



# Spectrum of a homogeneous graph

C. Chen, C.-H. Chu\*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, London E1 4NS, UK

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 8 April 2008  
 Available online 24 June 2008  
 Submitted by J.D.M. Wright

### Keywords:

Spectrum  
 Laplacian  
 Homogeneous graph  
 Convolution operator  
 Group representation  
 Harnack inequality

## ABSTRACT

We describe the spectrum of the Laplacian for a homogeneous graph acted on by a discrete group. This follows from a more general result which describes the spectrum of a convolution operator on a homogeneous space of a locally compact group. We also prove a version of Harnack inequality for a Schrödinger operator on an invariant homogeneous graph.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In a weighted graph  $(V, E)$ , finite or infinite, let  $d_v$  and  $w : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  denote respectively the degree of a vertex  $v \in V$  and the weight  $w(v, u) = w(u, v)$ , satisfying  $d_v = \sum_u w(v, u) < \infty$ . The Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}$ , acting on real or complex functions  $f$  on  $V$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}f(v) = f(v) - \sum_{\substack{u \\ (v,u) \in E}} \frac{f(u)w(v, u)}{\sqrt{d_v d_u}} \quad (v \in V).$$

An important problem in spectral geometry is the estimation of the spectrum  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ . It is known, for instance, that  $1 - \sqrt{1 - h^2}$  is a lower bound for the positive eigenvalues where  $h$  is the Cheeger constant of the graph [5,10,12,14,16].

In this paper, we give a full description of the spectrum  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  for a homogeneous graph under some weight condition.

We call  $(V, E)$  a *homogeneous graph* (cf. [5]), if the vertex set  $V$  is a homogeneous space of a discrete group  $G$  with a graph condition, by which we mean  $G$  acts transitively on  $V$  by a right action  $(v, g) \in V \times G \mapsto vg \in V$  so that  $V$  is represented as a right coset space  $G/H$  of  $G$  by a finite subgroup  $H$  and the edge set  $E$  is described by a finite subset  $K = K^{-1} \subset G$  in that  $(v, u) \in E$  if and only if  $u = va$  for some  $a \in K$ . Henceforth we denote a homogeneous graph by  $(V, K)$ , with the edge generating set  $K$  having finite cardinality  $|K|$ . We note that  $(V, K)$  is a Cayley graph if  $H$  reduces to the identity of  $G$ , in which case we write  $(G, K)$  for the graph. Although one can consider a more general notion of a homogeneous graph  $(G/H, K)$  in which the isotropy subgroup  $H$  can be infinite, we only consider this case in the last section of the paper.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: c.chen@qmul.ac.uk (C. Chen), c.chu@qmul.ac.uk (C.-H. Chu).

The Laplacian for a weighted homogeneous graph  $(V, K)$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}f(v) = f(v) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{a \in K} f(va)w(v, va) = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{a \in K} (f(v) - f(va))w(v, va) \quad (v \in V).$$

We describe the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$  completely in terms of irreducible representations of  $G$  when the weight  $w$  is given by a measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  which is symmetric and constant on each set  $aHb$ , that is,  $w(Ha, Hb) = \mu(a^{-1}b) = \mu(b^{-1}a)$  and  $\mu(acb) = \mu(ab)$  for all  $c \in H$ . A weight  $w$  is given by such a measure  $\mu$  if  $w(v, va) = w(u, ua)$  for  $u, v \in V$  and  $a \in K$ , in which case  $\mu$  is a measure supported by  $K$ . For instance, for unweighted graphs, we have  $w(v, va) = 1$ .

In fact, we prove a more general result for the  $L^2$ -spectrum of a convolution operator on the homogeneous space of a locally compact group  $G$  by a compact subgroup  $H$ , which is of independent interest and includes the above Laplacian as a special case. We note that the connection between a finite homogeneous graph Laplacian and group representations has been discussed in [5, p. 117] and [6]. Our result for convolution operators involves group  $C^*$ -algebras and applies to infinite graphs as well.

A homogeneous graph  $(V, K)$  is called *invariant* in [7] if  $G$  acts on  $V$  as automorphisms of  $V$  and  $aK = Ka$  for all  $a \in K$ . We characterize the invariance of  $(V, K)$  in terms of group structures and show that all positive  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on a connected invariant graph are constant. A Harnack inequality has been proved in [7] for the Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}$  of an invariant unweighted homogeneous graph. We extend this Harnack inequality for a Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L} + \varphi$  on an invariant homogeneous graph.

## 2. Convolution operators on homogeneous spaces

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with identity  $e$  and a right invariant Haar measure  $\lambda$ . Let  $G$  act transitively on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $V$  by a (continuous) right action

$$(v, g) \in V \times G \mapsto vg \in V$$

such that  $V$  is represented as a *right* coset space  $G/H$  of  $G$  by a compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and the action identifies with the natural action of  $G$  on  $G/H$  by right multiplication. In this case,  $V = G/H$  admits a  $G$ -invariant measure  $\nu$  satisfying  $\nu = \lambda \circ q^{-1}$  where  $q: G \rightarrow G/H$  denotes the quotient map throughout (cf. [11, p. 58]).

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $L^p(G/H)$  be the complex Lebesgue space of  $p$ -integrable functions on  $G/H$  with respect to  $\nu$ , and write  $L^p(G)$  for  $H = \{e\}$ , also  $\ell^p(G)$  for a discrete group  $G$ . We note that  $L^1(G)$  has an involution

$$f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})} \Delta(x^{-1}) \quad (x \in G)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the modular function of  $G$ .

Let  $M(G)$  be the Banach algebra of complex Borel measures on  $G$ , with the total variation norm, in which the product of two measures  $\mu, \mu' \in M(G)$  is given by convolution:

$$\int_G f d(\mu * \mu') = \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu(x) d\mu'(y)$$

for each continuous function  $f$  on  $G$  vanishing at infinity. The convolution  $h * \mu$  for  $h \in L^p(G)$  is defined by  $h * \mu(x) = \int_G h(xy^{-1}) d\mu(y)$ .

A measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  is called *absolutely continuous* if its total variation  $|\mu|$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure  $\lambda$ , in which case  $\mu$  has a density  $f \in L^1(G)$  so that  $\mu = f \cdot \lambda$ . We call  $\mu$  *symmetric* if  $d\mu(x) = d\mu(x^{-1})$ . The unit mass at a point  $a \in G$  is denoted by  $\delta_a$ .

Given  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we define the convolution operator  $L_\mu : L^p(G/H) \rightarrow L^p(G/H)$  by

$$(L_\mu f)(Hx) = \int_G f(Hxy^{-1}) d\mu(y) \quad (f \in L^p(G/H)).$$

This operator is well defined by  $G$ -invariance of the measure  $\nu$  and we have  $\|L_\mu\| \leq \|\mu\|$ . We note that  $L_\mu$  is a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2(G/H)$  if  $\mu$  is symmetric.

Our first task is to describe the spectrum of  $L_\mu : L^2(G/H) \rightarrow L^2(G/H)$  for an absolutely continuous symmetric measure  $\mu$ . For this, we develop a device to identify  $L_\mu$  as an element in a quotient of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  which then enables us to use spectral theory of  $C^*$ -algebras to conclude the result.

We recall that the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  of  $G$  is the completion of  $L^1(G)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_c = \sup_{\pi} \{\|\pi(f)\|\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $*$ -representations  $\pi : L^1(G) \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$ , the latter denotes the algebra of all bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $H_\pi$ . If  $G$  is discrete, then  $C^*(G)$  contains an identity.

Let  $\rho : C^*(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G))$  be the right regular representation given by

$$\rho(f)h = h * f \quad (f \in L^1(G), h \in L^2(G))$$

which is an extension of the right regular representation  $a \in G \mapsto \rho(a) \in B(L^2(G))$  of  $G$ , where  $\rho(a)h = h * \delta_a$ . The reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G)$  is the norm closure  $\overline{\rho(L^1(G))} = \overline{\rho(C^*(G))}$ .

We have two natural well-defined continuous linear maps  $j : L^2(G/H) \rightarrow L^2(G)$  and  $Q : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G/H)$  given by

$$j(f) = f \circ q, \quad Qg(Hx) = \int_H g(\xi x) d\xi \quad (f \in L^2(G/H), g \in L^2(G))$$

where  $d\xi$  is the normalized Haar measure on the compact group  $H$  (cf. [3]).

There is a natural continuous linear map  $\Phi : B(L^2(G)) \rightarrow B(L^2(G/H))$  given by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2(G) & \xrightarrow{L} & L^2(G) \\ j \uparrow & & \downarrow Q \\ L^2(G/H) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(L)} & L^2(G/H) \end{array}$$

that is,

$$\Phi(L) = Q \circ L \circ j \tag{1}$$

for each  $L \in B(L^2(G))$ . We define a unitary representation  $\tau : G \rightarrow B(L^2(G/H))$  by right translation:

$$\tau(a)f(Hx) = f(Hxa^{-1}) \quad (a, x \in G, f \in L^2(G/H)).$$

We can extend  $\tau$  to a representation  $\rho_H : C^*(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G/H))$  in the usual way (cf. [13, p. 229]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\rho : C^*(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G))$  be the right regular representation and let  $\Phi : B(L^2(G)) \rightarrow B(L^2(G/H))$  be the map defined in (1). Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(G) & \xrightarrow{\rho_H} & B(L^2(G/H)) \\ \rho \searrow & & \nearrow \Phi \\ & B(L^2(G)) & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

**Proof.** For  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $g \in L^2(G/H)$ , we have

$$\Phi(\rho f)(g) = Q(\rho f)j(g) = Q(\rho f(g \circ q)) = Q((g \circ q) * f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q((g \circ q) * f)(Hx) &= \int_H (g \circ q) * f(\xi x) d\xi = \int_H \int_G (g \circ q)(\xi xy^{-1}) f(y) d\lambda(y) d\xi = \int_H \int_G g(Hxy^{-1}) f(y) d\lambda(y) d\xi \\ &= \int_G g(Hxy^{-1}) f(y) d\lambda(y) = g * f(Hx) = \rho_H(f)(g)(Hx). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi(\rho f) = \rho_H(f)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mu \in M(G)$  be absolutely continuous with  $\mu = f \cdot \lambda$  and  $f \in L^1(G)$ . Then  $\rho_H(f) = L_\mu \in B(L^2(G/H))$ .*

**Proof.** We have

$$\rho_H(f)h = \int_G (h * \delta_x) f(x) d\lambda(x) \in L^2(G/H) \quad (h \in L^2(G/H))$$

and

$$\rho_H(f)h(Hy) = \int_G (h * \delta_x)(Hy) f(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_G h(Hyx^{-1}) f(x) d\lambda(x) = (h * f)(Hy) = L_\mu(h)(Hy). \quad \square$$

Let  $\widehat{G}$  be the dual space of  $G$ , consisting of (equivalence classes of) continuous irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . If  $G$  is abelian, then  $\widehat{G}$  is the character group of  $G$ .

The spectrum of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is defined to be the space  $\widehat{A}$  of (equivalence classes) of irreducible representations  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  of  $A$  [9, 3.1.5]. The spectrum  $\widehat{C^*(G)}$  identifies with  $\widehat{G}$  [9, 13.93] where each  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  is identified as the irreducible representation of  $C^*(G)$  satisfying

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x) d\lambda(x) \quad (f \in L^1(G) \subset C^*(G)).$$

The spectrum  $\widehat{C_r^*(G)}$  identifies with the following closed subset of  $\widehat{G}$ , the *reduced dual* of  $G$ :

$$\widehat{G}_r = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \ker \pi \supset \ker \rho\}$$

(cf. [9, 18.3]). We note that  $\widehat{G}_r = \widehat{G}$  if  $G$  is amenable.

We define the Fourier transform  $\widehat{\mu}$  of a measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\pi) = \int_G \pi(x^{-1}) d\mu(x) \quad (\pi \in \widehat{G})$$

which is an operator in  $B(H_\pi)$ , with spectrum denoted by  $\sigma(\widehat{\mu}(\pi))$ .

The spectrum  $\sigma(a)$  of a self-adjoint element  $a$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with identity is given by

$$\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{\pi \in \widehat{A}} \sigma(\pi(a))$$

where  $\sigma(\pi(a))$  is the spectrum of  $\pi(a)$  in  $B(H_\pi)$  (cf. [9, 3.3.5]).

If  $A$  is without identity, we adjoin an identity to  $A$  as usual to obtain  $A_1 = A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , then we have the identification  $\widehat{A}_1 = \widehat{A} \cup \{\omega\}$  where  $\omega$  is the one-dimensional irreducible representation of  $A_1$  annihilating  $A$  (cf. [9, 3.2.4]). The quasi-spectrum  $\sigma'(a)$  of a self-adjoint element  $a \in A$  is the spectrum of  $a$  in  $A_1$  and we have

$$\sigma'(a) = \sigma_{A_1}(a) = \bigcup_{\pi \in \widehat{A}_1} \sigma(\pi(a)) = \bigcup_{\pi \in \widehat{A}} \sigma(\pi(a)) \cup \{0\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mu \in M(G)$  be symmetric and absolutely continuous and let  $\sigma(L_\mu)$  be the spectrum of the convolution operator  $L_\mu : L^2(G/H) \rightarrow L^2(G/H)$ . Then we have

$$\sigma(L_\mu) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup \{\sigma(\widehat{\mu}(\pi)) : \pi \in \widehat{G}_r, \ker \pi \supset \ker \rho_H\} \cup \{0\}.$$

In particular,  $\sigma(L_\mu) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup \{\sigma(\widehat{\mu}(\pi)) : \pi \in \widehat{G}_r\} \cup \{0\}$  if  $H = \{e\}$ . If  $G$  is discrete, then  $\{0\}$  can be removed from both sides of the above equations.

**Proof.** Let  $\mu = f \cdot \lambda$  with  $f \in L^1(G)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $L_\mu = \rho_H(f) \in \rho_H(C^*(G)) \cong C^*(G)/\ker \rho_H$ . We consider the quasi-spectrum  $\sigma'(\rho_H(f))$  of  $\rho_H(f)$  in  $\rho_H(C^*(G))$  which may not have an identity.

Let  $\sigma'(L_\mu)$  be the quasi-spectrum of the self-adjoint operator  $L_\mu$  in  $B(L^2(G/H))$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(L_\mu) \cup \{0\} &= \sigma'(L_\mu) = \sigma'(\rho_H(f)) = \sigma'(f + \ker \rho_H) \\ &= \bigcup \{\sigma(\pi(f + \ker \rho_H)) : \pi \in C^*(\widehat{G})/\ker \rho_H\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \bigcup \{\sigma(\pi(f)) : \pi \in \widehat{C^*(G)}, \ker \pi \supset \ker \rho_H\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \bigcup \{\sigma(\pi(f)) : \pi \in \widehat{G}, \ker \pi \supset \ker \rho_H\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \bigcup \{\sigma(\pi(f)) : \pi \in \widehat{G}_r, \ker \pi \supset \ker \rho_H\} \cup \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

where, by Lemma 2.1,  $\ker \rho_H \supset \ker \rho$  which gives the last equality, and

$$\pi(f) = \int_G \pi(x)f(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_G \pi(x) d\mu(x) = \widehat{\mu}(\pi)$$

by symmetry of  $\mu$ . This proves the first assertion.

If  $G$  is discrete, then  $C^*(G)$  has an identity and one can dispense with the quasi-spectrum and remove  $\{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** If  $G$  is abelian, the above result can be deduced directly from the Plancherel theorem instead, without the assumption of compactness of  $H$  and absolute continuity of  $\mu$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  in Theorem 2.3, then

$$\sigma(L_\mu) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup \left\{ \sigma(\widehat{\mu}(\pi)) : \pi \in \widehat{G}_r, \pi(H) = \pi\{e\} \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** By composing with the quotient map  $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ , the dual space  $\widehat{G/H}$  identifies with  $\{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \pi(H) = \pi\{e\}\}$ , and also  $\rho_H = \rho_{G/H} \circ q$  where  $\rho_{G/H}$  is the right regular representation of the group  $G/H$ . It follows that the reduced dual  $\widehat{G/H}_r$  identifies with  $\{\pi \in \widehat{G}_r : \pi(H) = \pi\{e\}\}$ .  $\square$

We now consider homogeneous graphs. Let  $(V, K)$  be a homogeneous graph with  $V = G/H$  and let  $\mu$  be a positive symmetric measure on  $G$ , supported by  $K$ , satisfying

$$\mu(xcy) = \mu(xy) \quad (x, y \in G, c \in H).$$

We can define a weight  $w$  on  $V \times V$  by

$$w(Hx, Hy) = \mu(x^{-1}y).$$

In this case and in the sequel,  $w(v, va) = \mu(a)$  and the Laplacian has the form

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(v) = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{a \in K} (f(v) - f(va))\mu(a) = f * \left( \delta_e - \frac{\mu}{|K|} \right)(v) \tag{2}$$

which is a convolution operator  $L_{\mu'} : L^2(G/H) \rightarrow L^2(G/H)$  with  $\mu' = \delta_e - \mu/|K|$ , where  $\mu/|K|$  is a probability measure. For unweighted graphs, we have  $\mu(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in K$ .

We note that  $\mathcal{L} : \ell^2(V) \rightarrow \ell^2(V)$  is a positive operator since the inner product

$$\langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle = \frac{1}{2|K|} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{a \in K} (f(v) - f(va))^2 \mu(a) \quad (f \in \ell^2(V))$$

is nonnegative. Hence we always have  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subset [0, 2]$  as  $\|\mathcal{L}\| \leq \|\delta_e - \frac{\mu}{|K|}\| \leq 2$ .

Since  $\mu = \sum_{a \in K} \mu(a)\delta_a$  and  $\widehat{\delta}_a(\pi) = \pi(a)$ , we have the following description of the spectrum  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $(V, K)$  be a homogeneous graph with  $V = G/H$  and weight  $w$  given by a measure  $\mu$  as above. The spectrum of the Laplacian in (2) is given by

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = 1 - \bigcup \left\{ \sigma \left( \sum_{a \in K} \mu(a)|K|^{-1}\pi(a) \right) : \pi \in \widehat{G}_r, \ker \pi \supset \ker \rho_H \right\}.$$

**Remark 2.7.** In [6], a Laplacian acting on vector valued functions  $f : G/H \rightarrow X$  has been considered and the resulting spectrum is called the *vibrational spectrum*. For the vector space  $X$  of  $n \times n$  matrices, the spectrum of a convolution operator acting on  $X$ -valued functions on a group  $G$  has been described in [3], which yields the vibrational spectrum of a Cayley graph  $(G, K)$  in this case.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $V = \mathbb{Z}^2/n\mathbb{Z} \times m\mathbb{Z}$  with a finite generating set  $K = -K \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ . The character group  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  is the product  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$  of two copies of the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ . Each  $\pi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  identifies with  $(\pi(1, 0), \pi(0, 1)) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ , and  $\pi(n\mathbb{Z} \times m\mathbb{Z}) = \{1\}$  if and only if  $\pi = (e^{2\pi ik/n}, e^{2\pi i\ell/m})$  for  $(k, \ell) \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \times \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . For such  $\pi$ , we have

$$\pi(a, b) = e^{2\pi i(ka/n + \ell b/m)} \quad ((a, b) \in K).$$

Hence

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ 1 - \left( \sum_{(a,b) \in K} \frac{\mu(a,b)}{|K|} \cos 2\pi(ka/n + \ell b/m) \right) : (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \right\}.$$

**Example 2.9.** Let  $G$  be the discrete Heisenberg group

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m & p \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

which is amenable. The characters of  $G$  are known (cf. [1,11,15]). Let  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the real numbers mod  $\mathbb{Z}$  and denote an element of  $G$  by  $(m, n, p)$ . As in [11, Corollary 6.5] or [15],  $\widehat{G}$  contains, among others, the one-dimensional unitary representations

$$\{\chi_{\alpha,\beta}: \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$$

where

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta}(m, n, p) = e^{2\pi i(\alpha m + \beta n)}.$$

Consider the Cayley graph  $(G, K)$  with  $K = \{(\pm m, 0, 0), (0, \pm n, 0)\}$  and  $m, n \neq 0$ . Let  $\mu$  be the following measure on  $G$  supported by  $K$ :

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{(m,0,0)} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{(-m,0,0)} + \frac{3}{2}\delta_{(0,n,0)} + \frac{3}{2}\delta_{(0,-n,0)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{L}) &= 1 - \bigcup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in K} \mu(a)\pi(a)\right) \supset 1 - \bigcup \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in K} \mu(a)\chi_{\alpha,\beta}(a) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{4} \cos(2\pi \alpha m) + \frac{3}{4} \cos(2\pi \beta n) \right) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \right\} = [0, 2]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = [0, 2]$ .

### 3. Harnack inequality

In this section, we prove a version of Harnack inequality for an invariant homogeneous graph. We do not assume that the isotropy group  $H$  is finite in a homogeneous graph  $(G/H, K)$ , but we let  $G$  act as graph automorphisms of  $G/H$ , that is, two vertices  $Hx$  and  $Hy$  are adjacent if and only if  $Hxg$  and  $Hyg$  are adjacent for all  $g \in G$ . A homogeneous graph  $(V, K)$  is called *invariant* in [7] if the edge generating set  $K$  satisfies  $aK = Ka$  for each  $a \in K$ . This condition imposes some structure on the group  $G$  acting on  $V$ . It turns out that a connected Cayley graph  $(G, K)$  is invariant if and only if  $G$  is an  $[\text{IN}_0]$ -group as defined in [4]. A locally compact group  $G$  is called an  $[\text{IN}_0]$ -group if  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C^n$  for some compact neighborhood  $C$  of the identity satisfying  $gC = Cg$  for each  $g \in G$ . We first show the relationship between graph invariance and group structures.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $V = G/H$  be a homogeneous space of a discrete group  $G$ . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(V, K)$  is a connected invariant homogeneous graph for some finite set  $K \subset G$ .
- (ii)  $G = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} HK^n$  with  $K^0 = \{e\}$  for some finite set  $K = K^{-1}$  satisfying  $aK = Ka$  and  $HgK = HKg$  for  $a \in K$  and  $g \in G$ .

*In particular,  $(G, K)$  is a connected invariant Cayley graph for some finite set  $K \subset G$  if and only if  $G$  is an  $[\text{IN}_0]$ -group.*

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Denote by  $v \sim u$  the adjacency of two points in  $V$ . We first show  $G = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} HK^n$ . Let  $g \in G$  and  $g \notin H$ . Then  $Hg \neq H$ . Since  $V$  is connected, we have  $Hg \sim Hg_1 \sim \dots \sim Hg_n \sim H$  for some  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ , and hence  $Hg = (Hg_1)a_1 = (Hg_2)a_2a_1 = \dots = (Hg_n)a_n \dots a_1 = Ha_{n+1}a_n \dots a_1$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in K$ . So  $g \in HK^{n+1}$ . This proves  $G = H \cup HK \cup HK^2 \cup \dots$ .

Next, let  $a \in K$  and  $g \in G$ . Then  $H \sim Ha$  which implies  $Hg \sim Hag$  since  $G$  acts on  $V$  as automorphisms of  $V$ . Hence  $Hag = Hga_1$  for some  $a_1 \in K$ , and we have  $HKg \subset HgK$ . Similarly,  $HgK \subset HKg$  using  $Hg \sim Hga$  implies  $H \sim Hgag^{-1}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Define adjacency  $\sim$  in  $V$  by  $K$ . Given  $v \sim u$  in  $V$  with  $u = va$  for some  $a \in K$ , we have, for each  $g \in G$ , that  $ug = vag = vga'$  for some  $a' \in K$ , that is,  $ug \sim vg$ . Hence  $(V, K)$  is a homogeneous graph which is clearly invariant and connected.

Finally, if  $(G, K)$  is an invariant connected Cayley graph, then  $C = K \cup \{e\}$  is an invariant neighborhood of the identity by (ii) and  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C^n$  is an  $[\text{IN}_0]$ -group.

Conversely, if  $G$  is an  $[\text{IN}_0]$ -group with  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C^n$ , then  $(G, K)$  is a connected invariant graph with  $K = C \cup C^{-1}$ .  $\square$

The product  $O(n) \times \mathbb{R}$  of the orthogonal group  $O(n)$  and the additive group  $\mathbb{R}$  is an  $[\text{IN}_0]$ -group [4]. Evidently, a homogeneous graph  $(G/H, K)$  is invariant if  $G$  is abelian or  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . We refer to [5] for more examples of invariant homogeneous graphs.

A Harnack inequality for eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on a finite unweighted invariant homogeneous graph has been shown in [7]. This inequality can be proved similarly for the Laplacian in (2) for weighted graphs. We will extend the idea in [7] to deduce a version of Harnack inequality for a Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L} + \varphi$ . We first prove that the positive  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions, that is, the positive 0-eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$ , are constant.

Let  $(V, K)$  be an invariant homogeneous graph with  $V = G/H$  and the quotient map  $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ . Let  $C = K \cup \{e\}$  which is an invariant neighborhood of  $e \in G$ . The discrete subgroup

$$G_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C^n \subset G$$

is an  $[IN_0]$ -group. The measure  $\mu/|K|$  in the Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}$  has support  $K \subset G_0$  and restricts to a probability measure  $\mu_0$  on  $G_0$ . A real function  $h$  on  $G_0$  is called  $\mu_0$ -harmonic if  $h = h * \mu_0$ . Given an  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the equation  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$  gives

$$f(Hx) = \left( f * \frac{\mu}{|K|} \right)(Hx) = \int_G f(Hxy^{-1}) \frac{d\mu}{|K|}(y) = \int_{G_0} f(Hxy^{-1}) d\mu_0(y)$$

and hence  $f \circ q$  restricts to a  $\mu_0$ -harmonic function on  $G_0$ .

A function  $\varphi : G_0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is called exponential if  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G_0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $(V, K)$  be a connected invariant homogeneous graph with the Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}$  in (2). Then all positive  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on  $V$  are constant.*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a positive function on  $V = G/H$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$ . By the above remark, the quotient map  $q : G \rightarrow G/H$  lifts  $f$  to a positive  $\mu_0$ -harmonic function  $f \circ q$  on  $G_0$ . Since  $G_0$  is an  $[IN_0]$ -group and the support of  $\mu_0$  generates  $G_0$ , it follows from [4, Theorem 9] that  $f \circ q|_{G_0}$  is an integral

$$f \circ q(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} h(x) dP(h) \quad (x \in G_0)$$

of (constant multiples of) exponential functions with respect to a probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ , where each  $h \in \mathcal{E}$  is a constant multiple  $\alpha\varphi$  of an exponential function  $\varphi$  on  $G_0$  satisfying

$$\int_{G_0} \varphi(x^{-1}) d\mu_0(x) = 1.$$

We show  $\varphi = 1$  for all such  $\varphi$ . Indeed, if  $\varphi(a) \neq 1$  for some  $a \in K$ , then  $\varphi(a) + \varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(a)^{-1} > 2$  and  $1 = \int_{G_0} \varphi(x^{-1}) d\mu_0(x) = \sum_{b \in K} \varphi(b)\mu(b)/|K|$  implies

$$|K| = \varphi(a)\mu(a) + \varphi(a)^{-1}\mu(a) + \sum_{b \in K \setminus \{a, a^{-1}\}} \varphi(b)\mu(b) > 2\mu(a) + \sum_{b \in K \setminus \{a, a^{-1}\}} \varphi(b)\mu(b) \geq \sum_{b \in K} \mu(b) = \sum_{b \in K} w(v, vb) = |K|$$

which is impossible. Hence  $\varphi = 1$  on  $C = K \cup \{e\}$  and therefore, on  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C^n = G_0$ .

It follows that  $f \circ q$  is constant on  $G_0$ . Since  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} HC^n$  by connectedness of the graph and Proposition 3.1, we have  $f(Hx) = f(H)$  for all  $x \in G$ .  $\square$

Let  $(V, K)$  be a weighted invariant homogeneous graph in which the weight is given by a symmetric measure  $\mu$  satisfying

$$\mu(a) = \mu(bab^{-1}) > 0 \quad (a, b \in K). \tag{3}$$

Let  $w_a = \mu(a)/|K|$  for  $a \in K$  so that the Laplacian in (2) is written

$$\mathcal{L}f(v) = \sum_{a \in K} (f(v) - f(va))w_a.$$

Chung and Yau [7] have proved a Harnack inequality for eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  on unweighted  $(V, K)$  where  $\mu(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in K$ . By Proposition 3.2, the positive eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0$  are constant. By [2, Corollary 3.14], the  $\ell^p$ -eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  are also constant. Extending the idea in [7], we consider below eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda > 0$  for a Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L} + \varphi$  which is a positive operator on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(V)$  if  $\varphi \geq 0$ , but may be unbounded if  $V$  is infinite.

We note that if  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$  in an invariant homogeneous graph  $(V, K)$ , then  $V = \bigcup_{v \in V} \{v\} \cup vK$  is a disjoint union of connected components. The vertex set  $S$  of a union of these components satisfies  $SK \subset S$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(V, K)$  be an invariant homogeneous graph. Let  $\varphi \geq 0$  be a function on  $V$  and let  $f$  be a real function on  $V$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}f + \varphi f = \lambda f \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

Then on any finite subgraph with vertex set  $S$  satisfying  $SK \subset S$ , we have

$$\sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + \alpha \lambda f^2(v) \leq \left( \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{\alpha - 2} + \frac{4}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \sup_S \varphi \right) \sup_S f^2$$

for  $v \in S$  and  $\alpha > 2$ . In particular, the inequality holds for all  $v \in V$  if  $V$  is finite, with  $S = V$ .

**Proof.** We extend the arguments in [7] and include the details for later reference. Define

$$\rho(v) = \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 \quad (v \in S)$$

and let  $\mathcal{L}$  act on the functions  $\rho$  and  $f^2$ . First consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\rho(v) &= \sum_{b \in K} w_b \sum_{a \in K} w_a \{ [f(v) - f(va)]^2 - [f(vb) - f(vba)]^2 \} \\ &= - \sum_{b \in K} w_b \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va) - f(vb) + f(vba)]^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{b \in K} w_b \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va) - f(vb) + f(vba)] [f(v) - f(va)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  denote the second term above. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X &= 2 \sum_{b \in K} w_b \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va) - f(vb) + f(vba)] [f(v) - f(va)] \\ &= 2 \sum_{a \in K} w_a \left( \sum_{b \in K} w_b [f(v) - f(va) - f(vb) + f(vab)] \right) [f(v) - f(va)] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{a \in K} w_a \left( \sum_{b \in K} w_b [f(vba) - f(vab)] \right) [f(v) - f(va)] \\ &= 2\lambda \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + 2 \sum_{a \in K} w_a [\varphi(va)f(va) - \varphi(v)f(v)] [f(v) - f(va)] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b \in K} w_b [f(v) - f(vb)] &= \lambda f(v) - \varphi(v)f(v), \\ \sum_{b \in K} w_b [f(va) - f(vab)] &= \lambda f(va) - \varphi(va)f(va) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sum_{b \in K} w_b [f(vba) - f(vab)] = 0$  follows from the symmetry of  $\mu$  and (3).

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\rho(v) &\leq X = 2\lambda \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + 2 \sum_{a \in K} w_a [\varphi(va)f(va) - \varphi(v)f(v)] [f(v) - f(va)] \\ &\leq 2\lambda \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + 2 \sum_{a \in K} w_a [\varphi(va)f(va)f(v) + \varphi(v)f(v)f(va)]. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f^2(v) &= \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f^2(v) - f^2(va)] = 2 \sum_{a \in K} w_a f(v) [f(v) - f(va)] - \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 \\ &= 2(\lambda - \varphi(v))f^2(v) - \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2. \end{aligned}$$



Putting the last two inequalities above together, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\rho(v) + \alpha\lambda f^2(v)) &\leq 2\alpha\lambda(\lambda - \varphi(v))f^2(v) - (\alpha - 2)\lambda \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 \\ &\quad + 2f(v) \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(va) f(va) + 2\varphi(v) f(v) \sum_{a \in K} w_a f(va). \end{aligned}$$

We can find  $s \in S$  such that

$$\rho(s) + \alpha\lambda f^2(s) = \sup\{\rho(v) + \alpha\lambda f^2(v) : v \in S\}.$$

Since  $SK \subset S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathcal{L}(\rho(s) + \alpha\lambda f^2(s)) \\ &\leq 2\alpha\lambda(\lambda - \varphi(s))f^2(s) - (\alpha - 2)\lambda \sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(s) - f(sa)]^2 + 2f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(sa) f(sa) + 2\varphi(s) f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a f(sa). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(s) - f(sa)]^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \left( 2\alpha\lambda(\lambda - \varphi(s))f^2(s) + 2f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(sa) f(sa) + 2\varphi(s) f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a f(sa) \right).$$

Hence for every  $v \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a \in K} w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + \alpha\lambda f^2(v) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \left( 2\alpha\lambda(\lambda - \varphi(s))f^2(s) + 2f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(sa) f(sa) + 2\varphi(s) f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a f(sa) + \alpha\lambda(\alpha - 2)\lambda f^2(s) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \left( \alpha^2 \lambda^2 f^2(s) + 2f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(sa) f(sa) + 2\varphi(s) f(s) \sum_{a \in K} w_a f(sa) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \left( \alpha^2 \lambda^2 f^2(s) + \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(sa) (f^2(s) + f^2(sa)) + \sum_{a \in K} w_a \varphi(s) (f^2(s) + f^2(sa)) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{\alpha - 2} \sup_S f^2 + \frac{4}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \sup_S \varphi \sup_S f^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.4.** For  $\varphi = 0$  and  $w_a = \frac{1}{|K|}$  in Theorem 3.3, the inequality is identical with the Harnack inequality for finite  $V$  in [7].

Finally we derive a similar Harnack inequality for Dirichlet eigenfunctions on a finite convex subgraph of an invariant homogeneous graph  $(V, K)$ , extending the result in [8]. The *boundary*  $\delta S$  of a subgraph of  $(V, K)$  with vertex set  $S$  is defined by  $\delta S = \{v \in V \setminus S : v \sim \text{some } u \in S\}$  where  $\sim$  denotes adjacency. A subgraph of  $(V, K)$  with vertex set  $S$  is called *convex* [8] if, for any subset  $Y \subset \delta S$ , its neighborhood  $N(Y) = \{v \in V : v \sim \text{some } u \in Y\}$  satisfies the boundary expansion property:

$$|N(Y) \setminus (S \cup \delta S)| = |\{v \notin S \cup \delta S : v \sim \text{some } u \in Y\}| \geq |Y|.$$

An eigenfunction  $f$  on  $S \cup \delta S$  of a Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L} + \varphi$  is said to satisfy the *Dirichlet boundary condition* if  $f(v) = 0$  for  $v \in \delta S$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(V, K)$  be an invariant homogeneous graph and let  $S$  be the vertex set of a finite convex subgraph of  $(V, K)$ . Let  $\varphi \geq 0$  and let  $f$  be a real function on  $S \cup \delta S$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}f(v) + \varphi(v)f(v) = \lambda f(v) \quad (\lambda > 0) \tag{5}$$

for  $v \in S$  and  $f(v) = 0$  for  $v \in \delta S$ . Then we have the inequality

$$w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + \alpha\lambda f^2(v) \leq \left( \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{\alpha - 2} + \frac{4}{(\alpha - 2)\lambda} \sup_S \varphi \right) \sup_S f^2$$

for  $v \in S, a \in K$  and  $\alpha > 2, |K|/\lambda k$  where  $k = \inf\{w_a : a \in K\}$ .

**Proof.** As in the proof of [8, Theorem 1], convexity of  $S$  enables one to extend the function  $f$  to all vertices of  $V$  adjacent to  $S \cup \delta S$  so that Eq. (5) also holds on  $\delta S$ , and as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, one can apply similar arguments to the function

$$\rho_a(v) = w_a [f(v) - f(va)]^2 + \alpha \lambda f^2(v) \quad (v \in S \cup \delta S, a \in K)$$

and find some  $s \in S$  and  $b \in K$  satisfying

$$\rho_b(s) = \sup\{\rho_a(v) : v \in S, a \in K\}.$$

We have  $\rho_b(s) \geq \rho_b(sa)$  for each  $a \in K$ , given  $\alpha > |K|/\lambda k$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{L}(\rho_b(s)) \geq 0$  as in (4) in the proof of Theorem 3.3. From this, one obtains the required inequality as before.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. Baggett, J. Packer, The primitive ideal space of two-step nilpotent group  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 124 (1994) 389–426.
- [2] C.-H. Chu, Harmonic function spaces on groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 70 (2004) 182–198.
- [3] C.-H. Chu, *Matrix Convolution Operators on Groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1956, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [4] C.-H. Chu, C.-W. Leung, The convolution equation of Choquet and Deny on [IN]-groups, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 40 (2001) 391–402.
- [5] F.R.K. Chung, *Spectral Graph Theory*, CBMS Lecture Notes, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [6] F.R.K. Chung, S. Sternberg, Laplacian and vibrational spectra for homogeneous graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 16 (1992) 605–627.
- [7] F.R.K. Chung, S.-T. Yau, A Harnack inequality for homogeneous graphs and subgraphs, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 2 (1994) 628–639.
- [8] F.R.K. Chung, S.-T. Yau, A Harnack inequality for Dirichlet eigenvalues, *J. Graph Theory* 34 (2000) 247–257.
- [9] J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leur représentations*, Gauthier-Villiar, Paris, 1969.
- [10] J. Dodziuk, W.S. Kendall, Combinatorial Laplacians and isoperimetric inequality, in: *From Local Times to Global Geometry, Control and Physics*, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1986, pp. 68–75.
- [11] E.B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [12] B. Mohar, Isoperimetric inequalities, growth, and the spectrum of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 103 (1988) 119–131.
- [13] G.K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.
- [14] J. Tan, On Cheeger inequalities of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 269 (2003) 315–323.
- [15] H. Tandra, W. Moran, Characters of the discrete Heisenberg group and of its completion, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 136 (2004) 525–539.
- [16] H. Urakawa, The Cheeger constant, the heat kernel, and the Green kernel of an infinite graph, *Monatsh. Math.* 138 (2003) 225–237.