

Probability 2 - Notes 9

The results for two random variables are now extended to n random variables.

Joint p.d.f. This is defined to be a function $f_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that for any measurable set A contained in \mathfrak{R}^n ,

$$P((X_1, \dots, X_n) \in A) = \int \dots \int_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A} f_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

It is convenient to write the joint p.d.f. using vector notation as $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$, where \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{x} are n -vectors with i^{th} entries X_i and x_i respectively.

Marginal p.d.f. Simply integrate out the variables which are not required.

Example $f_{X,Y,Z}(x,y,z) = 3$ for $0 < x < z$, $0 < y < z$ and $0 < z < 1$. Then

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \int_{\max(x,y)}^1 3dz = 3(1 - \max(x,y)) \text{ for } 0 < x < 1 \text{ and } 0 < y < 1.$$

$$f_{X,Z}(x,z) = \int_0^z 3dy = 3z \text{ for } 0 < x < z < 1.$$

$$f_{Y,Z}(y,z) = \int_0^z 3dx = 3z \text{ for } 0 < y < z < 1.$$

Using the (marginal) joint p.d.f. for $f_{X,Z}(x,z)$, $f_X(x) = \int_x^1 3zdz = \frac{3}{2}(1 - x^2)$ for $0 < x < 1$.

Using the (marginal) joint p.d.f. for $f_{Y,Z}(y,z)$, $f_Y(y) = \int_y^1 3zdz = \frac{3}{2}(1 - y^2)$ for $0 < y < 1$.

Using the (marginal) joint p.d.f. for $f_{X,Z}(x,z)$, $f_Z(z) = \int_0^z 3zdx = 3z^2$ for $0 < z < 1$.

Conditional p.d.f We can define the conditional p.d.f. for one set of random variables given another set, so for $1 \leq m < n$,

$$f_{X_{m+1}, \dots, X_n | X_1, \dots, X_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n | x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{f_{X_1, \dots, X_m}(x_1, \dots, x_m)}$$

Example Consider the example above and condition on one random variable. We will consider two out of the three cases. For each $0 < z < 1$,

$$f_{X,Y|Z}(x,y,z) = \frac{3}{3z^2} = \frac{1}{z^2}$$

for $0 < x < z$, $0 < y < z$. So $X, Y | Z = z$ are independent random variables each with $U(0, z)$ distribution. We say that they are conditionally independent.

For each $0 < x < 1$,

$$f_{Y,Z|X}(y,z|x) = \frac{3}{\frac{3}{2}(1-x^2)} = \frac{2}{(1-x^2)}$$

for $0 < y < z$ and $x < z < 1$.

Now consider conditioning on two random variables. Again we will consider two of the three cases. For each $0 < x < z < 1$,

$$f_{Y|X,Z}(y|x,z) = \frac{3}{3z} = \frac{1}{z}$$

for $0 < y < z$. So the conditional distribution of $Y|X = x, Z = z$ depends only on z and is $U(0, z)$.

Also for each $0 < x < 1$ and $0 < y < 1$,

$$f_{Z|X,Y}(z|x,y) = \frac{3}{3(1-\max(x,y))} = \frac{1}{(1-\max(x,y))}$$

for $\max(x,y) < z < 1$. Hence $Z|X = x, Y = y \sim U(\max(x,y), 1)$.

Independence (Mutual) n jointly continuous random variables X_1, \dots, X_n are said to be (mutually) independent if $f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i)$ for all x_1, \dots, x_n .

Since the p.d.f. of any subset of the X_i is obtained by integrating out the other variables it immediately follows that

$$f_{X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}) = \prod_{j=1}^r f_{X_{i_j}}(x_{i_j})$$

for all x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r} for all possible subsets i_1, \dots, i_r and all $r = 2, \dots, n$.

Then for any events $'X_i \in A'_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) it is easily seen (by integrating over the appropriate sets) that

$$P('X_{i_j} \in A'_{i_j} \ j = 1, \dots, r) = \prod_{j=1}^r P('X_{i_j} \in A'_{i_j})$$

for all possible subsets of the n events, so that the events $'X_i \in A'_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are mutually independent.

In addition if events $'X_i \in A'_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are mutually independent for all such events, if we take $A_i = (x_i - dx_i, x_i]$ for $dx_i > 0$ small, then

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \cong P('X_i \in A'_i, i = 1, \dots, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P('X_i \in A'_i) \cong \prod_{j=1}^r f_{X_{i_j}}(x_{i_j}) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

It immediately follows that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent.

Hence independence for the X 's is equivalent saying that all events ' $X_i \in A'_i$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$), are mutually independent.

Properties.

1. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent then the joint p.d.f. is obtained by multiplying the individual p.d.f.'s together (for jointly continuous r.v.'s the joint p.d.f. is the 'likelihood' in statistics).
2. You can 'spot' independence in the same way as for two random variables. X_1, \dots, X_n are independent iff the ranges are independent and $f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i)$ for some function g_i . When this condition holds then the marginal p.d.f.'s are easily obtained. $f_{X_i}(x_i) = c_i g_i(x_i)$ for a suitable choice of c_1, \dots, c_n with $\prod_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$.
3. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent then, for any functions h_i for which the expectations exist, $E[\prod_{i=1}^n h_i(X_i)] = \prod_{i=1}^n E[h_i(X_i)]$. This provides useful results for the m.g.f. (see examples).

Examples.

1. $f_{X,Y,Z}(x,y,z) = kxy^2 = (kx)(y^2)(1)$ for $0 < x < 1$, $0 < y < 1$, $0 < z < 1$. The ranges are independent and the joint p.d.f. splits as indicated. Hence X, Y, Z are independent and $f_X(x) = c_1 kx$ for $0 < x < 1$, $f_Y(y) = c_2 y^2$ for $0 < y < 1$ and $f_Z(z) = c_3$ for $0 < z < 1$, where $c_1 c_2 c_3 = 1$. We can find the constant k, c_1, c_2, c_3 from the results that each marginal p.d.f. integrates to 1 and $c_1 c_2 c_3 = 1$. Hence $c_3 = 1$, $c_2 = 3$, $kc_3 = 2$ and $c_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ and hence $k = 6$.
2. X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with $X_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \alpha_j)$. Then we can use property 3 to show that $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j)$.

$$M_Y(t) = E \left[e^{t \sum_{j=1}^n X_j} \right] = E \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{t X_j} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n M_{X_j}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t}{\theta} \right)^{-\alpha_j} = \left(1 - \frac{t}{\theta} \right)^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j}$$

The result that $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j)$ then follows from the uniqueness of the m.g.f.

3. X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with $X_j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$. Then we can use property 3 to show that $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X_j \sim N \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \sigma_j^2 \right)$.

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E \left[e^{t \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X_j} \right] = E \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{t a_j X_j} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n M_{X_j}(a_j t) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{\mu_j(a_j t) + (\sigma_j^2(a_j t)^2)/2} = e^{t \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j + (t^2/2) \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \sigma_j^2} \end{aligned}$$

The result that $Y \sim N\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \sigma_j^2\right)$ then follows from the uniqueness of the m.g.f.

Transformations of variables

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be n jointly continuous random variables with joint p.d.f. $f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ which has support S contained in \mathfrak{R}^n . Consider random variables $Y_i = g_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ which is a one to one mapping from S to D with inverses $X_i = h_i(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ (for $i = 1, \dots, n$) which have continuous partial derivatives. Then

$$f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(h_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, h_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \times \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \end{array} \right\|$$

for $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in D$. You can find D by rewriting the constraints on the ranges of x_1, \dots, x_n in terms of y_1, \dots, y_n .

Example. X_1, X_2, X_3 are independent $Exp(\theta)$. So $f_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \theta^3 e^{-\theta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)}$ for $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ and $x_3 > 0$. Find the joint p.d.f. for $Y_1 = X_1, Y_2 = X_1 + X_2$ and $Y_3 = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$.

The inverses are $X_1 = Y_1, X_2 = Y_2 - Y_1$ and $X_3 = Y_3 - Y_2$. Hence using the result above:

$$f_{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \theta^3 e^{-\theta y_3} \times \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right\| = \theta^3 e^{-\theta y_3}$$

The ranges $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ and $x_3 > 0$ become $y_1 > 0, y_2 - y_1 > 0$ and $y_3 - y_2 > 0$, i.e. $0 < y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < \infty$.

The joint moment generating function.

The joint m.g.f. for n random variables X_1, \dots, X_n is now defined and its properties given. Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{t} be n -vectors (column vectors) with j^{th} entries X_j and t_j respectively. Then

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = M_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = E \left[e^{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j X_j} \right] = E[e^{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{X}}]$$

Properties.

1. The joint m.g.f. of a subset X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r} of the X 's is obtained by setting $t_j = 0$ for all j not in the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$. Note that the joint m.g.f. equals one when $t_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ (i.e. $M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$).

2. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent then

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = E \left[e^{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j X_j} \right] = E \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{t_j X_j} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n M_{X_j}(t_j)$$

3. There is a unique relationship between the joint p.d.f. and the joint m.g.f. (so one determines the other).

4. If $M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(t_j)$ for some functions g_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, then X_1, \dots, X_n are independent.

Proof. If we set $t_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$, then we obtain the m.g.f. for X_j , hence $M_{X_j}(t_j) = g_j(t_j) \prod_{i \neq j} g_i(0)$. Also setting $t_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ gives $1 = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(0)$. Therefore $M_{X_j}(t_j) = \frac{g_j(t_j)}{g_j(0)}$ and hence

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(t_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(0) M_{X_j}(t_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n M_{X_j}(t_j)$$

Hence from property 3 of the joint m.g.f., X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with the p.d.f. of X_j determined by the m.g.f. $M_{X_j}(t_j) = \frac{g_j(t_j)}{g_j(0)}$.

Use of the joint m.g.f. to obtain some important results in statistics.

1. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and if $Z_j = \frac{X_j - \mu}{\sigma}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, then Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent $N(0, 1)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{t}) &= E \left[e^{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j (X_j - \mu) / \sigma} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n E \left[e^{t_j (X_j - \mu) / \sigma} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(e^{-\mu t_j / \sigma} M_{X_j}(t_j / \sigma) \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(e^{-\mu t_j / \sigma} e^{\mu (t_j / \sigma) + (\sigma^2 / 2) (t_j / \sigma)^2} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{t_j^2 / 2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by property 4 of the joint m.g.f., Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent with $M_{Z_j}(t_j) = e^{t_j^2 / 2}$, which is the m.g.f. of the $N(0, 1)$ distribution. Hence from the uniqueness property of the m.g.f., Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent $N(0, 1)$.

2. If Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent $N(0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}$ with \mathbf{Z} the n -vector with j^{th} entry Z_j and \mathbf{A} an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix (i.e. $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{I}$ where \mathbf{I} is the $n \times n$ identity matrix), then \mathbf{Y} is an n -vector (entries Y_1, \dots, Y_n) of independent $N(0, 1)$ random variables.

Proof. Now $M_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{j=1}^n M_{Z_j}(t_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{t_j^2 / 2} = e^{(1/2) \mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{t}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= E \left[e^{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{Y}} \right] = E \left[e^{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}} \right] = E \left[e^{(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{t})^T \mathbf{Z}} \right] = M_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{t}) \\ &= e^{(1/2) (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{t})^T (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{t})} = e^{(1/2) \mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{t}} = e^{(1/2) \mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{t}} = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{t_j^2 / 2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence using property 4 of the joint m.g.f. and the uniqueness of the m.g.f., Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent $N(0, 1)$.

3. If Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent $N(0, 1)$ then Y_1 and $U = \sum_{j=2}^n Y_j^2$ are independent with $Y_1 \sim N(0, 1)$ and $U \sim \chi_{(n-1)}^2$.

Proof. We use the result proved earlier that if $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ then $E[e^{tY^2}] = (1 - 2t)^{-1/2}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Y_1, U}(s, t) &= E \left[e^{sY_1 + t \sum_{j=2}^n Y_j^2} \right] = E \left[e^{sY_1} \prod_{j=2}^n e^{tY_j^2} \right] = E[e^{sY_1}] \prod_{j=2}^n E[e^{tY_j^2}] \\ &= M_{Y_1}(s) \prod_{j=2}^n E[e^{tY_j^2}] = e^{s^2/2} \prod_{j=2}^n (1 - 2t)^{-1/2} = e^{s^2/2} (1 - 2t)^{-(n-1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence using property 4 of the joint m.g.f and the uniqueness of the m.g.f., $Y_1 \sim N(0, 1)$ independent of $U \sim \chi_{(n-1)}^2$.

Theorem. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$ independent of $\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{(n-1)}^2$.

Proof. Let $Z_j = (X_j - \mu)/\sigma$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\sqrt{n}\bar{Z} = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n (Z_j - \bar{Z})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2/\sigma^2$. Also from result (1) Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent $N(0, 1)$.

Use result (2) with \mathbf{A} the $n \times n$ matrix with first row $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$. Then $Y_1 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \mathbf{Z} = \sqrt{n}\bar{Z}$. Also

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{AZ})^T (\mathbf{AZ}) = \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{AZ} = \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z} = \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j^2$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (Z_j - \bar{Z})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j^2 - n\bar{Z}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j^2 - Y_1^2 = \sum_{j=2}^n Y_j^2$$

Then from result (2) Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent $N(0, 1)$ and from result (3) $Y_1 = \sqrt{n}\bar{Z} = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$ independent of $U = \sum_{j=2}^n Y_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (Z_j - \bar{Z})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{(n-1)}^2$.

Note: This provides the basis for the t and χ^2 tests met in Fundamentals of Statistics 1. Orthogonal transformations of independent $N(0, 1)$ variables will also be used to prove results in Statistical Modelling 1.