

Greetings, Martin

## Dyer and Volumes of Convex sets, ..

- # P-Hardness of computing the volume of a polyhedron [Dyer and Frieze](#)

## Dyer and Volumes of Convex sets, ..

- # P-Hardness of computing the volume of a polyhedron [Dyer and Frieze](#)
- Random polynomial time algorithm [Dyer, Frieze, K.](#)

## Dyer and Volumes of Convex sets, ..

- # P-Hardness of computing the volume of a polyhedron [Dyer and Frieze](#)
- Random polynomial time algorithm [Dyer, Frieze, K.](#)
- Improvement of the running time to  $O^*(n^8)$  [Dyer and Frieze](#)

## Dyer and Volumes of Convex sets, ..

- # P-Hardness of computing the volume of a polyhedron [Dyer and Frieze](#)
- Random polynomial time algorithm [Dyer, Frieze, K.](#)
- Improvement of the running time to  $O^*(n^8)$  [Dyer and Frieze](#)
- (Exact) Number of Lattice Points in a fixed dimensional polyhedron - simplifying an algorithm of [Barvinok](#) - [Dyer, K.](#)

## Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.

## Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.
- In poly time, we can find an (essentially) optimal solution to:

## Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.
- In poly time, we can find an (essentially) optimal solution to:
  - Min  $F(c^{(1)}x, c^{(2)}x, \dots, c^{(k)}x)$



## Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.
- In poly time, we can find an (essentially) optimal solution to:
  - Min  $F(c^{(1)}x, c^{(2)}x, \dots, c^{(k)}x)$
  - subject to  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  and at most  $k$  of the  $x_i$  are fractional.

# Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.
- In poly time, we can find an (essentially) optimal solution to:
  - Min  $F(c^{(1)}x, c^{(2)}x, \dots, c^{(k)}x)$
  - subject to  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  and at most  $k$  of the  $x_i$  are fractional.
- Further, time = time for gradient descent to solve the real relaxation Plus One Linear Program

## Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.
- In poly time, we can find an (essentially) optimal solution to:
  - Min  $F(c^{(1)}x, c^{(2)}x, \dots, c^{(k)}x)$
  - subject to  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  and at most  $k$  of the  $x_i$  are fractional.
- Further, time = time for gradient descent to solve the real relaxation Plus One Linear Program
- **Proof** Solve the real relaxation (no integrality) to get opt soln  $y$ .

# Main Result

- $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  unknowns.  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \dots, c^{(k)}$  are  $k$  given  $n$ -vectors.  $F : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  convex function.
- In poly time, we can find an (essentially) optimal solution to:
  - Min  $F(c^{(1)}x, c^{(2)}x, \dots, c^{(k)}x)$
  - subject to  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  and at most  $k$  of the  $x_i$  are fractional.
- Further, time = time for gradient descent to solve the real relaxation Plus One Linear Program
- **Proof** Solve the real relaxation (no integrality) to get opt soln  $y$ .
- Then, solve the LP:  $c^{(1)}x = c^{(1)}y; \dots; c^{(k)}x = c^{(k)}y; 0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  to find a basic feasible solution which has at most  $k$  fractional  $x_i$ .

# Quadratic Integer Programs

- Min  $x^T Ax + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  
where,  $k \in O(1)$  and  $f$  is convex.

# Quadratic Integer Programs

- $\text{Min } x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  
where,  $k \in O(1)$  and  $f$  is convex.
- If no  $f$ , semi-definite programme + Rounding can work. Eg. - Max Cut (where  $A_{ij} = -(\text{edge weight})$ ), ....

# Quadratic Integer Programs

- $\text{Min } x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  
where,  $k \in O(1)$  and  $f$  is convex.
- If no  $f$ , semi-definite programme + Rounding can work. Eg. - Max Cut (where  $A_{ij} = -(\text{edge weight})$ ), ....
- Why  $f$  ? Can formulate: Max-Cut, Max-balanced cut, 2-means with given cluster sizes, 2-means with side information ( $i, j$  belong to same/different clusters).

# Quadratic Integer Programs

- Min  $x^T Ax + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  
where,  $k \in O(1)$  and  $f$  is convex.
- If no  $f$ , semi-definite programme + Rounding can work. Eg. - Max Cut (where  $A_{ij} = -(\text{edge weight})$ ), ....
- Why  $f$  ? Can formulate: Max-Cut, Max-balanced cut, 2-means with given cluster sizes, 2-means with side information ( $i, j$  belong to same/different clusters).
- Seek: “Practical” algorithms with provable error guarantees.  
[Note: SDP squares number of variables.]



## Example

- Given:  $n$  points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  with  $A_{ij} = |u_i - u_j|^2$ . Partition into two sets of equal size minimizing the sum of squared distances of pairs of points in same part. Use convex penalty  $M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2$  to balance the parts:

$$\text{Min } x^T A x + (\mathbf{1} - x)^T A (\mathbf{1} - x) + M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } x_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

## Example

- Given:  $n$  points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  with  $A_{ij} = |u_i - u_j|^2$ . Partition into two sets of equal size minimizing the sum of squared distances of pairs of points in same part. Use convex penalty  $M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2$  to balance the parts:

$$\text{Min } x^T A x + (\mathbf{1} - x)^T A (\mathbf{1} - x) + M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } x_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

- Balanced 2-means clustering is a special case.

## Example

- Given:  $n$  points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  with  $A_{ij} = |u_i - u_j|^2$ . Partition into two sets of equal size minimizing the sum of squared distances of pairs of points in same part. Use convex penalty  $M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2$  to balance the parts:

$$\text{Min } x^T A x + (\mathbf{1} - x)^T A (\mathbf{1} - x) + M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } x_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

- Balanced 2-means clustering is a special case.
- Can similarly do 2-clustering or learning mixtures of 2 Gaussians with any given cluster weights.

## Example

- Given:  $n$  points  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  with  $A_{ij} = |u_i - u_j|^2$ . Partition into two sets of equal size minimizing the sum of squared distances of pairs of points in same part. Use convex penalty  $M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2$  to balance the parts:

$$\text{Min } x^T A x + (\mathbf{1} - x)^T A (\mathbf{1} - x) + M \left( \sum_i x_i - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } x_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

- Balanced 2-means clustering is a special case.
- Can similarly do 2-clustering or learning mixtures of 2 Gaussians with any given cluster weights.
- 2-clustering with side constraints: Recent question in ML:  
2-cluster with side info: (a limited number  $k$  of)  $i, j$  belong to same or different clusters. Constraints:  $x_i = x_j$  or  $x_i = 1 - x_j$ . Eliminate  $x_j$ . Solve QIP. If solution has  $l$  fractional variables, whole program has at most  $k + l$  fractional variables. If  $k, l$  are small, error in rounding the fractional variables (arbitrarily) is small....

## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Wlg assume  $A$  is symmetric.

## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Wlg assume  $A$  is symmetric.
- Simple Case:  $A$  has only non-negative eigenvalues and has  $O(1)$  rank.

## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Wlg assume  $A$  is symmetric.
- Simple Case:  $A$  has only non-negative eigenvalues and has  $O(1)$  rank.
- Solve the relaxation to real-valued  $x_j$ . Convex Program.



## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Wlg assume  $A$  is symmetric.
- Simple Case:  $A$  has only non-negative eigenvalues and has  $O(1)$  rank.
- Solve the relaxation to real-valued  $x_j$ . Convex Program.
- How do we round to an integer solution (with provable guarantees)?

## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Wlg assume  $A$  is symmetric.
- Simple Case:  $A$  has only non-negative eigenvalues and has  $O(1)$  rank.
- Solve the relaxation to real-valued  $x_j$ . Convex Program.
- How do we round to an integer solution (with provable guarantees)?
- If  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r$  are the eigenvectors of  $A$  ( $r = \text{rank}(A)$ ) and  $u_1 \cdot x = \gamma_1, u_2 \cdot x = \gamma_2, \dots, u_r \cdot x = \gamma_r, v_1 \cdot x = \delta_1, \dots, v_k \cdot x = \delta_k$  is real opt., then solve an auxiliary Linear Program:  
 $u_1 \cdot x = \gamma_1, u_2 \cdot x = \gamma_2, \dots, u_r \cdot x = \gamma_r, v_1 \cdot x = \delta_1, \dots, v_k \cdot x = \delta_k, x_i \in [0, 1]$  to find a basic feasible solution.

## Solution

- $\text{Min} x^T A x + f(v_1 \cdot x, v_2 \cdot x, \dots, v_k \cdot x)$  s.t.  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Wlg assume  $A$  is symmetric.
- Simple Case:  $A$  has only non-negative eigenvalues and has  $O(1)$  rank.
- Solve the relaxation to real-valued  $x_j$ . Convex Program.
- How do we round to an integer solution (with provable guarantees)?
- If  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r$  are the eigenvectors of  $A$  ( $r = \text{rank}(A)$ ) and  $u_1 \cdot x = \gamma_1, u_2 \cdot x = \gamma_2, \dots, u_r \cdot x = \gamma_r, v_1 \cdot x = \delta_1, \dots, v_k \cdot x = \delta_k$  is real opt., then solve an auxiliary Linear Program:  
 $u_1 \cdot x = \gamma_1, u_2 \cdot x = \gamma_2, \dots, u_r \cdot x = \gamma_r, v_1 \cdot x = \delta_1, \dots, v_k \cdot x = \delta_k, x_i \in [0, 1]$  to find a basic feasible solution.
- It has at most  $r + k \in O(1)$  fractional variables. Round them arbitrarily....

## The Result

- **QIP**  $\text{Min}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{c}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

## The Result

- **QIP**  $\text{Min}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{c}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Suppose  $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), \lambda_2(\mathbf{A}), \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  in non-increasing order of absolute value

## The Result

- **QIP**  $\text{Min}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{c}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Suppose  $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  in non-increasing order of absolute value
- Assume  $\lambda_t(A) \geq -M$  for some  $M > 0$  for all  $t$ .

## The Result

- **QIP**  $\text{Min} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{c}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Suppose  $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  in non-increasing order of absolute value
- Assume  $\lambda_t(A) \geq -M$  for some  $M > 0$  for all  $t$ .
- Let  $r$  be an arbitrary positive integer. We can solve **QIP** to additive error at most  $|\lambda_1(A)|(\sqrt{n(r+s)} + r^2 + rs) + |\mathbf{c}|\sqrt{r+s} + n(M + |\lambda_{r+1}(A)|)$ .  
in time  $O(n^{5/2} + n^2r)$ .

## The Result

- **QIP**  $\text{Min} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{c}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Suppose  $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  in non-increasing order of absolute value
- Assume  $\lambda_t(A) \geq -M$  for some  $M > 0$  for all  $t$ .
- Let  $r$  be an arbitrary positive integer. We can solve **QIP** to additive error at most  
 $|\lambda_1(A)|(\sqrt{n(r+s)} + r^2 + rs) + |\mathbf{c}| \sqrt{r+s} + n(M + |\lambda_{r+1}(A)|)$ .  
in time  $O(n^{5/2} + n^2 r)$ .
- The complexity bottleneck is solving a Linear Program in  $n$  variables with  $O(r)$  constraints which takes time  $O(n^{5/2})$  using a recent algorithm of Yin-tat Lee and Adam Sidford



# The Result

- **QIP**  $\text{Min} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{c}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Suppose  $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  in non-increasing order of absolute value
- Assume  $\lambda_t(A) \geq -M$  for some  $M > 0$  for all  $t$ .
- Let  $r$  be an arbitrary positive integer. We can solve **QIP** to additive error at most  
 $|\lambda_1(A)|(\sqrt{n(r+s)} + r^2 + rs) + |\mathbf{c}|(\sqrt{r+s} + n(M + |\lambda_{r+1}(A)|))$   
in time  $O(n^{5/2} + n^2 r)$ .
- The complexity bottleneck is solving a Linear Program in  $n$  variables with  $O(r)$  constraints which takes time  $O(n^{5/2})$  using a recent algorithm of Yin-tat Lee and Adam Sidford
- Often worst term  $nM$ . Max Cut case:  $n$  (Largest Pos eigenvalue of edge weight matrix).