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#### Abstract

A transitive (infinite) permutation group which has $m$ orbits on ordered pairs of distinct points has at least $m^{n-1}$ orbits on ordered $n$-tuples. This is best possible, and groups attaining the bound can be characterised.


## 1 Introduction

A permutation group $G$ on an (infinite) set $\Omega$ is oligomorphic if the number of orbits of $G$ on ordered $n$-tuples of distinct points of $\Omega$ is finite for all $n$. We refer to [1] for basic terminology and facts about permutation groups, especially oligomorphic permutation groups.

Let $F_{n}(G)$ (or just $F_{n}$, if the group $G$ is clear from the context) be the number of orbits of $G$ on the set of ordered $n$-tuples of distinct elements. It is easy to see that the sequence $F_{n}(G)$ is non-decreasing, since each orbit on such $n$-tuples consists of the initial segments of elements of at least one orbit on ( $n+1$ )-tuples of distinct elements. In particular, $F_{n}(G)=1$ for all $n$ if and only if $G$ is highly transitive. This paper is a contribution to the question: how fast does the sequence $\left(F_{n}\right)$ grow?

Of course it may not grow at all. If $G$ is the symmetric group (or, indeed, if $G$ is highly transitive), then $F_{n}=1$ for all $n$. In other cases, the growth rate may be quite slow. For example, if $G$ is the stabiliser of one point in the

[^0]symmetric group, then $F_{n}=n+1$ : there is one orbit of $n$-tuples with the fixed point in the $i$ th position for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and one orbit not containing the fixed point.

At the other extreme, Merola [4] showed that, if $G$ is primitive (that is, preserves no non-trivial equivalence relation on $\Omega$ ) and not highly transitive, then

$$
F_{n}(G) \geq \frac{c^{n} n!}{p(n)}
$$

for some polynomial $p$, where $c$ is an absolute constant; her proof shows that $c \geq 1.324$. There are known examples with $c=2$. Results are also known about the number of orbits on unordered $n$-sets; see Macpherson [3].

We are concerned with the intermediate case, where $G$ is assumed to be transitive but not necessarily primitive. Our result holds for all transitive but not 2-transitive groups $G$, and gives exponential growth for $F_{n}(G)$. Moreover, it is best possible.

Theorem 1 Let $G$ be an infinite transitive permutation group with $F_{2}(G)=$ $m>1$. Then $F_{n}(G) \geq m^{n-1}$ for all natural numbers $n$. Moreover, if $F_{3}(G)=m^{2}$ and $F_{4}(G)=m^{3}$, then $G$ is imprimitive, with $m$ infinite blocks of imprimitivity; the blocks are permuted regularly by $G$, and the stabiliser of a block acts $k$-transitively on it if $F_{k}(G)=m^{k-1}$.

Remarks Let $G$ be the wreath product of the cyclic group of order 2 and the infinite symmetric group. Then $F_{n}(G)$ is equal to the number of solutions of $g^{2}=1$ in the symmetric group $S_{n}$. In particular, $F_{1}(G)=1, F_{2}(G)=2$, $F_{3}(G)=4$ and $F_{4}(G)=10$. So the conditions of the theorem are best possible.

On the other hand, if $G$ is the wreath product of a highly transitive group with a finite group of order $s$ (acting regularly), then $F_{n}(G)=s^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

## 2 Counting orbits

Let $F_{1}(x)$ and $F_{2}(x)$ be formal power series. We write $F_{1}(x) \succcurlyeq F_{2}(x)$ if the coefficients of $F_{1}$ are not less than those for $F_{2}$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group in which $G_{\alpha}$ has $r+s$ orbits on the remaining points, of which $r$ are finite and $s$ are infinite. Let
$F_{G}(x)=\sum F_{n}(G) x^{n} / n!$. Moreover, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{r}(x)=1+\frac{1}{r+1}\left((1+x)^{r+1}-1\right)=1+x+\frac{r x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{r(r-1) x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots \\
& g_{s}(x)=1+\frac{1}{s}(\exp (s x)-1)=1+x+\frac{s x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{s^{2} x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
(a) if $s=0$, then $F_{G}(x) \succcurlyeq f_{r}(x)$;
(b) if $s \geq 1$, then $F_{G}(x) \succcurlyeq g_{s}\left(f_{r}(x)-1\right)$.

Proof (a) Since $G$ is transitive, we have

$$
F_{n}(G)=F_{n-1}\left(G_{\alpha}\right) \geq r(r-1) \cdots(r-n+1) .
$$

(b) Again $G$ is transitive, so $F_{n}(G)=F_{n-1}\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$. Moreover, the union of the finite $G_{\alpha}$-orbits (including $\{\alpha\}$ ) is a block of imprimitivity for $G$.

Now we use a principle which occurs often in combinatorial enumeration, and is perhaps clearest in the context of species (Joyal [2]). Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are two species in which the exponential generating functions for labelled structures are $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ respectively. Then the exponential generating function for the species $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$ in which a structure consists of a set carrying a partition, with an $\mathcal{F}$-structure on each part and a $\mathcal{G}$-structure on the set of parts, is $G(F(x)-1)$.

We apply this theorem with $\mathcal{F}$ the species of subsets of a block of imprimitivity, so that $F(x) \succcurlyeq f_{r}(x)$, and $\mathcal{G}$ a species defined as follows: given $n$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, the points different from $x_{1}$ are coloured with $s$ colours, so that there are $s^{n-1}$ labelled $n$-element structures for all $n$. Any orbit of $G$ on $n$-tuples gives rise to a $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$-structure: the $n$-tuple is partitioned by the blocks of imprimitivity of its members, each part is a subset of a block, and the parts other than the first are coloured by the orbits of $G_{\alpha}$ containing the corresponding blocks, where $\alpha$ is the first point of the $n$-tuple.

So the exponential generating function for $\left(F_{n}(G)\right)$ dominates the counting series for $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$, and the assertion is proved.

Lemma 2.2 Let $G$ be an infinite transitive permutation group in which the stabiliser of a point has $r+s$ orbits, of which $r$ are finite and $s$ are infinite. Then
(a) $F_{3}(G) \geq(r+s)^{2}$, with equality only if $r=0$ or $s=1$.
(b) $F_{4}(G) \geq(r+s)^{3}$, with equality only if $r=0$.

Proof Let $h(x)=g_{s}\left(f_{r}(x)-1\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(x)=1+ & x+\frac{r x^{2}}{2}+\frac{r(r-1) x^{3}}{6}+\frac{r(r-1)(r-2) x^{4}}{24}+\cdots \\
& +\frac{s}{2!}\left(x+\frac{r x^{2}}{2}+\frac{r(r-1) x^{3}}{6}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{s^{2}}{3!}\left(x+\frac{r x^{2}}{2}+\cdots\right)^{3} \\
& +\frac{s^{3}}{4!}(x+\cdots)^{4}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients of $1, x$ and $x^{2} / 2$ ! are 1,1 and $r+s$. The coefficient of $x^{3} / 3$ ! is

$$
r(r-1)+3 s r+s^{2}=(r+s)^{2}+(s-1) r ;
$$

this is at least $(r+s)^{2}$, with equality only if $r=0$ or $s=1$.
The coefficient of $x^{4} / 4$ ! is

$$
r(r-1)(r-2)+4 r(r-1) s+3 r^{2} s+6 r s^{2}+s^{3} \geq(r+s)^{3}
$$

the difference between the two sides being $r^{2}(4 s-3)+r\left(3 s^{2}-4 s+2\right)$. This difference is positive if and only if $r>0$.

Since $F_{G}(x) \succcurlyeq h(x)$, the lemma is proved.
In the next section, we examine $h(x)$ further and show that the coefficient of $x^{n} / n!$ is at least $(r+s)^{n}$ for all $n$. This will complete the proof of the inequality in the theorem.

## 3 The exponential of a polynomial

Lemma 3.1 Let $r$ and $s$ be positive integers. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{r}(x)=1+\frac{1}{r+1}\left((1+x)^{r+1}-1\right)=1+x+\frac{r x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{r(r-1) x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots \\
& g_{s}(x)=1+\frac{1}{s}(\exp (s x)-1)=1+x+\frac{s x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{s^{2} x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and let

$$
g_{s}\left(f_{r}(x)-1\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_{n} x^{n}}{n!} .
$$

Then $g_{s}\left(f_{r}(x)-1\right) \succcurlyeq g_{r+s}(x)$ for $s>0$, so that

$$
a_{n} \geq(r+s)^{n-1}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Equality holds for $n=1$ and $n=2$ for all $r, s$; for $n=3$ if and only if either $r=0$ or $s=1$; and for $n \geq 4$ if and only if $r=0$.

Proof Our proof splits into three parts. Let

$$
F(y)=g_{s}\left(f_{r}(y-1)-1\right)-1+1 / s .
$$

We have

$$
f_{r}(y-1)-1=\frac{1}{r+1}\left(y^{r+1}-1\right)
$$

so

$$
F(y)=\frac{1}{s} \exp \left(\frac{s}{r+1}\left(y^{r+1}-1\right)\right) .
$$

Since $F(y)$ differs from $g_{s}\left(f_{r}(y-1)-1\right)$ only in the constant term, we have

$$
F(y)=-(1-1 / s)+\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n}(y-1)^{n}}{n!},
$$

with the $a_{n}$ as defined in the statement of the theorem. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
a_{n}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} y^{n}}(F(y))\right|_{y=1} .
$$

Let

$$
b_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} y^{n}}(F(y)) .
$$

We first claim that, for $n \geq 1$,

$$
b_{n}=s F(y) y^{r+1-n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} g_{n, m}(r) s^{m} y^{(r+1) m}
$$

for some polynomial $g_{n, m}(r)$ of degree $n-1-m$ in $r$. This is clearly true for $n=1$ as $b_{1}=F^{\prime}(y)=s y^{r} F(y)$; for $n=2$ we have $b_{2}=s y^{r-1}\left(s y^{r+1}+r\right) F(y)$. Thus, $g_{1,0}=1, g_{2,0}=r$ and $g_{2,1}=1$.

If we assume that the equation holds for some $n=k$, with $k \geq 1$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{k+1}= & \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left(b_{k}\right) \\
= & s^{2} y^{r} F(y) y^{r+1-k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} g_{k, m}(r) s^{m} y^{(r+1) m} \\
& +(r+1-k) s F(y) y^{r-k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} g_{k, m}(r) s^{m} y^{(r+1) m} \\
& +s F(y) y^{r+1-k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} g_{k, m}(r) s^{m}(r+1) m y^{(r+1) m-1} \\
= & s F(y) y^{r-k}\left(\left(s y^{r+1}+r+1-k\right) \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} g_{k, m}(r) s^{m} y^{(r+1) m}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}(r+1) m g_{k, m}(r) s^{m} y^{(r+1) m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is of the form required, and so the claim holds for $n=k+1$. Indeed we have

$$
g_{k+1, m}=g_{k, m-1}+((r+1)(m+1)-k) g_{k, m}
$$

where the first term is absent if $m=0$.
Let $S=s y^{r+1}$. Then we see that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (S+r+1-n)(S+r)^{n-1}+(n-1) S(r+1)(S+r)^{n-2} \\
= & (S+r)^{n-2}((S+r+1-n)(S+r)+(n-1) S(r+1)) \\
= & (S+r)^{n-2}\left((S+r)^{2}+(n-1)(S-1) r\right) \\
= & (S+r)^{n}+(n-1)(S+r)^{n-2}(S-1) r .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now claim that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_{n}}{S y^{-n} F(y)} & =(S+r)^{n-1}+(n-2)(S-1) r(S+r)^{n-3} \\
& +\sum_{i, j, l, k \geq 0} \alpha(n, i, j, k, l, \mu) S^{i}(S-1)^{j}(r+1)^{k} r^{l} \prod_{p=1}^{n-1}(S+r+1-p)^{\mu_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}\right), \mu_{i} \geq 0$ and $\alpha(n, i, j, k, l, \mu) \geq 0$ and $i+j+k+l+$ $\sum \mu_{p} \leq n-1$. (The second term is present only if $n \geq 3$.)

Now $b_{1} /\left(S y^{-1} F(y)\right)=1$, so the claim holds for $n=1$ (with the second term absent and the third term containing a single summand with $i=j=$ $\left.k=l=\mu_{p}=0\right)$. Similarly, $b_{2} /\left(S y^{-2} F(y)\right)=S+r$, so the claim holds for $n=2$. Assume that the claim holds for some $n=k$, with $k \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b_{k+1}}{S y^{-k-1} F(y)} \\
= & (-k+(r+1)+S) \frac{b_{k}}{S y^{-k} F(y)} \\
& +(k-1) S(S+r)^{k-2}(r+1)+(k-2)(k-3)(S-1) S(S+r)^{k-4}(r+1) r \\
& +(k-2) S(S+r)^{k-3}(r+1) r \\
& +\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \alpha(n, i, j, k, l, \mu)(r+1) S^{i-1}(S-1)^{j}(r+1)^{k} r^{l} \prod_{p=1}^{n-1}(S+r+1-p)^{\mu_{p}} \\
& +\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \alpha(n, i, j, k, l, \mu) S^{i}(r+1) j(S-1)^{j-1}(r+1)^{k} r^{l} \prod_{p=1}^{n-1}(S+r+1-p)^{\mu_{p}} \\
& +\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \alpha(n, i, j, k, l, \mu) S^{i}(S-1)^{j}(r+1)^{k} r^{l} \times \\
& \times\left(S(r+1) \sum_{\substack{q=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
\mu_{q} \geq 1}} \frac{\mu_{q}}{(S+r+1-q)} \prod_{p=1}^{n-1}(S+r+1-p)^{\mu_{p}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We split this into two parts. The first part is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (S+r+1-k)(S+r)^{k-1}+(k-1) S(S+r)^{k-2}(r+1) \\
= & (S+r)^{k}+(k-1)(S-1)(S+r)^{k-2} r,
\end{aligned}
$$

while the rest has the form

$$
\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \alpha(n+1, i, j, k, l, \mu) S^{i}(S-1)^{j}(r+1)^{k} r^{l} \prod_{p=1}^{n}(S+r+1-p)^{\mu_{p}}
$$

Finally, we put $y=1$. We have the required results for $n \leq 3$, so assume that $n \geq 4$ and that $r, s \geq 1$. We have

$$
\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \alpha(n, i, j, k, l, \mu) s^{i}(s-1)^{j}(r+1)^{k} r^{l} \prod_{p=1}^{n-1}(s+r+1-p)^{\mu_{p}} \geq 0
$$

Now $\left.s y^{-n} F(y)\right|_{y=1}=1,\left.S\right|_{y=1}=s$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} & =\left.b_{n}\right|_{y=1} \\
& \geq(s+r)^{n-1}+(n-2) r(s-1)(s+r)^{n-3} \\
& \geq(s+r)^{n-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

with strict inequality if $n>2$ and $s>1$.
If $s=1$ and $n \geq 4$, then the second part of the expression contains a term $(k-2) S(S+r)^{k-3}(r+1) r$. On putting $n=k+1$ and $y=1$ this becomes $(n-3) s(s+r)^{n-4}(r+1) r$, which is strictly positive; so we have strict inequality.

## 4 Recognising imprimitive groups

Lemma 4.1 Let $G$ be transitive on the infinite set $\Omega$, and suppose that the point stabiliser $G_{\alpha}$ has $s$ orbits (all infinite) on $\Omega \backslash\{\alpha\}$, and $s^{2}$ orbits on ordered pairs of distinct elements from this set. Then $G$ has s infinite blocks of imprimitivity (and so is imprimitive if $s>1$ ); it permutes the set of blocks regularly, and the stabiliser of a block acts 3-transitively on it.

Proof Our proof is by induction on $s$. It is clear that for $s=1$, the hypotheses assert that $G$ is 3 -transitive. Suppose that $s \geq 2$, and that the lemma holds for values less than $s$. The hypothesis implies that an orbit of $G_{\alpha}$ on pairs of distinct points is uniquely determined by the $G_{\alpha}$-orbits containing the two points.

First, we claim that $G$ is imprimitive. For let $\{\alpha\}, O_{1}, \ldots, O_{s}$ be the orbits of $G_{\alpha}$, and choose a point $\beta \in O_{1}$. Then the orbits of $G_{\alpha \beta}$ are $\{\alpha\}$, $\{\beta\}, O_{1} \backslash\{\beta\}, O_{2}, \ldots, O_{s}$. The $G_{\beta \text {-orbits }}$ are the same except for taking the union of $\{\alpha\}$ with one of the other orbits.

If $s>2$, at least one orbit $O_{i}$ is fixed by both $G_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\beta}$. Since it is not fixed by $G$, we see that $\left\langle G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}\right\rangle$ is a proper subgroup of $G$, whence $G$ is imprimitive.

For $s=2$, the same argument applies unless $O_{2} \cup\{\alpha\}$ is an orbit of $G_{\beta}$. In this case we see that $\{\alpha\} \cup O_{2}$ and $\{\beta\} \cup O_{1}$ are the blocks of imprimitivity.

Choose $B$ to be a minimal block of imprimitivity containing $\alpha$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $B=\{\alpha\} \cup O_{1} \cup \cdots \cup O_{t}$, for some $t$ with $1 \leq t<s$. Let $H$ be the setwise stabiliser of $B$, acting on $B$. Clearly all
$H_{\alpha}$-orbits are infinite, and $F_{1}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)=t$ and $F_{2}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)=t^{2}$. The same argument as before shows that, if $t>1$, then $H$ is imprimitive, contrary to our choice of $B$ as a minimal block. So $t=1$ and $H$ is 3 -transitive on $B$.

If $i>1$ and $\beta \in O_{1}$, then $G_{\alpha}$ is transitive on $O_{1} \times O_{i}$, so $G_{\alpha \beta}$ is transitive on $O_{i}$. Thus, $O_{i}$ is an orbit of $\left\langle G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}\right\rangle=G_{B}$. It follows that, for $\gamma \in O_{i}$, the orbits of $G_{\gamma}$ are $B, O_{i} \backslash\{\gamma\}$, and $O_{j}$ for $j \neq 1, i$. Thus, $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{2}$ are the translates of $B$, and $G$ permutes the blocks $B=O_{1} \cup\{\alpha\}, O_{2}, \ldots, O_{s}$ regularly.

Now suppose that $G$ has $s$ infinite blocks of imprimitivity and permutes the blocks regularly. Then $F_{k}(G)=F_{k-1}\left(G_{\alpha}\right) \geq s^{k-1}$, since $G_{\alpha}$ fixes all $s$ blocks. Equality implies, in particular, that $G_{\alpha}$ acts $(k-1)$-transitively on the remaining points of the block containing $\alpha$. So the Theorem is proved.
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