END OF POTENTIAL LINE

John Fearnley¹ Spencer Gordon² Ruta Mehta³ Rahul Savani¹

¹University of Liverpool

²Caltech

³University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



There are many problems that lie **between** P and NP

 Factoring, graph isomorphism, computing Nash equilibria, local max cut, simple-stochastic games, ...



FNP is the class of function problems in NP

- Given polynomial time computable relation R and value x
- Find y such that $(x, y) \in R$



TFNP is the subclass of problems that always have solutions

 Contains factoring, Nash equilibria, local max cut, simple-stochastic games, ...



PPAD and PLS are two subclasses of TFNP

PPAD (Papadimitriou 1994)



End-of-the-Line:

Given a graph G of in/out degree at most 1 and a source start vertex find another vertex of degree 1

PPAD (Papadimitriou 1994)



Catch: The graph is exponentially large

It is defined by

- ► A circuit **S** that gives a successor
- ► A circuit **P** that gives a predecessor

S(0000) = 0101P(0101) = 0000

PPAD (Papadimitriou 1994)



Problem \boldsymbol{A} is

- ▶ in PPAD if **A** reduces to EOTL
- PPAD-complete if EOTL also reduces to it

Brouwer: A PPAD-complete problem



Given a continuous function $f: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]^2$

• find a fixpoint: a point x such that f(x) = x

PPAD-complete problems

- computing mixed equilibria in games
- computing Brouwer fixed points
- computing market equilibria

Polynomial Local Search (PLS)



Given

- ► a DAG
- a starting vertex

Find

a sink vertex

Polynomial Local Search (PLS)



Catch:

The graph is exponentially large

Defined by

- A circuit *S* giving the successor vertices
- A circuit *p* giving a potential

Every edge decreases the potential

p(S(v)) < p(v)

PLS-complete problems:

- local max cut
- computing pure equilibria in congestion games
- computing stable outcomes in hedonic games



Are there interesting problems in PPAD and PLS?

Are there interesting problems in PPAD and PLS?

Yes!

- 1. Finding a mixed NE of a Team Polymatrix Game
- 2. Finding a mixed NE of a Congestion Game
- 3. Solving a Simple Stochastic Game
- 4. Solving a P-matrix Linear Complementarity Problem
- 5. Finding a fixed point of a Contraction Map
- 6. Solving reachability on a switching network



CLS was defined to capture these problems (Daskalakis and Papadimitriou, 2011)

Continuous Local Search (CLS)

~ ~ ~ ~

CLS is a Brouwer instance that also has a potential

- Continuous direction function $f: [0,1]^3 \rightarrow [0,1]^3$
- ▶ Continuous potential function $p: [0,1]^3
 ightarrow [0,1]$

Continuous Local Search (CLS)

7/7+++++ 7/7+++++

Find a point **x** where the potential **does not decrease**

 $p(f(x)) \geq p(x)$

Continuous Local Search (CLS)

~ ~ ~ ~

CLS contains all of the problems we saw on the previous slide

CLS combines

- the continuous PPAD-complete problem Brouwer
- the canonical PLS-complete problem

This work

Why not combine both canonical problems?

End of Potential Line (EOPL)



Combines the two **canonical** complete problems

- An End-of-the-Line instance
- That has a potential

Find

- The end of a line
- A vertex where the potential increases





In Unique EOPL, it is promised that the line is unique



EOPL naturally lies at the intersection of PPAD and PLS

We also show that it is contained in CLS

Our main results



Contraction Maps



f is contracting if

$$|f(x) - f(x')| \le c \cdot |x - x'|$$
 for $c < 1$

Contraction Maps



Banach's fixpoint theorem

Every contraction map has a unique fixpoint

Contraction Maps



Problem: given a contraction map as an arithmetic circuit

Find a fixpoint or a violation of contraction



÷	Ļ	¥	¥	¥	Ļ	Ļ	¥	¥	÷
ŧ	Ļ	÷	÷	÷	Ļ	Ļ	÷	÷	÷
ŧ	ţ	0	÷	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	÷	0
÷	Ļ	†	0	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	0	1
÷	0	†	†	0	Ļ	Ļ	÷	†	†
÷	Ť	1	1	Ť	0	0	0	1	1
0	Ť	†	1	1	Ť	Ť	1	†	†
1	Ť	1	1	1	1	Ť	1	1	1

First we discretize the problem

- Lay a grid of points over the space
- For each dimension construct a direction function

Ļ	¥	÷	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	¥	¥	¥	Ļ	->	->	0	-	+	-	+	+	+	-
Ļ	¥	÷	¥	¥	¥	¥	Ļ	Ļ	¥	->	->	-	0	+	4	-	+	+	+
Ļ	Ļ	0	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	0	->	+	-	-	0	+	+	ŧ	+	+
Ļ	¥	Ť	0	¥	Ļ	Ļ	÷	0	Ť	->	+	-	+	0	+	Ť	ţ	ŧ	+
Ļ	0	†	Ť	0	Ļ	Ļ	÷	Ť	1	->	-	-	+	->	0	*	ţ	ŧ	+
Ļ	1	†	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	->	->	-	+	->	->	0	-	ŧ	-
0	†	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	->	+	-	-	-	0	-	-	ŧ	-
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	†	1	1	->	->	->	-	0	+	+	+	+	+

Discrete contraction

Find a point that is **0** in all dimensions



A point is on the **surface** if it is **0** for some direction

- Every left/right slice has a unique point on the blue surface
- At each of these, we can follow the red direction function



The path

- 1. Start at (0,0)
- 2. Find the blue surface
- 3. Take one step in the red direction
- 4. If not at red surface, go to 2



The potential

- The path never moves left
- In every slice, it either moves moves up or down



So we can use a pair (a, b) ordered lexicographically where

- **a** is the **x** coordinate of the vertex
- ► **b** is
 - **y** if we are moving up
 - ► -y if we are moving down

This monotonically increases along the line



Actually, this formulation only gives us a forward circuit

- But the line is unique
- So we can apply a technique of Hubáček and Yogev (2017) to make the line reversible



This generalises to arbitrary dimension

▶ We walked along the blue surface to reach the red surface



In 3D

- ► Walk along the red/blue surface to find the green surface
- Between any two points on the red/blue surface
 - Walk along the blue surface to find the red surface



Theorem

Contraction is in EOPL, Promise-Contraction is in UniqueEOPL

Consequences for contraction

Theorem

Given an arithmetic circuit \boldsymbol{C} encoding a contraction map

$$f:[0,1]^d\to [0,1]^d$$

with respect to any ℓ_p norm

there is an algorithm, based on a **nested binary search**

that finds a fixpoint of \boldsymbol{f} in time

- polynomial in size(C)
- exponential in d

Before, such algorithms were only known for ℓ_2 and ℓ_∞

Our main results



Unique Sink Orientations of Cubes

Orient the edges of an *n*-dimensional cube

So that every face has a unique sink



Unique Sink Orientations of Cubes

A 3-dimensional USO



Unique Sink Orientations of Cubes

Can be cyclic:



```
UniqueSinkOrientation
```

Given a polynomial-time boolean circuit

$${\mathcal C}:\{0,1\}^n\mapsto \{0,1\}^n$$

that maps a vertex \boldsymbol{v} of then \boldsymbol{n} -cube to the orientation at \boldsymbol{v} :

- ► find the sink of the cube
- or a violation to the USO property

Why is USO interesting?

Long line of work on UniqueSinkOrientation:

P-matrix LCP reduces to UniqueSinkOrientation [Stickney and Watson '78]

Non-trivial USO algorithms (previously best for P-matrix LCP) [Szabó and Welzl '01]

Some problems reduce to acyclic USO

- parity games
- mean-payoff games
- discounted games
- simple-stochastic games

USO in EOPL



Previously

- USO was known to be in TFNP
- But not PPAD or PLS

USO in EOPL



Theorem

USO is in EOPL, Promise-USO is in UniqueEOPL

Using similar techniques to Contraction

USO in EOPL



So we put USO in EOPL, CLS, PPAD, and PLS

Our main results





Input:

- Vectors *M*₁, *M*₂, ..., *M_d*
- A vector **q**



A complementary cone is all non-negative linear combinations of

- A subset of M_1 , M_2 , ..., M_d , with
- ► -e_i in place of each vector not chosen



The linear complementarity problem (LCP)

Find a cone that contains q



P-matrix LCPs

The cones are guaranteed to exactly partition the space



We reduce P-matrix LCP to EOPL using Lemke's algorithm

- Start at the vector d in the cone $-e_1$, $-e_2$
- ► Walk through the sequence of cones from **d** to **q**



The progress along the path gives us a potential

- The algorithm has a variable z
- z corresponds to distance along the path
- it monotonically decreases

$\mathsf{P}\text{-matrix LCP} \rightarrow \mathsf{EOPL}$

If the input is not a P-matrix, then z may increase

We deal with this by introducing new solutions





Theorem

P-matrix LCP is in EOPL

Theorem

Promise P-matrix LCP is in Unique EOPL

Consequences for P-matrix LCP

Blowup of reduction to EOPL is only linear

This allows us to apply an algorithm of Aldous (1983)

Gives **fastest-known (randomized) algorithm** for P-matrix LCP, with running time

 $2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n)$



Conjectures

USO is hard for EOPL Promise USO is hard for UniqueEOPL

Contraction is hard for EOPL Promise Contraction is hard for UniqueEOPL

P-matrix LCP is hard for EOPL Promise P-matrix LCP is hard for UniqueEOPL

Conjectures

 $CLS \neq UniqueEOPL$

- Could go either way
- If false, which further problems in CLS are also in EOPL?

Thanks!