

Partition backtrack methods for more complicated group actions

Christopher W. Monteith

October 24, 2008

1 Outline

- **Combinatorial isomorphism** problems: action of group G on set Ω .
- **Current implementation** requires $G = S_n$.
- Not even possible to use an **arbitrary permutation group**.
- **Two goals**
 - **Augment** the framework for added flexibility.
 - **Generalise** the framework to work with general actions.
- **add** bells and whistles vs. **generalise** existing bells and whistles.
- **Progress** has been made on both.
- This talk: **generalisation**.

2 Generalisation: what, why, and how

2.1 What?

- **Two clear ways:**
 - Generalise the types of objects used to define permutations.
 - Generalise the type of group that acts on the objects.

- **Progress** has been made on the **latter**.
- Work is **very new** and certainly incomplete.
- When finished should provide a “**shopping list**” of functions.

2.2 Why?

- **Why generalise** to groups other than S_n ?
 - **At least** deal with different permutation groups.
 - **Ideally** deal with abstract groups.
- **E.g.:** Different actions on the set $\mathbb{F}_q^{[n]}$ of length n codewords.

1. Act on $\mathbb{F}_q^{[n]}$ with S_n :

$$\begin{aligned} S_n \times \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]} &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]} \\ (x, \omega) &\mapsto \omega \circ x^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

2. Act on $\mathbb{F}_q^{[n]}$ with \mathbb{F}_q^\times wr S_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^{[n]} \times S_n \right) \times \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]} &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]} \\ ((u, x), \omega) &\mapsto u \cdot (\omega \circ x^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

3. Act on $\mathbb{F}_q^{[n]}$ with $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times (\mathbb{F}_q^\times \text{ wr } S_n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \left((\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^{[n]} \times S_n \right) \right) \times \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]} &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]} \\ ((\lambda, (u, x)), \omega) &\mapsto \lambda(u \cdot (\omega \circ x^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

- The **second and third** actions on $\mathbb{F}_q^{[n]}$ certainly don't seem isomorphic to symmetric groups.
- \therefore current algorithm **falls short** of **naturally** working with sophisticated group actions.

2.3 How?

- Many mechanisms **dependent** on ordered partitions.
- \therefore Want to keep using them.
- **But:** To move past using S_n we need to move past $[n]$.
- Only concept dependent on $[n]$: the **group action**.
- All else depends on concepts that **generalise readily**.

3 Catalogue what we have

3.1 Objects

- Set of objects Ω .
- S_n acts on left of Ω .

3.2 Points

- Set of points $[n]$.
- S_n acts **faithfully** on left of $[n]$.

3.3 Partitions on points

- Set Π_n of **ordered partitions** over $[n]$.
- S_n acts **pointwise** on the left of Π_n .
- **Two critical aspects**.
- **Firstly:** each π in Π_n **defines subset** of S_n .
 - All perms that send π to a **canonical representative** $h(\pi)$.
 - Obtained with function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} : \Pi_n &\rightarrow \Pi_n \\ \pi &\mapsto \{x \in S_n \mid x\pi = h(\pi)\} \end{aligned}$$

- **Harmonious partition** is canonical representative.
- **Secondly:** we construct a **refinement process**.
 - Gives a set of fine partitions for each $(\alpha, \pi) \in \Omega \times \Pi_n$.
 - Each **fine partition** gives **exactly one permutation**.
 - \therefore a set of permutations is found.
- Properties of \mathcal{B} and refinement \Rightarrow **all automorphisms** and **canonical representative** obtained.
- What are these properties?

4 Distill the essentials

- **Two fundamental actions** of S_n : one on Ω and one on $[n]$.
- All other G actions are **built up**.
- **Roughly:** Studying the (**probably complicated**) action of S_n on Ω by working with the (**hopefully simpler**) action of S_n over $[n]$.
- **Two stages** (concurrent in practice).
- **Firstly:** Generate (refine) ordered partitions.
 - **Critical:** All **functions** involved in **refinement** are S_n -morphisms.
 - Split:

$$\mathcal{S} : \Pi_n \times [n] \rightarrow \Pi_n.$$
 - Choose:

$$\mathcal{C} : \Omega \times \Pi_n \setminus \Phi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([n]).$$
 - Refine:

$$\mathcal{R} : \Omega \times \Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi_n.$$
 - Tree:

$$\mathcal{T} : \Omega \times \Pi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Pi_n).$$
- **Secondly:** Generate corresponding permutations.

- **Critical:** Function h is a **canonical map** over Π_n under S_n .
- **Practical need:** easily derive $h(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\pi)$.
- **Result:** Leaf permutation function

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Pi_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S_n)$$

is an S_n -morphism.

5 Generalise

5.1 G -spaces

- Act with an arbitrary group G .
- Ω is a G -space.
- Instead of $[n]$ use **finite set** Γ forming a left G -space.
- Resulting set of ordered partitions: Π_Γ .
- Fine partitions: Φ_Γ .
- Π_Γ forms a left G -space under pointwise action.

5.2 Defining the subsets of G

- Analogous to S_n on Π_n .
- **Essential:** Canonical map

$$\mu : \Pi_\Gamma \rightarrow \Pi_\Gamma.$$

- (What used to be h)
- Recall: $\forall \pi \in \Pi_\Gamma, \forall g \in G$

$$\mu(\pi) = \mu(g\pi)$$

and $\exists g' \in G$ s.t.

$$\mu(\pi) = g'\pi.$$

- Want elements of G that send π to its **canonical representative** $\mu(\pi)$.

- **Defn:**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B} : \Pi_\Gamma &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G) \\ \pi &\mapsto \{g \in G \mid g\pi = \mu(\pi)\}\end{aligned}$$

- **Prop:** $(\forall \pi \in \Pi_\Gamma) (\forall g \in \mathcal{B}(\pi))$

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi) = g\text{Aut}(\pi)$$

- **Corr:** $\forall \pi \in \Pi_\Gamma, \forall g \in G$

$$\mathcal{B}(g\pi) = \mathcal{B}(\pi)g^{-1}$$

- So \mathcal{B} is a G -morphism.
- **Only one** group element from a **fine** partition?
- **Corr:** Let π be fine. $|\mathcal{B}(\pi)| = 1$ if and only if G acts faithfully on Γ .
- **Proof:**

– **Definition** G is faithful on $\Gamma \iff \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Aut}(\gamma) = \{1\}$.

– **Since π is fine** $\text{Aut}(\pi) = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Aut}(\gamma)$.

– **By Prop** $|\mathcal{B}(\pi)| = 1 \iff \text{Aut}(\pi) = \{1\}$.

- **Tree function** gives a subset of $\Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma$.
- Need to **derive** the **group elements** corresponding to fine partitions.
- **Defn:**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G) \\ A &\mapsto \{g \in G \mid (\exists (\alpha, \pi) \in A) \pi \in \Phi_\Gamma \wedge g \in \mathcal{B}(\pi)\}\end{aligned}$$

- **Prop:** \mathcal{L} is a G -morphism; that is, $(\forall A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma)) (\forall g \in G)$

$$\mathcal{L}(gA) = \mathcal{L}(A)g^{-1}.$$

5.3 Refining partitions of Π_Γ

- **Refinement relation** \sqsubseteq can still be defined.
- **Same approach:** split, choose, and refine.

– Split:

$$\mathcal{S} : \Pi_\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi_\Gamma.$$

– Choose:

$$\mathcal{C} : \Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma \setminus \Phi_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma).$$

– Refine:

$$\mathcal{R} : \Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma \rightarrow \Pi_\Gamma.$$

- All **domains and codomains** are G -spaces
- We require all these functions to be G -**morphisms**.
- Definition of the **tree function** is identical to before.
- However,

$$\mathcal{T} : \Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma)$$

- Induction is still useable; therefore,
 - \mathcal{T} is a G -morphism
 - \mathcal{T} always gives a set with at least one fine partition.

5.4 Combining the two

- $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{T}$ will be a G -morphism.

- **Prop:**

$$(\forall (\alpha, \pi) \in \Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma) (\forall g \in G)$$

$$(\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{T}(g\alpha, g\pi))(g\alpha, g\pi) = (\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \pi))(\alpha, \pi).$$

- **Prop:**

$$(\forall (\alpha, \pi) \in \Omega \times \Pi_\Gamma) (\forall g \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \pi))$$

$$g\text{Aut}((\alpha, \pi)) \subseteq \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \pi).$$

6 Outstanding tasks

- Still need to get at a **base and strong generating set**.
- **May impose** a few extra **limitations** on what function μ can be.
- Nice interaction with the refinement relation may be one such need.
- **Examples** of functions that fit the shopping list are needed.