## Semiregular automorphisms of vertex-transitive cubic graphs

Peter Cameron <sup>a,1</sup> John Sheehan <sup>b</sup> Pablo Spiga <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.

<sup>b</sup>Mathematical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, U.K.

## Abstract

An old conjecture of Marušič, Jordan and Klin asserts that any finite vertextransitive graph has a non-trivial semiregular automorphism. Marušič and Scapellato proved this for cubic graphs. For these graphs, we make a stronger conjecture, to the effect that there is a semiregular automorphism of order tending to infinity with n. We prove that there is one of order greater than 2.

Key words: vertex-transitive graph, semiregular automorphism

A permutation  $\sigma$  is semiregular if all its cycles have the same length. An old conjecture made independently by Marušič, Jordan and Klin (see the introduction to [3] for details) asserts that any finite vertex-transitive graph has a non-trivial semiregular automorphism. Clearly there is no loss of generality in assuming that the graph is connected. Marušič and Scapellato proved:

**Theorem 1** A vertex-transitive connected cubic simple graph has a non-trivial semiregular automorphism.

We need to reproduce the proof since we will use parts of it later.

**PROOF.** We argue by contradiction. Let G be a connected cubic vertex-transitive graph, and suppose that G has no non-trivial semiregular automorphism.

We first observe that, if  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of prime order greater than 3, then  $\sigma$  is semiregular. For, if  $\sigma$  fixes a vertex v, then it must fix the three

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author; email p.j.cameron@qmul.ac.uk

neighbours of v, and then their neighbours, ad so on; since G is connected, we would find that  $\sigma$  is the identity, a contradiction.

So  $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = 2^x 3^y$  for some x, y.

Next we show that  $y \neq 0$ . For suppose that y = 0. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is a 2-group, so there is a non-identity element  $\sigma$  in its centre; and since  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is transitive,  $\sigma$  is semiregular, contrary to assumption.

Now it follows that G is arc-transitive, that is,  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is transitive on ordered pairs of adjacent vertices. For let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of order 3. Then  $\sigma$  fixes a vertex. Arguing as before, there must be a vertex v such that  $\sigma$  fixes v and permutes its three neighbours transitively. Since G is vertex-transitive (by assumption), it is thus arc-transitive.

By Burnside's  $p^{\alpha}q^{\beta}$ -theorem,  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is soluble. So a minimal normal subgroup N of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is elementary abelian. We split the argument into two cases, according as N is a 3-group or a 2-group.

Case 1: N is a 3-group. Since N is abelian, it acts regularly on each or its orbits. We further subdivide into cases as follows. Consider the stabiliser  $N_v$  of a vertex v.

Case 1A:  $N_v$  fixes the three neighbours of v. Since N is a normal subgroup of Aut(G), this holds for all vertices v. As before, we find that  $N_v = 1$ , so that N is semiregular, as of course are all its non-identity elements.

Case 1B:  $N_v$  permutes the three neighbours of v transitively. Then these neighbours lie in the same N-orbit, say  $O_1$ . Let v lie in the orbit  $O_2$ . Then  $N_v$  fixes  $O_2$  pointwise (since N acts regularly on  $O_2$ ) but acts semiregularly on  $O_1$  as a group of order 3. So  $O_1 \neq O_2$ . Moreover, all edges from vertices in  $O_1$  go to vertices in  $O_2$ , so G is bipartite, with bipartite blocks  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ . Thus G is the complete bipartite graph  $K_{3,3}$ . But this graph has a regular automorphism of order 6.

Case 2: N is a 2-group. Choose a vertex v. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)_v$  acts transitively on the three neighbours of v, hence as either the symmetric group  $S_3$  or the cyclic group  $A_3$ . So its normal subgroup  $N_v$  acts as  $S_3$ ,  $A_3$  or the trivial group. Since N is a 2-group, the first two cases are impossible, and  $N_v$  fixes the neighbours of v. Then the usual argument shows that  $N_v$  is trivial, so N is semiregular, and we are done.  $\square$ 

The proof allows the possibility that the semiregular element has order 2 or 3. However, in all the examples known to us, there are semiregular elements with order at least 4. We make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 2** There is a function f, so that  $f(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , with the property that a connected vertex-transitive cubic graph on n vertices has a semiregular automorphism of order at least f(n).

In the rest of this paper, we show that there is always a semiregular automorphism of order at least 3, and end with some examples which give an upper bound to the growth of such a function.

**Theorem 3** Let G be a connected vertex-transitive cubic graph. Then G has a semiregular automorphism of order greater than 2.

The proof depends on the following group-theoretic lemma.

**Lemma 4** If P is a 2-group which is not elementary abelian, and Q a corefree subgroup of P, then there is an element of P of order 4 whose square lies in no conjugate of Q.

**PROOF.** Recall that a subgroup Q of P is *core-free* if Q contains no non-trivial normal subgroup of P. Note that, if Q is core-free, then  $Q \cap \zeta(P) = 1$ , where  $\zeta(P)$  is the centre of P.

Our proof is by induction on |P|. Let P be a minimal counterexample. Let Q be a core-free subgroup of P such that

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = \{g^2 | g \in G\} \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in P} Q^g.$$

If the exponent of  $\zeta(P)$  is at least 4, then the centre of P contains a square, and the proposition is clear, so assume  $\zeta(P)$  is elementary abelian.

Let Z be a central subgroup of order 2 and consider P/Z and QZ/Z. If P/Z is elementary abelian then  $1 \neq g^2 \in Z$  for some  $g \in P$ . In particular, as Q is core-free,  $g^2 \notin Q$ . So, we may as well assume that P/Z is not elementary abelian.

Now, |P/Z| < |P| and  $\{g^2 Z | g \in P\} \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in P} (QZ/Z)^g$ . So, by the induction hypothesis, QZ/Z is not core-free in P/Z.

Set  $N = \bigcap_{g \in P} (QZ)^g$ . Now,  $Z < N \leq QZ$ , hence NQ = ZQ. In particular  $\bigcap_{g \in P} (QN)^g = N$ . Therefore QN/N is a core-free subgroup of P/N. Moreover  $\{g^2N|g \in P\} \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in P} (QN/N)^g$ . So, by the induction hypothesis, P/N is

elementary abelian, so that  $\Phi(P) \subseteq N$ , where  $\Phi(P)$  is the Frattini subgroup of P.

Now NQ = QZ, |Z| = 2 and Z < N; so  $N \cap Q$  has index 2 in N. Furthermore,  $Q \cap N$  is core-free, therefore N is a subdirect product of copies of the cyclic group of order 2, and hence is a normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of P. Since  $\Phi(P) \subseteq N$ , this implies that  $\Phi(P)$  is elementary abelian.

Let  $Z_1$  be another subgroup of  $\zeta(P)$  of order 2. Applying the same argument, we get  $\Phi(P) \subseteq QZ_1$  as well as  $\Phi(P) \subseteq QZ$ . If  $QZ \neq QZ_1$  then  $Q = QZ \cap QZ_1$ . Therefore Q is a normal subgroup of P, a contradiction. Therefore  $QZ = QZ_1$ . This proves that  $QZ = Q\zeta(P)$  (since  $\zeta(P)$  is elementary abelian). In particular, as Q is core-free,  $\zeta(P)$  has order Z, Z and Z has nilpotency class Z.

Let g, h be elements of P,  $1 = [g, h]^2 = [g^2, h]$ . Therefore  $g^2$  lies in the centre of P. Thence  $\mathcal{P}^2 \subseteq \zeta(P)$ . So, either  $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$  or  $\zeta(P)$  contains a square. In the former case P is elementary abelian, a contradiction. In the latter case P is not a counterexample. This concludes the proof.  $\square$ 

This is equivalent to the following result about permutation groups:

Corollary 5 Let P be a transitive 2-group which is not elementary abelian. Then P contains a semiregular element of order 4.  $\square$ 

This follows immediately from the lemma, on taking Q to be the stabiliser of a point in P. (An element of order 4 is semiregular if and only if its square has no fixed points.)

Now we can prove the Theorem. Let G be a vertex-transitive cubic graph which has no semiregular automorphism of order greater than 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1, Aut(G) has order divisible by the primes 2 and 3 only.

Suppose that P = Aut(G) is a 2-group. By the above Corollary, it is elementary abelian and regular, and G is a Cayley graph for P. Since G is a cubic graph, P is generated by three elements. Thus P has order 4 or 8, and G is  $K_4$  or the cube; but each of these graphs has a semiregular automorphism of order 4. So 3 must divide |Aut(G)|.

If 3 does not divide the order of the vertex stabiliser, then an element of order 3 is semiregular. (Note that in this case we cannot construct a semiregular automorphism of order greater than 3; but such an automorphism will exist unless the exponent of a Sylow 3-subgroup of G is 3.)

So we may assume that there is an automorphism of order 3 fixing a vertex

and permuting its three neighbours transitively. Since G is vertex-transitive, it is arc-transitive.

Let v be any vertex, and N a minimal normal subgroup of G (which we may assume is an elementary abelian 2-group). We separate three cases, according to the behaviour of the neighbours of v.

Case 1: The neighbours of v are in the same N-orbit as v. In this case, N is transitive, so G is a Cayley graph, which is dealt with by the same argument as before.

Case 2: The neighbours of v are all in a single N-orbit which doesn't contain v. In this case, as before, there are just two N-orbits and G is bipartite; we find easily that it is the 3-cube.

Case 3: The neighbours of v are all in different N-orbits. In this case, the edges between two orbits (if any) form a 1-factor; the graph obtained by shrinking each N-orbit to a single vertex and each such 1-factor to a single edge is a cubic vertex-transitive graph, so has a semiregular automorphism of order greater than 2, by induction. This lifts to a semiregular automorphism group of G which is not an elementary abelian 2-group, and hence contains an element of order greater than 2.  $\square$ 

**Remark** In an earlier version, we asked whether the following stronger version of the Lemma is true:

If P is a 2-group which is not elementary abelian, then some non-identity element of the centre of P is a square.

It was pointed out to us by Alexander Hulpke and Andreas Caranti that this is not the case: there are counterexamples of order 128, for example, group number 36 in the list of small groups in GAP [2].

We conclude with an example to show that, if our conjecture is true, the function f(n) cannot grow faster than  $n^{1/3}$ .

Let p be a prime congruent to  $\pm 1 \mod 16$ , and let G be the group  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ . Then G has a maximal subgroup H isomorphic to  $S_4$ . This subgroup contains a dihedral subgroup K of order 8, with  $N_G(K)$  a dihedral group of order 16. (See Burnside [1] for the subgroups of G.) Then G, acting on the cosets of H, preserves the orbital graph corresponding to the double coset HxH, where  $x \in N_G(K) \setminus K$ . Since  $H \cap x^{-1}Hx = K$ , and |H:K| = 3, this orbital graph is cubic and 1-transitive. Since  $|H| = 3 \cdot 2^3$ , it is 4-transitive. Now it follows that G is the full automorphism group. For Tutte's Theorem [4] shows that the full automorphism group has at most twice the order of G. So it contains G as a normal subgroup of index at most 2. If it is larger than G, it would be PGL(2, p). But this group does not contain a subgroup isomorphic to  $S_4 \times C_2$ .

Now the largest order of an element of G is p, and the number of vertices of the graph is  $(p^3 - p)/48$ .

## References

- [1] W. Burnside, *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, Dover Publications (reprint), New York, 1955.
- [2] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.2; Aachen, St Andrews, 1999. (http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~gap)
- [3] D. Marušič and R. Scapellato, Permutation groups, vertex-transitive digraphs and semiregular automorphisms, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **19** (1998), 707–712.
- [4] W. T. Tutte, A family of cubical graphs, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **43** (1947), 459–474.