Synchronization 7: Representation theory

Peter J. Cameron



10-11 June 2010

2-closure

We have seen that synchronization and related properties are closed upwards (i.e. preserved on passing to overgroups). They also have a limited form of downward closure, as we will now see.

Let *G* be a permutation group on Ω .

- The 2-*closure* of *G* is the set of all permutations of Ω which preserve the *G*-orbits on Ω² (the set of ordered pairs of elements of Ω). The group *G* is 2-*closed* if it is equal to its 2-closure.
- The *strong* 2-*closure* of *G* is the set of all permutations of Ω which preserve the *G*-orbits on the set of 2-element subsets of Ω . The group *G* is *strongly* 2-*closed* if it is equal to its strong 2-closure.

Note that

- the 2-closure of *G* is contained (possibly strictly) in its strong 2-closure;
- the 2-closure of *G* is the symmetric group if and only if *G* is 2-transitive;
- the strong 2-closure of *G* is the symmetric group if and only if *G* is 2-set transitive.

Theorem 1. Let *P* denote one of the conditions "primitive", "synchronizing", "separating", "2-set transitive". Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) G satisfies P;
- (b) the 2-closure of G satisfies P;

(c) the strong 2-closure of G satisfies P.

Proof. In view of our earlier remarks, (a) implies (b) implies (c); so it suffices to show that (c) implies (a). But each property can be defined in terms of *G*-invariant graphs, and *G* and its strong 2-closure clearly preserve the same graphs. \Box

Representation theory

We now turn to an algebraic approach to these and related closure properties. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. We only consider the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q} . Certainly there is an interesting theory waiting to be worked out in the case where \mathbb{F} is, say, a finite field, a *p*adic field, or even a ring!

Let *G* be a permutation group on Ω . The *permutation module* is the **F***G*-module **F** Ω which has the elements of Ω as a basis, where *G* acts by permuting the basis vectors.

Now the \mathbb{F} -*closure* of *G* consists of all permutations which preserve all $\mathbb{F}G$ -submodules pf $\mathbb{F}\Omega$; and *G* is \mathbb{F} -*closed* if it is equal to its \mathbb{F} -closure.

Consider the case where *G* is the symmetric group $Sym(\Omega)$. The permutation module has just two non-trivial submodules:

- the 1-dimensional module <u>Ω</u> spanned by the sum of the elements of Ω;
- the *n* 1-dimensional *augmentation submodule* consisting of the vectors with coordinate sum zero.

For, if *W* is a submodule containing a vector *x* with $x_v \neq x_w$, and *g* is the transposition (v, w), then *W* contains $x - xg = \lambda(v - w)$. By 2-transitivity, *W* contains all differences between basis vectors; but these span the augmentation module.

Theorem 2. The \mathbb{C} -closure of a permutation group *G* is equal to its 2-closure.

The proof requires a little character theory; a brief sketch follows.

Character theory

Any representation of a group by matrices over the complex numbers is determined up to isomorphism by its *character*, the function ϕ which maps each group element to the trace of the matrix representing it. A character is a *class function* (constant on conjugacy classes).

Any representation can be decomposed uniquely (up to isomorphism) into *irreducible* representations. An *irreducible character* is the character of an irreducible representation.

The characters form an orthonormal basis for the space of complex class functions, under the inner product

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = rac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}.$$

The *trivial character* 1_G is the function mapping every group element to 1.

The permutation character

Let *G* be a permutation group on Ω , where $|\Omega| = n$. Then we have an action of *G* on $\mathbb{C}\Omega$ by permutation matrices. Its character is the *permutation character* π , where $\pi(g)$ is the number of fixed points of *g*.

The Orbit-Counting Lemma states that

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\pi(g)=\text{\# orbits of }G.$$

The sum on the left is just $\langle 1_G, \pi \rangle$; so the multiplicity of the trivial character in π is equal to the number of orbits of *G*.

Applying the preceding result to the action of *G* on $\Omega \times \Omega$ (whose permutation character is π^2), we see that

$$\langle \pi, \pi \rangle = \langle \pi^2, 1_G \rangle = #$$
 orbits of G on Ω^2 .

This number is called the *rank* of *G*.

The rank is equal to the sum of squares of the multiplicities of the irreducible characters in π (since if $\pi = \sum a_i \phi_i$, with ϕ_i irreducible, then orthonormality gives

$$\langle \pi, \pi \rangle = \sum a_i^2.$$

In particular, *G* is 2-transitive if and only if $\pi = 1_G + \phi$ for some irreducible character ϕ . (The character ϕ is afforded by the action of *G* on the augmentation submodule of the permutation module: so *G* is 2-transitive if and only if the augmentation submodule is irreducible.)

2-closure = \mathbb{C} -closure

Let \overline{G} be the 2-closure of G. Then \overline{G} has the same sum of squares of multiplicities of irreducibles as G, which implies that the decomposition of the permutation character is the same for \overline{G} as for G. Hence \overline{G} is contained in the \mathbb{C} -closure of G.

Conversely, let \hat{G} be the C-closure of G. Then \hat{G} preserves the isotypic components of the permutation module (one of these consists of the sum of all copies of a particular isomorphism type of irreducible module). The lattice of submodules of the sum of r isomorphic irreducible modules is isomorphic to the (r-1)-dimensional complex projective space; all these submodules are preserved by \hat{G} . So the isomorphic G-modules remain isomorphic as \hat{G} -modules. Thus the multiplicities are the same for \hat{G} as for G, and so the ranks of these groups are equal. Since $G \leq \hat{G}$, it follows that \hat{G} preserves the G-orbits, and so is contained in the 2-closure \bar{G} .

Hence
$$\hat{G} = \bar{G}$$
.

A problem

Is it true that the \mathbb{R} -closure of a permutation group coincides with its strong 2-closure?

This is not known in general, but it is true for groups whose permutation character is multiplicity-free.

FI groups

We say that the permutation group *G* on Ω is $\mathbb{F}I$ if its \mathbb{F} -closure is the symmetric group; that is, if the only *G*-submodules of $\mathbb{F}\Omega$ are $\underline{\Omega}$ and the augmentation module.

Theorem 3. Let G be a permutation group on Ω .

- *G* is CI if and only if it is 2-transitive.
- *G* is \mathbb{R} *I* if and only if it is 2-set transitive.

This naturally suggests looking at QI groups, to which we now turn.

Theorem 4. Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω , and \mathbb{F} a field of characteristic zero. Then G is primitive (resp. synchronizing, separating, spreading, or QI) if and only if its \mathbb{F} -closure is.

Three of these results are immediate from the next lemma.

Theorem 5. Let *G* be a transitive permutation group on Ω , and \mathbb{F} a field of characteristic zero. Let *A* and *B* be multisets such that $|A * Bg| = \lambda$ for all $g \in G$. Then $|A * Bg| = \lambda$ for all *g* in the \mathbb{F} -closure of *G*.

Let v_1 and v_2 be the characteristic functions of Aand B respectively. Setting $w_i = v_i - (v_i \cdot j)j/n$ for i = 1, 2, where j is the all-1 vector, we find that j, w_1 and w_2g are pairwise orthogonal for any $g \in G$. So the *G*-submodules generated by j, w_1 and w_2 are pairwise orthogonal. These modules are invariant under the \mathbb{F} -closure \hat{G} ; reversing the argument gives the result.

This immediately proves the earlier theorem for separating, spreading and QI groups.

Suppose that *G* is imprimitive, and let π be a *G*-invariant partition. Then the characteristic functions of the parts of π form an orthogonal basis for a submodule of F Ω , which is fixed by \hat{G} . The partition can be recovered from the submodule, since it is the coarsest partition on the parts of which the elements of the submodule are constant. So \hat{G} is imprimitive.

Finally, suppose that *G* is not synchronizing, and let the partition π and section *S* witness this. Let *v* be the characteristic function of *S*, and w = v - (v.j)j/n. Then wg is orthogonal to the characteristic functions of the parts of π for all $g \in G$, so

every vector in the submodule generated by w is orthogonal to these vectors. Since this submodule is fixed by \hat{G} , every \hat{G} -image of S is a section for π , and \hat{G} is non-synchronizing.

The hierarchy revisited

If *G* is QI, then its Q-closure is the symmetric group, which is spreading; so *G* is spreading.

So our hierarchy finally looks like this:

transitive
$$\Leftarrow$$
 primitive \Leftarrow basic
 \Leftarrow synchronizing \Leftarrow separating \Leftarrow spreading
 \Leftarrow QI \Leftarrow 2-set transitive \Leftarrow 2-transitive.

We will see that there are groups which are QI but not 2-set transitive; indeed, these groups have recently been classified. But no examples are currently known of groups which are spreading but not QI.

Affine groups

Recall that an *affine group* is a permutation group *G* on the *d*-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p (where *p* is prime) generated by the translation group *T* and an irreducible linear group *H*. Thus *G* is the semidirect product of *T* by *H*; and *H* is the stabiliser of the zero vector.

Theorem 6. Let G be an affine permutation group on V, with $H = G_0$ as above. Then the following are equivalent:

- G is spreading;
- *G* is QI;
- *H* is transitive on the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of *V*;
- *the group generated by G and the scalars in* GF(*p*) *is 2-transitive.*

The affine groups described in the Theorem can be completely classified, using the classification of affine 2-transitive groups.

Proof. It is clear that (c) and (d) are equivalent. Let us suppose that they do not hold. Then H is not transitive on 1-dimensional spaces of V, and hence

not transitive on (d-1)-dimensional subspaces either (by Brauer's lemma). Choose hyperplanes A and B in different orbits of H. Then no image of B under G is parallel to A, so $|A \cap Bg| = p^{d-2}$ for all $g \in G$. Thus G is not spreading. So (a) implies (c) and (d). It is clear that (b) implies (a); so it remains to prove that (c) and (d) imply that G is QI.

The scalars in \mathbb{F}_p act on *V*, and hence on the characters of *V*; and their action is precisely that of the Galois group of the field of *p*th roots of unity. Now assuming that (d) holds, this group permutes the non-principal irreducibles in the permutation character transitively, and so *G* is QI, as required.

3/2-transitive groups

A permutation group *G* on Ω is said to be 3/2*transitive* if it is transitive, and the stabiliser of a point *v* has all its orbits except $\{v\}$ of the same size. (If there is just one such orbit then *G* is 2transitive.)

Example 7. Let q be a power of 2. The group PSL(2, q) has dihedral subgroups of order 2(q + 1); it acts transitively on the set of cosets of such a subgroup, and the stabiliser has q/2 - 1 orbits each of size q + 1 on the remaining points.

Example 8. There is a "sporadic" example: the symmetric group S_7 acting on 2-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 7\}$. This works because $2 \cdot 5 = \binom{5}{2}$.

Using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, John Bamberg, Michael Giudici, Martin Liebeck, Cheryl Praeger and Jan Saxl have determined all the 3/2-transitive groups. Any such group is affine, or one of the two examples described above.

Although the class of 3/2-transitive groups is not closed upwards, this classification gives us the QI-groups:

Theorem 9. Any QI group is 3/2-transitive.

The reason is that the permutation character is the sum of the trivial character and a family of algebraically conjugate characters; an old result of Frame now applies.

The QI groups

The group S_7 acting on 2-sets is not QI.

Careful analysis of the character values of PSL(2, q) show that the 3/2-transitive action of this group described earlier is QI if and only if q - 1 is a Mersenne prime.

So there are probably infinitely many examples of this form, though nobody knows for sure.

Any other QI group is affine.

QI versus spreading

We don't know any examples of groups which are spreading but not QI. Moreover, there are very few QI groups, and there are plenty of places to look for spreading groups.

We saw above that

G is not QI if and only if there are non-trivial multisets *A* and *B* satisfying (1)_λ,

whereas, by definition,

G is not spreading if and only if there are non-trivial multisets *A* and *B* satisfying (1)_λ, (3) and (4).

Condition (3) says that B is a set. In combinatorial problems of this kind, there is usually a big difference between asking for a multiset with a certain property and asking for a set. For this reason and others, I suspect that such groups will exist.