular order if and only if its restriction to every 4-set is a circular order. So it is enough to check the result for tournaments on 4 vertices. □

There is a unique circular order on n points (up to isomorphism); its automorphism group is the cyclic group of order n, acting regularly. This group contains $\phi(n/d)$ elements of order n/d for each d dividing n, such an element having d cycles. Hence we obtain:

Theorem 2.4 *The number of local orders on n points, up to isomorphism, is*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ n/d \text{ odd}}} 2^{d-1}\phi(n/d).$$

This was first proved by Brouwer [6] by means of a correspondence with certain shift register sequences.

Remark 2.5 The number of non-isomorphic tournaments in a switching class on n vertices is at least $(3/2n)(2/\sqrt{3})^n$. For if G is the automorphism group of C, then the stabiliser G_x fixes the unique tournament in C for which x is a source, and so $|G_x|$ is odd. Thus $|G_x| \leq 3^{(n-2)/2}$ (Dixon [16]), and $|G| \leq (n/3)3^{n/2}$. Since $|C| = 2^{n-1}$, G has at least $(3/2n)(2/\sqrt{3})^n$ orbits in C. (Note that no such exponential bound holds for graphs: the switching class of the null graph contains only $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ non-isomorphic graphs.)

Remark 2.6 Almost all switching classes of tournaments on n points have all 2^{n-1} members pairwise non-isomorphic. This is equivalent to the statement that almost all switching classes have no non-trivial automorphisms (compare the remark following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Section 4).

3 Automorphism groups of switching classes

In this section we begin the proofs of the following two theorems, which characterise automorphism groups of switching classes of tournaments, and the permutation groups which can act on switching classes.

Theorem 3.1 *For an abstract finite group G, the following are equivalent:*

(a) G is the full automorphism group of a switching class of tournaments;

(b) G has cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups.

Theorem 3.2 *For a finite group G of permutations of a finite set X, the following are equivalent:*

(a) there is a switching class of tournaments on X admitting G;

(b) G has cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups which act semiregularly on X.

A crucial ingredient in both proofs is the following result. This is known to some group theorists, but we are not aware of a proof in the literature. We are indebted to G. Glauberman for the simple argument given here.

Theorem 3.3 *For an abstract finite group G, the following are equivalent:*

(a) G has cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups;

(b) there exists a group $\overline{G}$ containing a unique involution z such that $\overline{G}/\langle z\rangle$ is isomorphic to G.

Proof Suppose that (b) holds. Let $\overline{S}$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\overline{G}$, so that $S = \overline{S}/\langle z\rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Now $\overline{S}$ contains a unique involution, so it is cyclic or generalised quaternion (Burnside [7], p. 132), and S is cyclic or dihedral.

The reverse argument uses some facts about cohomology of groups, for which we refer to Cartan and Eilenberg [13]. Extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by a group G are described by elements of the cohomology group $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. If S is a cyclic or dihedral 2-group, then there is an extension $\overline{S}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by S containing a unique involution, viz. a cyclic or generalised quaternion group. Not only is such an extension unique up to isomorphism, but it is readily checked that there is a unique cohomology class in $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ corresponding to an extension with this property.

Let t be a cohomology class for a subgroup S of a group G. For any $g \in G$, there is a corresponding class t^g of the conjugate S^g. We call t *stable* if the images of t and t^g under the restriction maps $\mathbf{res}_{S,S\cap S^g}$ and $\mathbf{res}_{S^g,S\cap S_g}$ are equal for all $g \in G$. If S is a cyclic or dihedral 2-group, and t the class defined in the previous paragraph, the uniqueness of t implies that it is stable with respect to any supergroup G of S.

A formula of Cartan and Eilenberg ([13], p. 258) asserts that, if t is stable, then $\mathbf{res}_{G,S}\,\mathbf{cor}_{S,G}\,t = |G : S|t$, where $\mathbf{cor}_{S,G}$ denotes the corestriction map. If S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G, then $|G : S|$ is odd, and $2t = 0$, since $t \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. So the element $t^* = \mathbf{cor}_{S,G}\,t$ of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ satisfies $\mathbf{res}_{G,S}\,t^* = t$. The extension $\overline{G}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by G corresponding to t^* has a unique element of order 2, since each of its Sylow 2-subgroups does. □

Remark 3.4 Theorem 3.3 settles a question of Coxeter ([15], p. 82).

Remark 3.5 The structure of groups satisfying the conditions of the theorem is described by Burnside's transfer theorem ([7], p. 155) and the theorem of Gorenstein and Walter [20]:

> *Let G be a group with cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups. If $O(G)$ is the largest normal subgroup of G of odd order, then $G/O(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of* $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2, q)$ *which contains* $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ *(for q odd), or to A_7, or to a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.*

It is possible to prove that (a) implies (b) in Theorem 3.3 using this structural information in place of the cohomological argument, though the proof is much longer.

We now prove that, in each of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, condition (a) implies condition (b). Suppose that the group G acts on a switching class C of tournaments on X. Let $\overline{X}$ be a double cover of X carrying the special digraph D corresponding to C, and $\overline{G}$ the extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by G acting on D and inducing G on X, as in Section 1. Let t be any involution in $\overline{G}$. If t interchanges vertices a and b, then $p(a) = p(b)$, since otherwise a directed arc would join a and b (by the definition of a special digraph). Moreover, t cannot fix any further vertex c, since the arcs on $\{a, c\}$ and $\{b, c\}$ are oppositely directed. So $\overline{G}$ contains a unique involution z. Let $\overline{S}$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Then any non-identity subgroup of $\overline{S}$ contains z and hence fixes no point. Thus $\overline{S}$ acts semiregularly on $\overline{X}$, and so $S = \overline{S}/\langle z \rangle$ acts semiregularly on X. Of course, S is cyclic or dihedral by Theorem 3.3.

The reverse implications in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 use similar constructions. We consider 3.2 first. Suppose that condition (b) holds. By Theorem 3.3, there is a group $\overline{G}$ with unique involution z, such that $\overline{G}/\langle z \rangle = G$. We construct a permutation representation of $\overline{G}$ on a double cover $\overline{X}$ of X. Take any orbit Y of G in X. For $y \in Y$, G_y has odd order, and so $\overline{G_y}$ has twice odd order. It follows that $\overline{G_y} = \langle z \rangle \times H$, with $H \cong G_y$. So each $\overline{G_y}$-coset in $\overline{G}$ is the union of two H-cosets, and the coset space of H in $\overline{G}$ is a double cover of the coset space of $\overline{G_y}$, that is, of Y. The union of all such coset spaces is thus a double cover $\overline{X}$ of X on which $\overline{G}$ operates. Since $z \notin H$, the element z interchanges the two points of $\overline{X}$ covering each point of X.

Furthermore, if $t \in \overline{G}$ has 2-power order and interchanges a pair of points $a, b \in \overline{X}$, then $t = z$ and $p(a) = p(b)$. For t^2 fixes a and b, and so the image of t^2 in G is a 2-element with a fixed point, and hence trivial; thus $t^2 = 1$ or z. The latter is impossible since z is fixed-point-free on $\overline{X}$. So $t^2 = 1$, whence $t = z$ and $p(a) = p(b)$.

It follows that all orbits of $\overline{G}$ on ordered pairs (a, b) of points of $\overline{X}$ with $p(a) \neq p(b)$ are antisymmetric. Moreover, if $p(a) = p(a')$, then (a, b) and (a', b) lie in different orbits. It is thus possible to select one orbit from each converse pair in such a way that, if $p(a) = p(a')$ and (a, b) is in a chosen orbit, then (b, a') (rather than (a', b)) is in a chosen orbit. Let D be the digraph in which an arc goes from a to b whenever (a, b) lies in a chosen orbit. Then D

is a special digraph admitting $\overline{G}$. As explained in Section 1, it follows that G acts on a switching class of tournaments. This proves Theorem 3.2. □

In the next section, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by showing that, if G acts semiregularly with a large number of orbits, then with high probability, a random special digraph constructed by the above procedure has full automorphism group precisely G.

4 Automorphism groups of random G-invariant digraphs

Throughout this section, we use a convention different from that of Section 1: we shall regard a *digraph* as a function f from ordered pairs of distinct vertices to $\{\pm 1\}$ with $f(x,y) = +1$ if there is an arc from x to y. A graph corresponds to a symmetric function. (Note that for special digraphs, f is neither symmetric nor antisymmetric.)

If the function f is a random variable, we obtain the notion of a *random digraph*. We allow f to have an arbitrary, (n^2-n)-dimensional ± 1 distribution. In particular, the projections $f(x,y)$ and $f(x',y')$ do not have to be independent even if $\{x,y\} \cap \{x',y'\} = \emptyset$.

We shall need a fairly general lemma which is useful in various situations in which our digraphs are picked at random from a collection of digraphs admitting a given permutation group with small orbits.

Our model is the following. The vertex set X will be partitioned into (non-empty) classes $X_1, \ldots, X_m$. A set of pairs $\{(x_1,y_1), \ldots, (x_s,y_s)\}$ will be called $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$-*independent*, if

(a) no $\{x_i, y_i\}$ is a subset of any X_k;

(b) no $\{x_i, y_i, x_j, y_j\}$ is a subset of any $X_k \cup X_l$ for any $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i,j \leq s$, $1 \leq k,l \leq m$.

Clearly, $s \leq \binom{m}{2}$ in this case. We shall say that the random digraph f is *uniformly distributed* between $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ if

(A) $\mathrm{Prob}(f(x,y) = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ whenever x and y belong to different classes;

(B) for any $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$-independent set $\{(x_1,y_1), \ldots, (x_s,y_s)\}$, the random variables $f(x_i,y_i)$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, s$) are totally independent.

Lemma 4.1 *Let the n-element set X be partitioned as $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_m$ into pairwise disjoint classes of bounded size: $|X_i| \leq t$ for some fixed t. Let f be a random digraph, uniformly distributed between $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$. Then the probability of the event that all but at most $m^{1/2+\epsilon}$ of the X_i are invariant under $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ is greater than $1-\epsilon$ for any positive ϵ provided that $n > n_0(t, \epsilon)$.*

Proof We say that a permutation $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}(X)$ *destroys* a set $A \subseteq X$ if A is not invariant under α. Let $r(\alpha)$ denote the number of classes destroyed by α.

For some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}(X)$, let $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ be a maximal subset of X such that all the $2k$ elements $x_1, \ldots, x_k, \alpha x_1, \ldots, \alpha x_k$ belong to different classes.

We claim that $k \geq r/(2t+1)$, where $r = r(\alpha)$. Indeed, let $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ be the classes destroyed by α. For each $i \leq r$, pick a point $z_i \in X_i$ such that $\alpha z_i \notin X_i$. Now define a graph on the vertex set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ by joining i and j if the pair $\{z_i, \alpha z_i\}$ is in conflict with the pair $\{z_j, \alpha z_j\}$ (conflict meaning that some class X_ℓ meets both pairs). Since by assumption all X_i have size $\leq t$, the vertices of the graph constructed have degree $\leq 2t$. Therefore the chromatic number of the graph is at most $2t+1$, hence it has an independent set of size $\geq r/(2t+1)$, establishing the Claim.

Now the set of $k(k-1)$ pairs $\{(x_i, x_j), (\alpha x_i, \alpha x_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$ is $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$-independent and therefore the random variables $f(x_i, x_j)$ and $f(\alpha x_i, \alpha x_j)$ are totally independent. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Prob}(\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(f)) &\leq \mathrm{Prob}(f(x_i, x_j) = f(\alpha x_i, \alpha x_j) \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq k) \\ &= 2^{-k(k-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the probability that there exists as automorphism of f which destroys at least r classes is less than $n! 2^{-k(k-1)/2}$, where $k = r/(2t+1)$. Let us set $r = m^{1/2+\epsilon}/2$. Then clearly $n! 2^{-k(k-1)/2} < \epsilon$ for $n > n_0(t, \epsilon)$. Having thus estimated the maximum number of classes destroyed by individual automorphisms of f, we only need the following observation to establish the stated bound on the total number of classes destroyed by $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let G be a finite group acting on a set X. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_s$ be subsets of X which are not invariant under G. Then there exists $\alpha \in G$ which destroys at least half the classes A_i.*

Proof We use the simple trick advertised as the "first moment method" in [18], p. 5. Let α be a random member of G (each element of G having the same chance to be α). For each i, the probability that A_i is invariant under α is at most $1/2$ (since the setwise stabiliser in G of A_i is a proper subgroup). Hence the expected number of those A_i destroyed by α is at least $s/2$. □

Now, an application of Proposition 4.2 with those X_i not invariant under $G = \mathrm{Aut}(f)$ playing the roles of $A_1, \ldots, A_s$ completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

Next, we turn our attention to random digraphs invariant under a permutation group action.

Let G be a group acting on X, the vertex set of the random digraph f. We shall say that the random variable f is *G-invariant* if $\mathrm{Prob}(G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(f)) = 1$; in other words, $\mathrm{Prob}(f(x,y) = f(gx,gy)) = 1$ for all $g \in G$, $x, y \in X$.

We shall need the following stronger version of condition (B):

(B′) If $(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_s, j_s)$ are distinct pairs of numbers from $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $(i_h, j_h) \neq (j_k, i_k)$ $(k, h = 1, \ldots, s)$, then the projections $f|X_{i_h} \times X_{j_h}$ $(h = 1, \ldots, s)$ are totally independent.

We shall say that f is *strongly uniformly distributed between* $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ if (A) and (B′) hold.

Theorem 4.3 *Let G be a group of order t acting semiregularly on the set X, with orbits $X_1, \ldots, X_m$. Let f be a random G-invariant digraph on X, strongly uniformly distributed between $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ and satisfying the additional condition (C) below. Then* $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathrm{Aut}(f) = G) > 1 - \epsilon$ *for any positive ϵ provided that $|X| = n > n_0(t, \epsilon)$.*

(C) For any $i \neq j$ and any $x, x' \in X_i$ and $y, y' \in X_j$,

$$\mathrm{Prob}(f(x,y) = f(x',y')) \leq 1/2.$$

Proof By Lemma 4.1, with probability greater than $1 - \epsilon$, most orbits of G are invariant under $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$

Let us first consider permutations $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}(X)$ under which all the X_i are invariant.

We select representatives $x_i \in X_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let E_k denote the event that there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(X) \setminus G$ which preserves the classes X_i and

fixes precisely k of $x_1, \ldots, x_m$. The probability that, for some $i > k$, the vertex x_i "has a place to go" while $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are fixed is at most $(t-1)2^{-k}$, since the "place" must be some $y \in X_i$ with $y \neq x_i$, and

$$\text{Prob}(f(x_i, x_j) = f(y, x_j) \text{ for all } j = 1, \ldots, k) \leq 2^{-k}.$$

(We used (C) (employing semiregularity) and (B′) here.) Using (B′) again, we find that the probability that $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_m$ all have places to go while $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are fixed is not greater than $((t-1)2^{-k})^{m-k}$. Finally,

$$\text{Prob}(E_k) \leq \binom{m}{k}((t-1)2^{-k})^{m-k}. \tag{1}$$

Our next observation is that any $\alpha \in \text{Sym}(X)$ which preserves the X_i agrees with some $g \in G$ in at least m/t of the x_i. (Again, we use the "first moment method": pick a random $g \in G$; then the expected number of those x_i such that $\alpha x_i = g x_i$ is exactly m/t.) Now $g^{-1}\alpha$ fixes $k \geq m/t$ of the x_i. Hence the probability of the event A that there exists an $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(f) \setminus G$ which preserves the X_i is

$$\text{Prob}(A) \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{m} \text{Prob}(E_k), \tag{2}$$

where $k_0 = \lceil m/t \rceil$.

As above, the probability that any particular member of X_1 has a place to go while $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ are fixed is less than $(t-1)2^{-m+1}$. Consequently,

$$\text{Prob}(E_m) \leq n(t-1)2^{-m+1}. \tag{3}$$

Combining (1), (2) and (3), we obtain for large enough n (meaning $n > 2t^2 \log_2 t$, and therefore $t < 2^{m/2t}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Prob}(A) &\leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{m} \text{Prob}(E_k) \\
&\leq n(t-1)2^{-m+1} + \sum_{k=k_0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k}((t-1)2^{-k})^{m-k} \\
&< 2nt2^{-m} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k} 2^{-k(m-k)/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 2nt2^{-m} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{m} \binom{m}{k} 2^{-km/4} \\
&= 2nt2^{-m} + 2((1+2^{-m/4})^m - 1) \\
&< 3m2^{-m/4};
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$\mathrm{Prob}(A) < 3m2^{-m/4}. \tag{4}$$

Now we turn to those automorphisms of f which destroy some of the orbits of G. The number of orbits destroyed is negligible, by Lemma 4.1: it is less than $m^{2/3}$, say, with probability greater than $1-\epsilon$, provided that $n > n_0(t,\epsilon)$.

Set $q = \lfloor m^{2/3} \rfloor$. Let us consider those $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}(X)$ which preserve the sets $X_1, \ldots, X_{m-q}$.

Restricting the above result to the subgraph induced by the set $Y = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_{m-q}$, we find that the probability of the existence of such an $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(f)$ whose restriction to Y is not a member of the restriction of G to Y, is less than

$$3(m-q)2^{-(m-q)/4} < 2^{-m/5} \tag{5}$$

(for large m).

Suppose now that $\alpha|Y = g|Y$ for some $g \in G$. Now $g^{-1}\alpha|Y$ is the identity. We estimate the probability that some $x \in X \setminus Y$ can still move. Let $y \in X \setminus Y$, $x \neq y$, and let $x_1, \ldots, x_{m-q}$ be representatives of $X_1, \ldots, X_{m-q}$, respectively. We claim that

$$\mathrm{Prob}(f(x,x_j) = f(y,x_j) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, m-q) \leq 2^{-m+q}. \tag{6}$$

If y belongs to the G-orbit of X then (6) follows from (C) (by semiregularity) and (B$'$). If y does not belong to Gx then (6) simply follows from (A) and (B) (and we have equality in this case).

Consequently, the probability that there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(f) \setminus G$ preserving $X_1, \ldots, X_{m-q}$ is less than

$$2^{-m/5} + \binom{qt}{2} 2^{-m+q} < 2^{-m/6}. \tag{7}$$

Finally,

$$\mathrm{Prob}(\mathrm{Aut}(f) \neq G) = \mathrm{Prob}(\mathrm{Aut}(f) \not\leq G)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \text{Prob}(\text{Aut}(f) \text{ destroys more than } q \text{ orbits of } G) \\ &\qquad + \binom{m}{q} 2^{-m/6} \\ &< \epsilon + m^q 2^{-m/6} \\ &< 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $n > n_0'(t, \epsilon)$. $\square$

Remark 4.4 Theorem 4.3 remains valid (with the same proof) if G acts regularly on all but $o(m)$ orbits and arbitrarily on the remaining small fraction of the set of orbits.

We are now ready to derive the reverse implication in Theorem 3.1 from Theorems 3.3 and 4.3.

Let G be a finite group with cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups. By Theorem 3.3, there exists a group $\overline{G}$ containing a single involution z such that $G \cong \overline{G}/\langle z \rangle$. Let $t = |\overline{G}|$. Let $\overline{G}$ act semiregularly with orbits $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ on a set $\overline{X}$ of size $n = mt$ for some large number m. Let us define the double cover $p : \overline{X} \to X$ by contracting every orbit of
$langlez\rangle$. It is this map p with respect to which we shall use the term "special digraph" (cf. Section 1).

We are going to define *random $\overline{G}$-invariant special digraphs* on $\overline{X}$ with respect to p. Being special, no arcs join any pair x, zx for $x \in \overline{X}$, and for all distinct $x, y \in \overline{X}$, the subgraph induced by $\{x, zx, y, zy\}$ is an oriented 4-cycle. From each G-orbit of these 4-tuples we select one and decide by independent flips of a fair coin which way the 4-cycle should be oriented. We transfer the orientation to the other 4-cycles of this form by the action of $\overline{G}$.

Clearly the resulting random digraph f satisfies (A), (B′) and (C). Therefore, by Theorem 4.3, we have $\text{Prob}(\text{Aut}(f) = \overline{G}) > 0$ for $m > m_0(t)$. This proves the existence of a special digraph with automorphism group $\overline{G}$, and hence the existence of a switching class of tournaments with automorphism group G (cf. Section 1). $\square$

Applying this argument to the trivial group ($|G| = 1$) we obtain the following corollary. An object is *"asymmetric"* if it has no nontrivial automorphisms.

Corollary 4.5 *Almost all switching classes of tournaments are asymmetric. The same holds for switching classes of graphs.*

Corollary 4.5 strengthen the well-known results that almost all labelled graphs (tournaments) are asymmetric ([17]).

Remark 4.6 Similarly, one can derive from Theorem 4.3 that the full automorphism group of a G-invariant random graph, digraph or tournament almost always coincides with G, provided that G acts semiregularly with a large number of orbits.

In particular, these statements prove the existence of graphs, digraphs, tournaments with prescribed abstract groups G as their full automorphism groups (with $|G|$ odd in the case of tournaments). The existence of such objects can, however, be proved quite easily by direct constructions (cf. e.g. [24], Chapter 12, Problems 5, 6, 7). Moreover, direct constructions yield such objects with only one or two G-orbits. (These results are discussed in the surveys [2] and [5].)

The above proof of Theorem 3.1 appears to be the first case where the existence of an object with prescribed abstract group of automorphisms has been demonstrated without actually constructing such an object. We were not able to find any elegant construction. A problem of interest in this direction is the following. Call a group *admissible* if its Sylow 2-subgroups are cyclic or dihedral.

Problem 4.7 Given an admissible group G, does there exist a switching class of tournaments whose full automorphism group is isomorphic to G, and G acts with a bounded number of orbits on the set of vertices? (The bound should be an absolute constant.)

The problem of restricting the automorphism groups of a G-invariant random graph, where G is semiregular with a small number of orbits, seems quite difficult (cf. [4]).

Remark 4.8 By Remark 4.4 it follows that any permutation group, whose Sylow 2-subgroups are cyclic or dihedral and act semiregularly, actually occurs as a section of the full automorphism group of a switching class of tournaments (that is, the restriction to an invariant subset).

The following observation leads to a further important corollary.

Proposition 4.9 *If $\overline{G}$ is a semiregular permutation group with two or more orbits, and if $\overline{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(f)$ for some special digraph f, then every subgroup H of $G = \overline{G}/\langle z \rangle$ of odd order occurs as the automorphism group of some member of the corresponding switching class. (Here z denotes the unique involution in $\overline{G}$.)*

Proof Let $\overline{H}$ be the preimage of H in G, so $z \in \overline{H}$ and $\overline{H}/\langle z \rangle = H$. Let K be the (unique) index-2 subgroup of $\overline{H}$; so $\overline{H} = K \times \langle z \rangle$, and $K \cong H$.

Within each $\overline{G}$-orbit, select a "root." Making $g \in \overline{G}$ correspond to the g-image of the root establishes a bijection between $\overline{G}$ and the orbit.

Within one $\overline{G}$-orbit, select one of each pair of K-orbits interchanged by z; make a corresponding selection (under the bijections discussed) in every $\overline{G}$-orbit except one, where one choice is made differently. The required tournament is induced on the chosen set of vertices. □

Hence we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.10 *Given a finite group G with cyclic or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, there exists a switching class of tournaments with G as its full automorphism group, with the property that every subgroup of G with odd order occurs as the full automorphism group of some tournament in this switching class.*

This answers a question of the second author [10, p. 118].

5 Counting switching classes

The following specialisation of Theorem 3.2 is crucial to the enumeration of switching classes of tournaments. Call a permutation *level* if the powers of 2 dividing its cycle lengths are all equal. Note that a permutation on an odd number of points is level if and only if it has odd order. Let L_n be the set of level permutations in the symmetric group S_n.

Lemma 5.1 *A permutation leaves invariant some switching class of tournaments if and only if it is level.*

Proof This is immediate from Theorem 3.2 applied to the cyclic group generated by the permutation. □

Now let A be the group of order $2^{n(n-1)/2}$ whose elements are the operations of reversing prescribed sets of arcs in tournaments on X, where $|X| = n$. Then A permutes regularly the (labelled) tournaments on X; and the group T of switchings is a subgroup of A whose orbits are the switching classes. So $B = A/T$ permutes regularly the switching classes. Thus, if a permutation g fixes a switching class, then the number of switching classes it fixes is equal to the number of elements of B that it fixes. This number is easily computed, and in any case is known from the enumeration of switching classes of graphs by Mallows and Sloane [25]: it is

$$2^{\mathrm{orb}_2(g)-\mathrm{orb}(g)+\delta(g)},$$

where $\mathrm{orb}_2(g)$ is the number of orbits of $\langle g\rangle$ on unordered pairs, and $\delta(g)$ is 0 if all cycles of g have even length, or 1 otherwise. (So, if g is level, then $\delta(g) = 1$ or 0 according as $|g|$ is odd or even.) Thus we obtain:

Theorem 5.2 *The number of switching classes of tournaments on n vertices, up to isomorphism, is*

$$\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{g\in L_n} 2^{\mathrm{orb}_2(g)-\mathrm{orb}(g)+\delta(g)}.$$

For small values of n, we obtain the following.

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
switching classes	1	1	2	2	6	12	79

Remark 5.3 The number of switching classes of tournaments is smaller than the number of switching classes of graphs if $n \geq 3$. For the formula is a sum of some of the terms appearing in the sum found by Mallows and Sloane, viz. those for which the permutation is level; and if $n \geq 3$, then not every permutation is level.

Remark 5.4 A striking difference between the enumeration problems for graphs and tournaments is that every automorphism of a switching class of graphs fixes some graph in that class [25]. It would be interesting to enumerate the switching classes of tournaments for which this fails, that is, those whose automorphism groups have even order. We cannot do this, but the following results give the answer in some cases.

Lemma 5.5 *Let G be a group acting on a set X, and H a normal subgroup of G of prime index. Then the number of orbits of G on X consisting of points whose stabilisers contain elements of $G \setminus H$ is*

$$\frac{1}{|G \setminus H|} \sum_{g \in G \setminus H} \pi(g),$$

where $\pi(g)$ is the number of fixed points of g in X.

Proof The standard proof of the Orbit-Counting Lemma shows that

$$\sum_{g \in G} \pi(g) = \sum_{x \in X} |G_x|,$$

and that the right-hand expression is $|G|$ times the number of orbits. let Y be the set $\{y : (G \setminus H) \cap G_y \neq \emptyset\}$. Clearly $\sum_{g \in G \setminus H} \pi(g)$ is equal to $\sum_{y \in Y} |(G \setminus H) \cap G_y|$, which in turn is $((p-1)/p) \sum_{y \in Y} |G_y|$, where $p = |G : H|$, since $|G_y : G_y \cap H| = p$. Thus the sum is

$$\frac{p-1}{p} |G| \# \operatorname{orb}(G, Y) = |G \setminus H| \# \operatorname{orb}(G, Y). \qquad \square$$

Proposition 5.6 *The number of switching classes of tournaments on n vertices admitting odd permutations is*

$$\frac{2}{n!} \sum_{g \in L_n \cap (S_n \setminus A_n)} 2^{\operatorname{orb}_2(g) - \operatorname{orb}(g)}.$$

Proof Observe that if $g \notin A_n$ then g has even order, so $\delta(g) = 0$ for all $g \in L_n \cap (S_n \setminus A_n)$. Apply Lemma 5.5. $\square$

Corollary 5.7 *If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, then the number of switching classes of tournaments on n vertices whose automorphism groups have even order is*

$$\frac{2}{n!} \sum_{\substack{g \in L_n \\ |g| \text{ even}}} 2^{\operatorname{orb}_2(g) - \operatorname{orb}(g)}.$$

Proof If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, a level permutation is odd if and only if its order is even. $\square$

Remark 5.8 This formula is trivially valid also for n odd, and holds as well if $n = 4$.

Corollary 5.9 *If n is a power of 2, there are $2^{n/2}/n$ cyclic switching classes of tournaments on n vertices.*

Proof If n is a power of 2, the only odd level permutations are n-cycles; there are $(n-1)!$ of these, and if g is one of them, then $\mathrm{orb}_2(g) = n/2$, $\mathrm{orb}(g) = 1$. □

There is an alternative direct proof. An n-cycle g fixes $2^{n/2-1}$ switching classes. If C is one of these, then $\langle g \rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$. By Burnside's transfer theorem, G has a normal 2-complement N; and $N = 1$, since N has odd order and acts $\frac{1}{2}$-transitively on a set of 2-power size. So $G = \langle g \rangle$. Thus, if two g-invariant switching classes are isomorphic, then the isomorphism between them normalises $\langle g \rangle$. Since $N(\langle g \rangle)/\langle g \rangle$ has order $n/2$, the number of isomorphism classes is $2^{n/2-1}/(n/2)$.

Remark 5.10 The number in Corollary 5.9 is equal to the number of local orders on $n/2$ points.

6 Application: homogeneous models and infinite permutation groups

We conclude the paper with brief descriptions of two infinite permutation groups relevant to a problem discussed in [9]. The discussion here will be brief; more detail on the background can be found in [11].

A class $\mathcal{C}$ of structures is said to be *hereditary* if it is closed under taking induced substructures on subsets. It has the *amalgamation property* if, whenever $f_i : A \to B_i$ are embeddings of structures in $\mathcal{C}$ for $i = 1, 2$, then there exist embeddings $g_i : B_i \to C$, for some structure C in $\mathcal{C}$, such that $f_1 g_1 = f_2 g_2$.

It follows from a model-theoretic construction due to Fraïssé [19] that, if the finite models of a first-order theory in a relational language are hereditary and have the amalgamation property, then there is a unique countable homogeneous model containing all finite models as substructures. (Here we say that a structure is *homogeneous* if any isomorphism between finite induced substructures can be extended to an automorphism of the structure.)

In this situation, if G denotes the automorphism group of this model, then the number $n_k(G)$ of G-orbits on k-sets is equal to the number of k-element models (up to isomorphism).

It is easily verified that both local orders and oriented two-graphs have the hereditary and amalgamation properties. The number of local orders on 2, 3, 4 points are 1, 2, 2 respectively. So, if G_1 is the automorphism group of the homogeneous local order, then G_1 is 2-homogeneous and satisfies $n_3(G_1) = n_4(G_1) = 2$. Similarly, if G_2 is the automorphism group of the homogeneous oriented two-graph, then G_2 is 3-homogeneous and satisfies $n_4(G_2) = n_5(G_2) = 2$. These are two of a very short list of known primitive infinite permutation groups G with $n_k(G) = n_{k+1}(G) > 1$ for some k (see [9], IV).

Lachlan [23] determined all countable homogeneous tournaments (see also Cherlin [14]). There are just three of them: the transitive tournament $\mathbb{Q}$, the homogeneous local order considered above, and the homogeneous tournament T containing all finite tournaments. The homogeneous oriented two-graph mentioned above corresponds to the switching class of T.

The orbit-counting sequences associated with these two examples appear as numbers A000016 and A049313 in the *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* [27]. The second author is currently compiling a list of sequences which count group orbits on k-tupes in this way [12].

References

[1] L. Babai, On the minimum order of graphs with given group, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **17** (1974), 467–470.

[2] L. Babai, On the abstract group of automorphisms, pp. 1–40 in *Combinatorics* (ed. H. N. V. Temperley), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes **52**, Cambridge University Press, 1981.

[3] L. Babai, Automorphism groups, isomorphism, reconstruction, pp. 1447–1540 in *Handbook of Combinatorics* (ed. R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel and L. Lovász), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.

[4] L. Babai and C. D. Godsil, On the automorphism groups of almost all Cayley graphs, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **3** (1982), 9-15.

[5] L. Babai and A. J. Goodman, On the abstract group of automorphisms, pp. 121–143 in *Coding Theory, Design Theory, Group Theory* (ed. D. Jungnickel and S. A. Vanstone), Wiley, New York, 1993.

[6] A. Brouwer, The enumeration of locally transitive tournaments, *Afd. Zuiv. Wisk.* **138**, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.

[7] W. Burnside, *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1911, reprinted Dover Publications, New York, 1955.

[8] P. J. Cameron, Cohomological aspects of two-graphs, *Math. Z.* **157** (1977), 101–119.

[9] P. J. Cameron, Orbits of permutation groups on unordered sets, I, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **17** (1978), 410–414; II, ibid. (2) **23** (1981), 249–265; III, ibid. (2) **27** (1983), 229–237; IV, ibid. (2) **27** (1983), 238–247.

[10] P. J. Cameron, Automorphism groups of graphs, pp. 89–127 in *Selected Topics in Graph Theory II* (ed. L. W. Beineke and R. J. Wilson), Academic Press, London, 1983.

[11] P. J. Cameroon, *Oligomorphic Permutatin Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes **152**, Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1990.

[12] P. J. Cameron, Sequences realised by oligomorphic permutation groups, in preparation.

[13] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.

[14] G. L. Cherlin, Homogeneous tournaments revisited, *Geometriae Dedicata* **26** (1988), 231–239.

[15] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Regular Complex Polytopes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.

[16] J. Dixon, The Fitting subgroup of a linear solvable group, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **7** (1967), 417–424.

[17] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, Asymmetric graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **14** (1963), 295–315.

[18] P. Erdős and J. Spencer, *Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, and Academic Press, New York, 1974.

[19] R. Fraïssé, Sur certains relations qui généralisent l'ordre des nombres rationnels, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **237** (1953), 540–542.

[20] D. Gorenstein and J. H. Walter, The characterization of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, I, *J. Algebra* **2** (1964), 85–151; II, ibid. **2** (1964), 218–270; III, ibid. **2** (1964), 354–393.

[21] M. Hall, Jr., *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.

[22] D. E. Knuth, *Axioms and Hulls*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **606**, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[23] A. H. Lachlan, Countable homogeneous tournaments, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284** (1984), 431–461.

[24] L. Lovász, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

[25] C. L. Mallows and N. J. A. Sloane, Two-graphs, switching classes, and Euler graphs are equal in number, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **28** (1975), 876–880.

[26] J. J. Seidel, Strongly regular graphs of L_2 type and of triangular type, *Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Ser. A* **70** (= *Indag. Math.* **29**) (1967), 188–196.

[27] N. J. Sloane, *The On-Line Enyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, available at `http://www.research.att.com:80/~njas/sequences/`