

# Siegel domains over Finsler symmetric cones

By *Cho-Ho Chu* at London

---

**Abstract.** Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Banach space  $V$ . We show that the tube domain  $V \oplus i\Omega$  over  $\Omega$  is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain if and only if  $\Omega$  is a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone, which is equivalent to the condition that  $V$  is a unital JB-algebra in an equivalent norm and  $\Omega$  is the interior of  $\{v^2 : v \in V\}$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $V \oplus i\Omega$  be a Siegel domain of the first kind over a proper open cone  $\Omega$  in a real Banach space  $V$ , often called a *tube domain*. If  $V$  is finite-dimensional, it is well known from the seminal works of Koecher [24] and Vinberg [33] that  $V \oplus i\Omega$  is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain if and only if  $\Omega$  is a linearly homogeneous self-dual cone, or equivalently, the closure  $\overline{\Omega}$  is the cone  $\{a^2 : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  in a formally real Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , in which case  $\Omega$  carries the structure of a Riemannian symmetric space (see also [5, 15, 29]). This result has an infinite-dimensional extension by the work of Braun, Kaup and Upmeyer in [8, 20], which shows that  $V \oplus i\Omega$  of any dimension is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain if and only if  $\overline{\Omega} = \{a^2 : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  in a unital JB-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . In both cases,  $V$  is the underlying vector space of  $\mathcal{A}$ . However, in contrast to the finite-dimensional case, the question of characterising all tube domains  $V \oplus i\Omega$  which are biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain in terms of the geometric structure of  $\Omega$  has been open. The question amounts to extending Koecher and Vinberg's condition of a linearly homogeneous self-dual cone to infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. A fundamental obstacle is that the concept of a self-dual cone is unavailable in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces from want of a positive definite quadratic form. Nevertheless, using Finsler structure, we are able to circumvent this difficulty and address the above question affirmatively.

We show that the tube domain  $V \oplus i\Omega$  is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain if and only if  $\Omega$  is a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone. The latter can be viewed as an infinite-dimensional generalisation of the notion of a linearly homogeneous self-dual cone. Further details are given below.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open cone in a real Banach space  $V$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a real Banach manifold modelled on  $V$ . Let  $L(V)$  be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on  $V$ , which is a real Banach Lie algebra in the Lie brackets

$$[S, T] := ST - TS \quad (S, T \in L(V)).$$

Let  $\text{GL}(V)$  be the open subgroup of  $L(V)$  consisting of invertible elements in  $L(V)$ . It is a real Banach Lie group with Lie algebra  $L(V)$ . The linear maps  $g \in \text{GL}(V)$  satisfying  $g(\Omega) = \Omega$  form a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(V)$  and will be denoted by

$$G(\Omega) = \{g \in \text{GL}(V) : g(\Omega) = \Omega\}.$$

We shall call  $G(\Omega)$  the *linear automorphism group* of  $\Omega$ . An element  $g \in \text{GL}(V)$  belongs to  $G(\Omega)$  if and only if  $g(\overline{\Omega}) = \overline{\Omega}$ , the latter denotes the closure of  $\Omega$ . Hence  $G(\Omega)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{GL}(V)$  and can be topologised to a real Banach Lie group with Lie algebra

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\Omega) = \{X \in L(V) : \exp tX \in G(\Omega) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

(cf. [32, p. 387]).

An open cone  $\Omega$  in  $V$  can be homogeneous under various group actions. The terminology *linearly homogeneous* throughout the paper is defined below.

**Definition 1.1.** An open cone  $\Omega$  in a real Banach space is called *linearly homogeneous* if the linear automorphism group  $G(\Omega)$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$ , that is, given  $a, b \in \Omega$ , there is a continuous linear isomorphism  $g \in G(\Omega)$  such that  $g(a) = b$ .

An open cone  $\Omega$  in a real Hilbert space  $V$  with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is called *self-dual* if  $\Omega = \Omega^*$ , where

$$\Omega^* = \{v \in V : \langle v, x \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}\}$$

denotes the dual cone of  $\Omega$ .

**Remark.** Linearly homogeneous self-dual cones are often called *symmetric cones* in literature. In this paper, we adopt the former terminology to avoid the latter being confused with the notion of *symmetric domains*.

Recently, the result of Koecher [24] and Vinberg [33] has been extended to infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces in [13] (cf. Corollary 4.4), where it has been shown that an open cone  $\Omega$  in a real Hilbert space  $V$ , with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , is a linearly homogeneous self-dual cone if and only if  $V$  carries the structure of a Jordan algebra with identity and  $\overline{\Omega} = \{x^2 : x \in V\}$ , in which the Jordan product satisfies

$$\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle b, ac \rangle \quad (a, b, c \in V).$$

Such a real Jordan algebra, with or without identity, is called a *JH-algebra*. Together with the result of [8] mentioned before, the above assertion implies that the tube domain  $V \oplus i\Omega$  over an open cone  $\Omega$  in a Hilbert space  $V$  is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain if and only if  $\Omega$  is linearly homogeneous and self-dual. In this case,  $\Omega$  is also a Riemannian symmetric space [12].

In finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces, it has been shown by Shima [30] and Tsuji [31] that if an open cone  $\Omega$  is linearly homogeneous, and if  $\Omega$  is a symmetric space in some

Riemannian metric, then it is self-dual and hence  $V \oplus i\Omega$  is indeed biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain. We extend this result to Hilbert spaces in Corollary 4.4, as a direct consequence of our main result for Banach spaces.

In the absence of Riemannian structures and self-duality in Banach spaces, we establish an equivalent geometric condition on  $\Omega$  for  $V \oplus i\Omega$  to be biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain for Banach spaces  $V$ , namely, that  $\Omega$  be a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone.

**Definition 1.2.** By a *Finsler symmetric cone*, we mean an open cone  $\Omega$  in a real Banach space, which is a symmetric Banach manifold in a *compatible  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant tangent norm* (defined in Section 2).

Normal cones are defined in Section 3. In finite dimensions, proper open cones are normal. Self-dual cones in Hilbert spaces are also normal. We prove the following main result in Theorem 4.2, which resolves the aforementioned question.

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Banach space  $V$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Siegel domain  $V \oplus i\Omega$  is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain.*
- (ii)  *$\Omega$  is a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone.*

Condition (ii) in this theorem also provides a simple order-geometric characterisation of unital JB-algebras as it is equivalent to  $V$  being a unital JB-algebra in an equivalent norm and  $\Omega$  the interior of  $\{a^2 : a \in V\}$ . Hence Finsler symmetric cones abound. The well-known characterisation of unital JB-algebras by geometric properties of the state space has been established by Alfsen and Schultz in [2], which is the culmination of a noncommutative spectral theory developed in a series of papers [1, 3, 4].

To prove the Main Theorem, we first give, in the next two sections, the definition of symmetric Banach manifolds and JB-algebras, together with some relevant results on cones and hermitian operators, which will be used, in tandem with Jordan and Lie theory, to establish the theorem in the last section.

## 2. Symmetric Banach manifolds

Let  $M$  be a Banach manifold (with an analytic structure), modelled on a real or complex Banach space  $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ , with tangent bundle  $TM = \{(p, v) : p \in M, v \in T_pM\}$ . A mapping

$$\nu : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

is called a *tangent norm* if  $\nu(p, \cdot)$  is a norm on the tangent space  $T_pM \approx V$  for each  $p \in M$ . We call  $\nu$  a *compatible tangent norm* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i)  $\nu$  is continuous.
- (ii) For each  $p \in M$ , there exist a local chart  $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V$  at  $p$ , and constants  $0 < r < R$  such that

$$r\|d\varphi_a(v)\|_V \leq \nu(a, v) \leq R\|d\varphi_a(v)\|_V \quad (a \in \mathcal{U} \subset M, v \in T_aM).$$

The integrated distance  $d_\nu$  of the tangent norm  $\nu$  on  $M$  is given by

$$d_\nu(x, y) = \inf_\gamma \left\{ \int_0^1 \nu(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) dt : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y \right\},$$

where  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  is a piecewise smooth curve in  $M$ .

**Remark.** In finite dimensions, a compatible tangent norm satisfying certain smoothness and convexity conditions is known as a *Finsler metric* [11]. Nevertheless, a Banach manifold with a compatible tangent norm is also called a *Finsler manifold* in literature (e.g. [27]) and this nomenclature has been adopted in Definition 1.2.

Given a Banach manifold  $M$  with a compatible tangent norm  $\nu$ , a bianalytic map

$$f : M \rightarrow M$$

is called a  $\nu$ -isometry if it satisfies

$$\nu(f(p), df_p(\cdot)) = \nu(p, \cdot) \quad \text{for all } (p, \cdot) \in TM$$

in which case, we have  $d_\nu(f(x), f(y)) = d_\nu(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open cone in a real Banach space  $V$ , equipped with a tangent norm  $\nu$ . We say that  $\nu$  is  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant if each  $g \in G(\Omega)$  is a  $\nu$ -isometry.

**Example 2.2.** A Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  modelled on a real Hilbert space  $V$ , with Riemannian metric  $g$ , admits a compatible tangent norm  $\nu : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\nu(p, v) := g_p(v, v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (p \in M, v \in T_p M \approx V).$$

The  $\nu$ -isometries of  $M$  are exactly the isometries of  $M$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in a complex Banach space  $V$ . Then the Carathéodory differential metric, defined below, is a compatible tangent norm on  $D$ .

$$\mathcal{C}(p, v) = \sup\{|f'(p)(v)| : f \in H(D, \mathbb{D}) \text{ and } f(p) = 0\} \quad ((p, v) \in TM),$$

where  $H(D, \mathbb{D})$  is the set of all holomorphic maps from  $D$  to  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . In this case, all biholomorphic maps on  $D$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -isometries.

An open cone  $\Omega$  in a real Banach space  $V$  is a real connected Banach manifold modelled on  $V$ . A homogeneous polynomial  $p : V \rightarrow V$  of degree  $n$  is of the form

$$p(v) = f(v, \dots, v) \quad (v \in V)$$

where  $f : V^n \rightarrow V$  is a continuous  $n$ -linear map. In particular, each  $f \in L(V)$  is a polynomial of degree 1, and polynomials of degree 0 are the constant maps on  $V$ .

To each homogeneous polynomial  $p$  on  $V$ , we associate an analytic vector field  $p \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  on  $V$ . If  $X = h \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is a linear vector field on  $\Omega$ , that is,  $h$  is (the restriction of) a continuous linear map  $f \in L(V)$ , we identify  $X$  with  $f$ . Conversely, each  $f \in L(V)$  identifies with the vector field  $f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  on  $\Omega$ .

Let  $I \in L(V)$  be the identity map. If  $X$  is a linear vector field on  $\Omega$ , then evidently  $[I, X] = 0$ . The converse is also true. We sketch a proof for completeness. Let  $X = h \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  be an analytic vector field and  $[I, X] = 0$ , and let

$$h(x) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} p_n(x - e)$$

be the power series expansion of  $h$  in a neighbourhood of a point  $e \in \Omega$ , where

$$p_n(v) = f_n(v, \dots, v)$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n + 1$  with  $f_n : V^{n+1} \rightarrow V$ , and  $p_{-1} = h(e)$ . We have

$$X = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} X_n, \quad X_n = p_n(x - e) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

in a local chart at  $e$  and

$$0 = [I, X] = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} (\text{ad } I)X_n = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} q_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

implies

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} q_n(x) = 0,$$

where  $q_{-1} = -h(e)$ ,  $q_0(x) = p_0(e)$  and  $q_1(x) = f_1(x - e, x) + f_1(x, x - e) - p_1(x - e)$ . This gives  $-h(e) + p_0(e) = 0$  and

$$h(x) = p_0(x) + p_1(x - e) + \dots$$

Differentiating (2.1) twice, we obtain

$$q_1''(e) = q_1''(e) + q_2''(e) + \dots = 0,$$

where  $q_1''(e)(x) = f_1(x, \cdot) + f_1(\cdot, x) - f_1(e, \cdot) - f_1(\cdot, e) \in L(V)$  for  $x \in V$ . It follows that  $p_1(x) = f_1(x, x) = 0$ . Differentiating repeatedly then gives  $p_2 = p_3 = \dots = 0$  and  $h = p_0$  is linear.

To introduce the concept of a symmetric Banach manifold, we begin with the notion of a *symmetry* of a manifold. Let  $M$  be a Banach manifold endowed with a compatible tangent norm  $\nu$  and let  $p \in M$ . A  $\nu$ -*symmetry* (or *symmetry*, if  $\nu$  is understood) at  $p$  is a  $\nu$ -isometry

$$s : M \rightarrow M$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i)  $s$  is involutive, that is,  $s^2$  is the identity map on  $M$ .
- (ii)  $p$  is an isolated fixed-point of  $s$ , in other words,  $p$  is the only point in some neighbourhood of  $p$  satisfying  $s(p) = p$ .

**Definition 2.4.** By a *symmetric Banach manifold* (with a tangent norm  $\nu$ ), we mean a *connected* Banach manifold  $M$ , equipped with a compatible tangent norm  $\nu$ , such that there is a unique  $\nu$ -symmetry  $s_p : M \rightarrow M$  at each  $p \in M$  (see also [19, 32]).

By definition, a *Finsler symmetric cone*  $\Omega$  in a real Banach space  $V$  is a symmetric Banach manifold of which the tangent norm is  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant.

**Example 2.5.** Riemannian symmetric spaces are (real) symmetric Banach manifolds (in the Riemannian metric). A *bounded symmetric domain* is a bounded domain  $D$  in a complex Banach space such that for each  $p \in D$ , there is an involutive biholomorphic map  $s_p : D \rightarrow D$  (necessarily unique) of which  $p$  is an isolated fixed-point. Equipped with the Carathéodory metric, a bounded symmetric domain is a complex symmetric Banach manifold and  $s_p$  is the symmetry at  $p$ . Finite-dimensional Hermitian symmetric spaces of non-compact type are exactly the bounded symmetric domains in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  via the Harish-Chandra realisation and have been classified by É. Cartan [10].

**Example 2.6.** A concept of a symmetric manifold has been introduced by Loos in [26] (see also [6]), where a connected (real) smooth manifold  $M$  is called a *symmetric space* if there is a smooth map

$$\mu : (x, y) \in M \times M \mapsto x \cdot y \in M$$

satisfying the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in M$ :

- (i)  $x \cdot x = x$ ,
- (ii)  $x \cdot (x \cdot y) = y$ ,
- (iii)  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)$ ,
- (iv) there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $x \cdot y = y \in U$  implies  $x = y$ .

We call  $(M, \mu)$  a *Loos symmetric space*. The *left multiplication*  $S(x) : y \in M \mapsto x \cdot y \in M$  is called a *symmetry around  $x$*  in [26]. A diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is called a  $\mu$ -*automorphism* if  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f, g : M \rightarrow M$  be two  $\mu$ -automorphisms on a Loos symmetric space  $(M, \mu)$  such that  $f(x) = g(x)$  and  $f'(x) = g'(x)$  at some point  $x \in M$ . Then we have  $f = g$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [27, Lemma 3.5, Theorem 3.6] since  $M$  is a connected manifold with spray. □

Given a (real) symmetric Banach manifold  $M$ , one can define  $\mu : M \times M \rightarrow M$  by

$$\mu(x, y) = s_x(y) \quad (s_x \text{ is the symmetry at } x)$$

which makes  $(M, \mu)$  into a Loos symmetric space and  $s_x = S(x)$ .

A Loos symmetric space  $(M, \mu)$  is equipped with a canonical affine connection (see [26, p. 83] and [6, Theorem 26.3]; see also Appendix), which is geodesically complete (see [27, Theorem 3.6]). The derivative  $S(p)'(p) : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$  of the symmetry  $S(p)$  equals  $-\text{id}$ , where  $\text{id}$  is the identity map (see [27, Lemma 3.2]). Given a geodesic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  through  $p$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$ , the symmetry  $S(p)$  reverses  $\gamma$  in that  $S(p)(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(-t)$ .

### 3. Jordan algebras and order structures

For later applications, we review some basics of Jordan algebras, first introduced in [18], and refer to [12, 32] for more details. We also prove some relevant order-theoretical results in this section. In what follows, a Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a real vector space, which can be infinite-dimensional, equipped with a bilinear product  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \mapsto ab \in \mathcal{A}$  that is commutative, but not necessarily associative, and satisfies the *Jordan identity*

$$a(ba^2) = (ab)a^2 \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

A vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a bilinear product will be called an *algebra*. For each element  $a$  in an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define inductively

$$a^1 = a, a^{n+1} = aa^n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and call  $\mathcal{A}$  *power associative* if

$$a^m a^n = a^{m+n} \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We call  $\mathcal{A}$  *unital* if it contains an identity. Evidently, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital and power associative, then the subalgebra  $\mathcal{J}(a, e)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $a$  and the identity  $e$  is associative.

A linear map  $\delta : V \rightarrow V$  on an algebra  $V$  is called a *derivation* if it satisfies

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b) \quad (a, b \in V),$$

which can be rephrased as

$$(3.1) \quad [\delta, L_a] = L_{\delta(a)} \quad (a \in V)$$

where  $L_a : V \rightarrow V$  is the *left multiplication*  $L_a(x) = ax$  for  $x \in V$ , and  $[\delta, L_a] = \delta L_a - L_a \delta$  is the usual *commutator*. Given a derivation  $\delta$  on  $V$  and  $a \in V$ , a simple induction shows that

$$(3.2) \quad \delta(a) = 0 \implies \delta(a^n) = 0 \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Further, if  $V$  is commutative, then  $\delta(a^2) = 0$  implies

$$(3.3) \quad 2a\delta(a) = \delta(a^2) = 0.$$

We will make use of the following result, which follows from [9, Lemma 2.4.4].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $V$  be a commutative algebra on which the commutator  $[L_x, L_y]$  is a derivation for all  $x, y \in V$ . Then for all  $a \in V$ , we have*

- (i)  $[L_a, L_{a^3}] = 3L_a[L_a, L_{a^2}]$ ,
- (ii)  $[[L_a, L_{a^2}], [[L_a, L_{a^2}], L_{a^2}]] = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) This is proved in [9, Lemma 2.4.5]. (ii) Using (i), a simple argument in [9, Lemma 2.4.4] gives  $[L_a, L_{a^2}]^2(a^2) = 0$ . Applying (3.1) twice yields

$$[[L_a, L_{a^2}], [[L_a, L_{a^2}], L_{a^2}]] = [[L_a, L_{a^2}], L_{[L_a, L_{a^2}](a^2)}] = L_{[L_a, L_{a^2}][L_a, L_{a^2}](a^2)} = 0.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Jordan algebras are power associative. An element  $a$  in a Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with identity  $e$  is called *invertible* if there exists an element  $a^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  (which is necessarily unique) such that  $aa^{-1} = e$  and  $(a^2)a^{-1} = a$ . A Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *formally real* if  $a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 = 0$  implies  $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$  for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  (see [18]). A finite-dimensional formally real Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is necessarily unital (cf. [12, Proposition 1.1.13]).

On a Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , one can define a *Jordan triple product* by

$$\{a, b, c\} = (ab)c + a(bc) - b(ac) \quad (a, b, c \in \mathcal{A})$$

which plays an important role in the structures of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A real Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *JB-algebra* if it is also a Banach space and the norm satisfies

$$\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \quad \|a^2\| = \|a\|^2, \quad \|a^2\| \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . A JB-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a natural order structure determined by the set

$$\mathcal{A}_+ = \{x^2 : x \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

which forms a closed cone [16, Lemmas 3.3.5 and 3.3.7] and satisfies  $\mathcal{A}_+ \cap -\mathcal{A}_+ = \{0\}$ . In finite dimensions, JB-algebras are exactly the formally real Jordan algebras [12, Lemma 2.3.7].

Let  $V$  be a real Banach space. By a *cone*  $\Omega$  in  $V$ , we mean a *nonempty* subset of  $V$  satisfying (i)  $\Omega + \Omega \subset \Omega$  and (ii)  $\alpha\Omega \subset \Omega$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . We note that a cone is necessarily convex. Trivially,  $V$  itself is a cone. In the sequel, we shall exclude this case. If  $\Omega$  is an open cone properly contained in  $V$ , then we must have  $0 \notin \Omega$  although the closure  $\overline{\Omega}$  contains 0.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open cone properly contained in a real Banach space  $V$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $\leq$  be the partial order defined by the closure  $\overline{\Omega}$ , which is a cone, so that

$$x \leq y \iff y - x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

We also write  $y \geq x$  for  $x \leq y$ . Let  $V^*$  be the dual Banach space of  $V$ , consisting of continuous linear functionals on  $V$ . As usual, a linear functional  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *positive* if  $f(\overline{\Omega}) \subset [0, \infty)$ . By the Hahn–Banach separation theorem, we have

$$\overline{\Omega} = \{v \in V : f(v) \geq 0 \text{ for each } f \in V^* \text{ satisfying } f(\overline{\Omega}) \subset [0, \infty)\}.$$

We note that each element  $e \in \Omega$  is an *order unit*, that is, for each  $v \in V$ , we have

$$-\alpha v \leq v \leq \alpha e$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Indeed, since  $\Omega$  is open,  $e - \Omega$  is a neighbourhood of  $0 \in V$  and therefore one can find  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\pm\lambda v \in e - \Omega$ , which gives  $\lambda v = e - a_1$  and  $-\lambda v = e - a_2$  for some  $a_1, a_2 \in \Omega$ . In other words,

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda}e \leq v \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}e.$$

The preceding argument also implies

$$(3.4) \quad V = \Omega - \Omega.$$

An order unit  $e \in \Omega$  induces a semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  on  $V$ , defined by

$$\|x\|_e = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : -\lambda e \leq x \leq \lambda e\} \quad (x \in V)$$

which satisfies

$$-\|x\|_e e \leq x \leq \|x\|_e e$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \{x \in V : \|x\|_e \leq 1\} = \{x \in V : -e \leq x \leq e\}.$$

Since  $\{x \in V : \|x\|_e = 0\} = \overline{\Omega} \cap -\overline{\Omega}$ , the semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  is a norm if and only if

$$\overline{\Omega} \cap -\overline{\Omega} = \{0\}$$

in which case  $\Omega$  is called a *proper cone* and  $\|\cdot\|_e$  is called the *order-unit norm* induced by  $e$ . All order-unit norms induced by elements in  $\Omega$  are mutually equivalent.

Henceforth, let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in  $V$ . It follows from (3.5) that every linear map  $\psi : V \rightarrow V$  which is *positive*, meaning  $\psi(\overline{\Omega}) \subset \overline{\Omega}$ , is continuous with respect to the order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  and moreover,  $\|\psi\|_e = \|\psi(e)\|_e$ , where the former denotes the norm of  $\psi$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_e$ . In particular, if  $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive linear functional, then  $\|\psi\|_e = \psi(e)$ .

Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  denote the vector space  $V$  equipped with the order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$ , and  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)^*$  its dual space. A positive linear map  $\psi : (V, \|\cdot\|_e) \rightarrow (V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  is an isometry if and only if  $\psi(e) = e$  (see [13, Proposition 2.3]). By [13, Lemma 2.5], there is a positive constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|\cdot\|_e \leq c \|\cdot\|.$$

It follows that every  $\|\cdot\|_e$ -continuous linear functional on  $V$  is also  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous. On the other hand, given  $f \in V^*$  satisfying  $f(e) = 1 = \|f\|_e$ , then  $f$  is positive and hence continuous with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$ .

Denote the *state space* (with respect to the order unit  $e$ ) by

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} S_e &= \{f \in (V, \|\cdot\|_e)^* : f(e) = 1 = \|f\|_e\} \\ &= \{f \in V^* : f(e) = 1, f \text{ is positive}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a weak\* compact convex set in the dual  $V^*$  and we have

$$\|x\|_e = \sup\{|f(v)| : f \in S_e\} \quad (x \in V)$$

(cf. [16, Lemma 1.2.5]).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Banach space  $V$  and let  $e \in \Omega$ , which induces an order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  on  $V$ . Then we have*

$$\Omega = \bigcap_{f \in S_e} f^{-1}(0, \infty).$$

*Proof.* Given that  $V$  is partially ordered by the closure  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \overline{\Omega} = \bigcap_{f \in S_e} f^{-1}[0, \infty)$$

since  $\frac{f}{f(e)} \in S_e$  for each nonzero positive linear functional  $f \in V^*$ .

Let  $a \in \Omega$ . Then for each  $f \in S_e$ , we have  $f(a) > 0$  since  $a$  is an order unit, which implies  $e \leq \lambda a$  for some constant  $\lambda > 0$  and hence  $1 \leq \lambda f(a)$ . This proves

$$\Omega \subset \bigcap_{f \in S_e} f^{-1}(0, \infty).$$

Conversely, let  $a \in V$  and  $f(a) > 0$  for all  $f \in S_e$ . Then  $a \in \overline{\Omega}$  and by weak\* compactness of  $S_e$ , one can find some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(a) \geq \delta$  for all  $f \in S_e$ . Let

$$N = \left\{ x \in V : \|x - a\| < \frac{\delta}{2c} \right\} \subset \left\{ x \in V : \|x - a\|_e < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\},$$

where  $c > 0$  is given in (3.6). Then  $N$  is an open neighbourhood of  $a$  and,  $N \subset \overline{\Omega}$  since

$$x \in N \implies -\frac{\delta}{2}e \leq x - a \implies a - \frac{\delta}{2}e \leq x \implies \frac{\delta}{2} \leq f(x)$$

for all  $f \in S_e$ . Hence  $a$  belongs to the interior  $\overline{\Omega}^0$  of  $\overline{\Omega}$  and, as  $\Omega$  is open and convex, we have  $\Omega = \overline{\Omega}^0$  and  $a \in \Omega$ .  $\square$

We see from (3.6) that if  $\dim V < \infty$ , then the order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  is equivalent to the norm of  $V$  by the open mapping theorem. In fact, the equivalence of the two norms is related to the basic concept of a normal cone in the theory of partially ordered topological vector spaces.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Banach space  $V$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then the order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  induced by  $e \in \Omega$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  if and only if  $\Omega$  is a normal cone in  $V$ , that is, there is a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $0 \leq x \leq y$  implies  $\|x\| \leq \gamma\|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in V$ . In particular,  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  is a Banach space if  $\Omega$  is a normal cone.*

*Proof.* By the definition of the order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$ , we have  $0 \leq x \leq y$  in  $V$  implies  $\|x\|_e \leq \|y\|_e$ . Hence  $\Omega$  is normal in  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$ . If  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_e$ , then evidently  $\Omega$  is also normal in  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ .

Conversely, let  $\Omega$  be normal in  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ . We have already noted in (3.6) that  $\|\cdot\|_e \leq c\|\cdot\|$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . By (3.5) and normality of  $\Omega$ , there is a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_e \leq 1$  implies

$$-e \leq x \leq e \implies 0 \leq x + e \leq 2e \implies \|x + e\| \leq 2\gamma\|e\| \implies \|x\| < 2(\gamma + 1)\|e\|,$$

which yields  $\|\cdot\| \leq 2(\gamma + 1)\|e\|\|\cdot\|_e$  and the equivalence of  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_e$ .  $\square$

We note that a self-dual cone  $\Omega$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  is a proper cone, and also normal since it has been shown in [13, Lemma 2.6] that the order-unit norms induced by elements in  $\Omega$  are all equivalent to the norm of  $H$ .

Let  $L(W)$  be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space  $W$  and  $I \in L(W)$  the identity operator. We recall that an element  $T \in L(W)$  is called *hermitian* if its numerical range  $\mathbf{V}(T)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where

$$\mathbf{V}(T) = \{\psi(T) : \psi \in L(W)^* \text{ satisfies } \|\psi\| = 1 = \psi(I)\},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|\exp itT\| = \left\| I + itT + \frac{(itT)^2}{2!} + \dots \right\| = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

(cf. [7, Chapter 2]). If  $T_0 \in L(W)$  is hermitian, then the left multiplication

$$L_{T_0} : S \in L(W) \mapsto T_0 S \in L(W)$$

is a hermitian operator in  $L(L(W))$  because the linear map  $T \in L(W) \mapsto L_T \in L(L(W))$  is an isometry.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\eta : L(W) \rightarrow L(W)$  be a hermitian operator. Then for all  $T \in L(W)$ , we have  $\|\eta(T)\|^2 \leq 4\|T\|\|\eta^2(T)\|$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved in [7, p. 95]. □

Given a real Banach space  $V$ , one can equip its complexification  $V_c = V \otimes \mathbb{C} = V \oplus iV$  with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_c$  so that  $(V_c, \|\cdot\|_c)$  is a complex Banach space and

- (i) the isometric embedding  $v \in V \mapsto (v, 0) \in V \oplus iV$  identifies  $V$  as a real closed subspace of  $V_c$ ,
- (ii) the map  $T \in L(V) \mapsto T_c \in L(V_c)$  is isometric, where  $T_c$  is the complexification of  $T$  defined by  $T_c(x + iy) = T(x) + iT(y)$  for  $x, y \in V$ .

Moreover, if  $V$  is an algebra satisfying  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in V$ , the norm  $\|\cdot\|_c$  can be chosen so that  $\|ab\|_c \leq \|a\|_c\|b\|_c$  for all  $a, b \in V_c$ . In this case, the linear map

$$(3.9) \quad a \in V_c \mapsto L_a \in L(V_c)$$

is an isometry, where  $L_a$  is the left multiplication. In the sequel, we will make use of this construction.

In the preceding construction, if the norm of  $V$  is an order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$ , one can also define a notion of *numerical range*  $v(a)$  of an element  $a \in V_c$  by

$$v(a) = \{f(a) : f \in V_c^* \text{ satisfies } \|f\| = 1 = f(e)\}.$$

If  $V$  is an algebra and the order unit  $e$  is an algebra identity, then an application of the isometry in (3.9) implies  $v(L_a) \subset v(a)$  and therefore  $L_a$  is hermitian if  $v(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 4. Tube domains over Finsler symmetric cones

We prove the main theorem in this section. Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Banach space  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ . Then it is a real connected Banach manifold modelled on  $V$ . Let  $(V_c, \|\cdot\|_c)$  be a complexification of  $V$ . The domain

$$D(\Omega) := V \oplus i\Omega = \{v + i\omega : v \in V, \omega \in \Omega\} \subset V_c = V \oplus iV$$

in  $V_c$  is called a *tube domain* over  $\Omega$ .

Let  $V \oplus i\Omega$  be biholomorphic to a bounded domain (this is always the case if  $\dim V < \infty$ , see [23, Chapter II, Section 5]). On  $D(\Omega) = V \oplus i\Omega$ , the Carathéodory distance  $\rho$  is defined, in terms of the Poincaré distance  $\rho_{\mathbb{D}}$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , by

$$\rho(z, w) := \sup\{\rho_{\mathbb{D}}(f(z), f(w)) : f \in H(D(\Omega), \mathbb{D})\} \quad (z, w \in D(\Omega))$$

which need not coincide with the integrated distance of the Carathéodory differential metric  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $V \oplus i\Omega$ , defined in Example 2.3.

If the proper open cone  $\Omega$  in  $V$  is normal, then the order-unit norms induced by elements in  $\Omega$  are all equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  by Lemma 3.3 and one can define a compatible tangent norm  $\tau$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \tau(p, v) = \|v\|_p \quad ((p, v) \in \Omega \times V)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the order-unit norm induced by the order unit  $p \in \Omega$ . To see that  $\tau$  is continuous, let  $(p_n)$  converge to  $p$  in  $\Omega$  and  $(v_n)$  converge to  $v$  in  $V$ . Given  $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\|p_n - p\|_p \rightarrow 0$  implies  $-\varepsilon p \leq p_n - p \leq \varepsilon p$  and  $(1 - \varepsilon)p \leq p_n \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p$  from some  $n$  onwards, which gives

$$-(1 + \varepsilon)\|v_n\|_{p_n} p \leq -\|v_n\|_{p_n} p_n \leq v_n \leq \|v_n\|_{p_n} p_n \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|v_n\|_{p_n} p$$

and hence  $\|v_n\|_p \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|v_n\|_{p_n}$ . Likewise  $p \leq \frac{p_n}{1 - \varepsilon}$  implies  $\|v_n\|_{p_n} \leq \frac{\|v_n\|_p}{1 - \varepsilon}$  and therefore

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \frac{\|v_n\|_p}{\|v_n\|_{p_n}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\|v_n\|_p \rightarrow \|v\|_p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude  $\|v_n\|_{p_n} \rightarrow \|v\|_p$ , proving continuity of  $\tau$ . The above argument also implies that for each  $a \in \mathcal{U} := \{v \in V : \|v - p\|_p < \varepsilon < 1\}$ , we have

$$\frac{\|v\|_p}{1 + \varepsilon} \leq \|v\|_a \leq \frac{\|v\|_p}{1 - \varepsilon} \quad (v \in V).$$

Hence  $\tau$  is a compatible tangent norm.

The tangent norm  $\tau$  coincides with the tangent norm  $b : T\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  in [32, 12.31, 22.37], which is defined as follows. Fix  $e \in \Omega$ . Then each  $g \in G(\Omega)$  satisfying  $g(e) = e$  is an isometry with respect to the order unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  and hence one can define

$$(4.2) \quad b(p, v) = \|h(v)\|_e \quad ((p, v) \in T\Omega)$$

for any  $h \in G(\Omega)$  satisfying  $h(p) = e$ . In fact,  $\tau$  is  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant, which implies  $\tau = b$ . For if  $h \in G(\Omega)$ , then we have

$$\tau(h(p), h'(p)(v)) = \tau(h(p), h(v)) = \|h(v)\|_{h(p)} = \|v\|_p = \tau(p, v)$$

for  $v \in T_p\Omega = V$ , where the third identity follows from the equivalent conditions

$$-\lambda h(p) \leq h(v) \leq \lambda h(p) \iff \lambda p \leq v \leq \lambda p \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

By [28, Lemma 1.3, Theorem 1.1], the integrated distance  $d_\tau$  of  $\tau$  on  $\Omega$  coincides with Thompson's metric

$$d_\tau(x, y) = \max \left\{ \log M \left( \frac{x}{y} \right), \log M \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \right\} \quad (x, y \in \Omega),$$

where

$$M \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) := \inf \{ \beta > 0 : \beta a \geq b \} \quad (a, b \in \Omega).$$

It has been shown in [33, (5.3), Theorem II] that the restriction of the Carathéodory distance  $\rho$  to  $i\Omega$  can be expressed as

$$\rho(ix, iy) = \sup \left\{ \left| \log \frac{f(x)}{f(y)} \right| : f \in V^*, f(\Omega) \subset (0, \infty) \right\} \quad (x, y \in \Omega).$$

From this one can deduce that  $d_\tau(x, y) = \rho(ix, iy)$ , as shown in [14, Lemma 3.6.17].

**Example 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a JB-algebra with identity  $e$ , partially ordered by the closed cone  $\mathcal{A}_+ = \{a^2 : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the interior of  $\mathcal{A}_+$ . Then  $e \in \Omega$  is an order unit and the norm of  $\mathcal{A}$  coincides with the order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$ . Hence  $\Omega$  is a normal cone. Equip  $\Omega$  with the tangent norm  $\tau$  defined in (4.1). Each element  $a \in \Omega$  is invertible and one can define a smooth map  $\mu : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  in terms of the Jordan triple product by

$$\mu(x, y) = \{x, y^{-1}, x\} \quad (x, y \in \Omega).$$

It can be shown that  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a Loos symmetric space (e.g. [25]) and moreover, each  $\tau$ -isometry is a  $\mu$ -homomorphism. By Lemma 2.7, a  $\tau$ -symmetry  $s_p : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  at  $p \in \Omega$  must be unique since  $s'_p(p) = -id : T_p\Omega \rightarrow T_p\Omega$ .

Finally, we are ready to prove the main result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Banach space  $V$ , with closure  $\overline{\Omega}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Siegel domain  $V \oplus i\Omega$  is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain.*
- (ii)  *$\Omega$  is a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone.*
- (iii)  *$V$  is a unital JB-algebra in an equivalent norm and  $\overline{\Omega} = \{a^2 : a \in V\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) This has been proved in [8, 20].

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) This is essentially proved in [8, 20], more details can be found in [32, 22.37]. It suffices to highlight the main arguments. First,  $\Omega$  is a normal cone as noted in Example 4.1. Let  $e \in V$  be the algebra identity. Then  $e \in \Omega$  and each element in  $\Omega$  is invertible. The linear automorphism group  $G(\Omega)$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$  and the tangent norm  $b : T\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined in (4.2) is  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant. Equipped with this tangent norm, the inverse map  $x \in \Omega \mapsto x^{-1} \in \Omega$  is a  $b$ -symmetry at  $e$ , which is unique, as noted in Example 4.1, and hence  $\Omega$  is a symmetric Banach manifold by linear homogeneity.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $\Omega$  be a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone in a compatible  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant tangent norm  $\nu$ . For each  $p \in \Omega$ , let  $s_p : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be the symmetry at  $p$ . By Example 2.6,  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a Loos symmetric space, with the smooth map

$$\mu : (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mapsto x \cdot y = s_x(y) \in \Omega.$$

Denote by  $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$  the diffeomorphism group of  $\Omega$  and let

$$\text{Aut } \Omega = \{f \in \text{Diff}(\Omega) : f \circ s_p = s_{f(p)} \circ f \text{ for all } p \in \Omega\}$$

be the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$ , consisting of  $\mu$ -automorphisms of  $\Omega$ .

By [21, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 5.12],  $\text{Aut } \Omega$  carries the structure of a real Banach Lie group, with Lie algebra

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Kill } \Omega = \{X \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega) : \exp tX \in \text{Aut } \Omega \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

which is a Banach Lie algebra in some norm  $|\cdot|$  and a subalgebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$  of smooth vector fields on  $\Omega$ . More details are given in the Appendix.

We note that the linear automorphism group  $G(\Omega)$  is contained in  $\text{Aut } \Omega$ . Indeed, given  $p \in \Omega$  and  $g \in G(\Omega)$ , the composite map

$$g^{-1} \circ s_{g(p)} \circ g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$$

is a  $\nu$ -isometry by  $G(\Omega)$ -invariance of  $\nu$ , with isolated fixed-point  $p$ . Hence by uniqueness of the symmetry  $s_p$ , we have  $g^{-1} \circ s_{g(p)} \circ g = s_p$  and  $g \in \text{Aut } \Omega$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}(\Omega) \subset \text{Kill } \Omega$  by (1.1) and (4.3).

Fix a point  $e \in \Omega$ , which induces an order-unit norm  $\|\cdot\|_e$  on  $V$ , equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of  $V$ , by Lemma 3.3.

The evaluation map

$$X \in \text{Kill } \Omega \mapsto X(e) \in V$$

is surjective by [6, Proposition 5.9] (cf. [26, Theorem II.2.2]). In fact, the differential of the orbital map  $\rho : g \in G(\Omega) \mapsto g(e) \in \Omega$  at the identity of  $G(\Omega)$  is the evaluation map

$$(4.4) \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}(\Omega) \mapsto X(e) \in T_e \Omega = V$$

which is also surjective by linear homogeneity of  $\Omega$  (see [13]; cf. [35, p. 110]).

Let  $s_e : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be the symmetry at  $e$ . Then  $s_e \in \text{Aut } \Omega$ . Since  $s_e^2$  is the identity map, the adjoint representation

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(s_e) : \text{Kill } \Omega \rightarrow \text{Kill } \Omega$$

is an involution and the Lie algebra  $\text{Kill } \Omega$  has an eigenspace decomposition

$$\text{Kill } \Omega = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

satisfying

$$(4.5) \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k},$$

where  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the 1-eigenspace and  $\mathfrak{p}$  the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace, both are  $|\cdot|$ -closed. Moreover, we have (cf. [12, Lemma 2.4.5])

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \text{Kill } \Omega : X(e) = 0\} = \{X \in \text{Kill } \Omega : \exp tX(e) = e \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Hence the linear map

$$(4.6) \quad X \in \mathfrak{p} \mapsto X(e) \in V$$

is bijective as  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{p} = \{0\}$ .

Let  $I \in L(V)$  be the identity vector field, which belongs to the Lie algebra  $\text{Kill } \Omega$  since  $\exp tI = \varepsilon^t I \in G(\Omega)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\varepsilon = \log^{-1}(1)$  denotes Euler's number, to avoid confusion with the order unit  $e \in \Omega$ . Hence  $[I, X] \in \text{Kill } \Omega$  for all  $X \in \text{Kill } \Omega$ . We show  $\theta I = -I$ .

We have

$$(\theta I)(\cdot) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t\theta I(\cdot) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} s_e(\exp tI)s_e(\cdot) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} s_e(\varepsilon^t s_e)(\cdot).$$

Since the symmetry  $s_e$  reverses the geodesic  $\gamma(t) = \exp tI(e) = \varepsilon^t e$ , we have

$$s_e(\varepsilon^t e) = s_e(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(-t) = \varepsilon^{-t} e.$$

By uniqueness of the symmetry, we have  $\varepsilon^t s_e(\varepsilon^t \cdot) = s_e(\cdot)$ , which gives

$$(\theta I)(\cdot) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varepsilon^{-t} I(\cdot) = -I(\cdot).$$

We show next that each  $X = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \in \mathfrak{p}$  is a linear vector field. For this, we first note that  $X = Z - \theta Z$  for some  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}(\Omega) \subset \text{Kill } \Omega$ . Indeed, (4.4) implies the existence of  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}(\Omega)$  such that  $Y(e) = X(e)$ , which gives

$$X(e) = Y(e) = \frac{1}{2}(Y + \theta Y)(e) + \frac{1}{2}(Y - \theta Y)(e) = \frac{1}{2}(Y - \theta Y)(e)$$

since  $\frac{1}{2}(Y + \theta Y) \in \mathfrak{k}$ . It follows that  $X = \frac{1}{2}(Y - \theta Y) \in \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $Z = \frac{1}{2}Y \in \mathfrak{g}(\Omega)$ . Since  $Z$  is a linear vector field by (1.1), linearity of  $X = Z - \theta Z$  follows from that of  $\theta Z$ . By the remarks in Section 2, the latter is linear because

$$[I, \theta Z] = \theta[\theta I, Z] = -\theta[I, Z] = 0.$$

The linear isomorphism  $X \in \mathfrak{p} \mapsto X(e) \in V$  in (4.6) is a continuous bijection and hence by the open mapping theorem, its inverse is also continuous and there is a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\kappa \|X(e)\| \geq |X|$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $L : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  be the inverse of the map in (4.6) so that

$$L(x)(e) = x \quad (x \in V)$$

and  $|L(a)| \leq \kappa \|a\|$  for all  $a \in V$ .

On  $V$ , we can now define a product

$$(4.7) \quad xy := L(x)(y) \quad (x, y \in V),$$

where  $L(x)$  is a linear vector field, identified as an element of  $L(V)$ .

We show that  $V$  is a Jordan algebra in this product, with identity  $e$ . First, we have

$$ae = L(a)(e) = a \quad (a \in V).$$

Given  $a, b \in V$ , we have

$$ab - ba = [L(a), L(b)](e) = 0,$$

where  $L(a), L(b) \in \mathfrak{p}$  implies  $[L(a), L(b)] \in \mathfrak{k}$ , by (4.5).

Before deriving the Jordan identity, we need to establish some facts. By continuity of the evaluation map in (4.6), there is a constant  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\|Xe\| \leq \rho|X|$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . This implies  $\|a\| = \|L(a)e\| \leq \rho|L(a)|$  and

$$\|ab\| = \|L(a)L(b)e\| \leq \kappa \|a\| \|L(b)e\| \leq \rho \kappa^2 \|a\| \|b\| \quad (a, b \in V)$$

as well as

$$(4.8) \quad \|ab\|_e \leq \alpha \|a\|_e \|b\|_e \quad (a, b \in V)$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ , since  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_e$  are equivalent.

We begin by showing that  $V$  is power associative. One can verify directly the identity

$$[[L(x), L(y)], L(z)](e) = L([L(x), L(y)]z)(e) \quad (x, y, z \in V)$$

where  $[L(x), L(y)] \in \mathfrak{k}$  implies  $[L(x), L(y)](e) = 0$ . It follows that

$$(4.9) \quad [[L(x), L(y)], L(z)] = L([L(x), L(y)]z)$$

since both vector fields belong to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . By definition,  $L(x)$  is the left multiplication by  $x$  on the commutative algebra  $V$ . By (4.9) and (3.1),  $[L(x), L(y)]$  is a derivation on  $V$  for all  $x, y \in V$ . Hence Lemma 3.1 implies

$$(4.10) \quad [[L(x), L(x^2)], [[L(x), L(x^2)], L(x^2)]] = 0 \quad (x \in V).$$

Let  $a \in V$  and consider the linear vector field  $T = [L(a), L(a^2)] \in \mathfrak{k}$ , identified as an element of  $L(V)$ . Since  $\exp tT : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  satisfies  $\exp tT(e) = e$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , each  $\exp tT$  is a positive linear map on  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  and  $\|\exp tT\| = \|\exp tT(e)\| = \|e\| = 1$ . Let  $T_c \in L(V_c)$  be the complexification of  $T \in L(V)$ , as defined in Section 3. Then we have

$$\|\exp tT_c\| = \|(\exp tT)_c\| = \|\exp tT\| = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Hence  $iT_c$  is a hermitian operator in  $L(V_c)$  and it follows from (4.10) that

$$[iT_c, [iT_c, L(a^2)_c]] = -[T, [T, L(a^2)]]_c = 0.$$

The linear operator

$$(4.11) \quad \eta : S \in L(V_c) \mapsto [iT_c, S] = iT_cS - S(iT_c) \in L(V_c)$$

is hermitian, since both the left multiplication  $S \in L(V_c) \mapsto iT_cS \in L(V_c)$  and right multiplication  $S \in L(V_c) \mapsto S(iT_c) \in L(V_c)$  are hermitian. Hence Lemma 3.4 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|[iT_c, L(a^2)_c]\|^2 &= \|\eta(L(a^2)_c)\|^2 \leq 4\|L(a^2)_c\|\|\eta^2(L(a^2)_c)\| \\ &= 4\|L(a^2)_c\|\|[iT_c, [iT_c, L(a^2)_c]]\| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(4.12) \quad [[L(a), L(a^2)], L(a^2)] = [T, L(a^2)] = 0.$$

In particular, we have

$$[L(a), L(a^2)](a^2) = [[L(a), L(a^2)], L(a^2)](e) = 0$$

since  $[L(a), L(a^2)](e) = 0$ . Further, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$L(a)T = L(a)[L(a), L(a^2)] = \frac{1}{3}[L(a), L(a^3)] \in \mathfrak{k}$$

and hence

$$TL(a) = L(a)T - [L(a), T] = L(a)T - [L(a), [L(a), L(a^2)]] \in \text{Kill } \Omega,$$

where  $TL(a)$  is a linear vector field, identified as an element of  $L(V)$ .

By (3.3), we have  $L(a)TL(a)(e) = a[L(a), L(a^2)](a) = 0$  and hence

$$(TL(a))^2(e) = TL(a)TL(a)(e) = 0$$

as well as

$$(TL(a))^{n+2}(e) = (TL(a))^n(TL(a))^2(e) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It follows that

$$\exp tTL(a)(e) = e + tTL(a)(e) + \frac{t^2(TL(a))^2(e)}{2!} + \dots = e + tTL(a)(e)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\exp tTL(a) \in \text{Aut } \Omega$  implies  $e \pm tTL(a)(e) \in \Omega$  for all  $t > 0$ . In other words,

$$-\frac{1}{t}e \leq TL(a)(e) \leq \frac{1}{t}e \quad (t > 0)$$

and therefore  $[L(a), L(a^2)](a) = TL(a)(e) = 0$ . By (3.2), we have

$$[L(a), L(a^2)](a^n) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

That is,  $a^{n+3} = a^{n+1}a^2$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows that

$$[L(a), L(a^m)](a) = a^{m+2} - a^m a^2 = 0 \quad (m = 2, 3, \dots)$$

and again, (3.2) implies

$$[L(a), L(a^m)](a^n) = 0 \quad (n, m - 1 = 1, 2, \dots),$$

which gives  $a^m a^{n+1} = a(a^m a^n)$  for  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . From this we deduce

$$a^m a^n = a^{m+n} \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

by induction, since  $a^m a^n = a^{m+n}$  implies

$$a^m a^{n+1} = a(a^m a^n) = a a^{m+n} = a^{m+n+1}.$$

This proves power associativity of  $V$  and therefore the closed subalgebra  $J(a, e)$  of  $V$  generated by  $e$  and any  $a \in V$  is associative.

Since  $\Omega$  is geodesically complete and the orbits of the one-parameter groups

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \exp tX \quad (X \in \mathfrak{p})$$

are the geodesics through  $e \in \Omega$  (cf. [27, Example 3.9]), we must have

$$\Omega = \{\exp X(e) : X \in \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

It follows that each  $a \in \Omega$  can be written as  $a = \exp X(e)$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $X$  is a linear vector field, identified as an element of  $L(V)$ . For each  $z \in V$ , define

$$\text{Exp } z = e + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots.$$

Then we have

$$a = \exp X(e) = e + X(e) + \frac{X^2(e)}{2!} + \dots = \text{Exp } x,$$

where  $x = X(e) \in V$ . By power associativity, we have  $a = (\text{Exp } \frac{x}{2})^2$ . This proves the first part of the following inclusions:

$$(4.13) \quad \Omega \subset \{x^2 : x \in V\} \subset \overline{\Omega}.$$

To prove the second inclusion in (4.13), let  $v \in V$ . We show  $v^2 \in \overline{\Omega}$ . By a remark before (3.4), there is some  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $a_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\lambda_0 v = e - a_0 \in J(a_0, e)$ , where  $J(a_0, e)$  is a commutative real Banach algebra in the order-unit norm by (4.8) (cf. [17]).

For each  $x \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$ , we show  $a_0x \in \Omega$ . Indeed, given  $a_0 = \text{Exp } z = \exp Z(e)$  for some  $z = Z(e)$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $x \in J(a_0, e) \subset J(z, e)$  and associativity of  $J(z, e)$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} a_0x &= x + zx + \frac{z^2x}{2!} + \cdots = x + zx + \frac{z(zx)}{2!} + \cdots \\ &= x + Z(x) + \frac{Z^2(x)}{2!} + \cdots = \exp Z(x) \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for  $y \in \overline{\Omega} \cap J(a_0, e)$ , we show  $a_0y \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Note that the cone  $\Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$  is open in  $J(a_0, e)$  and as before, we have

$$J(a_0, e) = \Omega \cap J(a_0, e) - \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$$

and  $e \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$  is an order-unit in the induced ordering of  $J(a_0, e)$  with respect to the cone  $\overline{\Omega} \cap J(a_0, e)$ . Repeating the remark before (3.4) for the cone  $\Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$ , one can find  $\lambda > 0$  and  $w \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$  such that  $\lambda y = e - w$ , where  $w = e - \lambda y \leq e$  and  $0 < f(w) \leq 1$  for all states  $f$  in the state space  $S_e$  defined in (3.7). The latter implies

$$f\left(e - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)w\right) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)f(w) > 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for all  $f \in S_e$  and hence  $e - (1 - \frac{1}{n})w \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$  by Lemma 3.2. Therefore the preceding argument yields  $a_0(e - (1 - \frac{1}{n})w) \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$  and

$$\lambda a_0y = \lim_n a_0\left(e - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)w\right) \in \overline{\Omega} \cap J(a_0, e).$$

Let

$$S_{a_0} = \{\psi \in J(a_0, e)^* : \psi(e) = 1, \psi \text{ is positive on } J(a_0, e)\}$$

be the state space of  $J(a_0, e)$ . Let  $\psi \in S_{a_0}$  be a pure state, that is,  $\psi$  is an extreme point of  $S_{a_0}$ . We show that  $\psi(a_0^2) = \psi(a_0)^2$ . Let

$$b = \frac{a_0}{2\|a_0\|_e} \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$$

so that  $\|b\|_e < 1$ . Then we have  $0 < \varphi(b) < 1$  for all  $\varphi \in S_{a_0}$  and  $e - b \in \Omega \cap J(a_0, e)$  by Lemma 3.2. One can define two states  $\psi_b$  and  $\psi_{e-b}$  in  $S_a$  by

$$\psi_b(x) = \frac{\psi(bx)}{\psi(b)}, \quad \psi_{e-b}(x) = \frac{\psi((e-b)x)}{1 - \psi(b)} \quad \text{for } x \in J(a_0, e).$$

This gives the convex combination

$$\psi = \psi(b)\psi_b + (1 - \psi(b))\psi_{e-b}$$

and therefore  $\psi = \psi_b$ , which gives  $\psi(bx) = \psi(b)\psi(x)$  for all  $x \in J(a_0, e)$  and in particular  $\psi(a_0^2) = \psi(a_0)^2$ .

It follows that  $\psi((\lambda_0 v)^2) = \psi((e - a_0)^2) = \psi(e - 2a_0 + a_0^2) = (1 - \psi(a_0))^2 \geq 0$  for each pure state  $\psi \in S_{a_0}$ , and hence  $\varphi(v^2) \geq 0$  for all states  $\varphi \in S_{a_0}$ , by the Krein–Milman theorem. As each state of  $V$  restricts to a state of  $J(a_0, e)$ , we have shown  $f(v^2) \geq 0$  for all states  $f$  of  $V$  and hence  $v^2 \in \overline{\Omega}$  by (3.8). This proves the second inclusion in (4.13).

The preceding arguments also reveal that  $\|v\|_e^2 = \|v^2\|_e$  since  $\psi(v^2) = \psi(v)^2$  for all pure states of  $J(a_0, e)$  and  $\|v\|_e$  is the supremum  $\sup\{|\psi(x)|\}$ , taken over all pure states  $\psi$  in  $S_{a_0}$ . Since  $v \in V$  was arbitrary, we have shown  $\|x^2\|_e = \|x\|_e^2$  for all  $x \in V$ .

In (4.8), we now actually have

$$\|xy\|_e \leq \|x\|_e \|y\|_e \quad (x, y \in V).$$

This follows from the fact that the map  $(x, y) \in V^2 \mapsto f(xy) \in \mathbb{R}$  is a positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form, for each state  $f \in S_e$ , and hence the Schwarz inequality gives

$$|f(xy)|^2 \leq f(x^2)f(y^2) \leq \|x^2\|_e \|y^2\|_e = \|x\|_e^2 \|y\|_e^2$$

and  $\|xy\|_e = \sup\{|f(xy)| : f \in S_e\} \leq \|x\|_e \|y\|_e$ .

Let  $a \in V$ . For all  $x, y \in J(a, e)$ , the inequality  $0 \leq x^2 \leq x^2 + y^2$  implies

$$\|x^2\|_e \leq \|x^2 + y^2\|_e.$$

Therefore we have shown that  $(J(a, e), \|\cdot\|_e)$  is an associative JB-algebra, which can be identified with the algebra  $C(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{R})$  of real continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{S}$  (see [16, Theorem 3.2.2]). Equipped with the injective tensor norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{inj}}$ , the complexification  $J(a, e)_c = C(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  identifies with the C\*-algebra  $C(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{C})$  of complex continuous functions on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Equip the complexification  $V_c = V \otimes \mathbb{C}$  of  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  with the injective tensor norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{inj}}$ . Then, for  $a \in V$ , the remarks at the end of Section 3 imply that the numerical range  $v(L_{a^2})$  of the left multiplication operator  $L_{a^2} : V_c \rightarrow V_c$  is contained in

$$v(a^2) = \{f(a^2) : f \in V_c^* \text{ satisfies } \|f\| = 1 = f(e)\},$$

where each  $f$  restricts to a state of the C\*-algebra  $J(a, e)_c = C(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Since  $a^2 \in J(a, e) \cap \overline{\Omega} \subset C(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{R})$ , we have  $f(a^2) \geq 0$  and in particular

$$v(L_{a^2}) \subset v(a^2) \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence the operator  $L_{a^2}$  is hermitian in  $L(V_c)$  and as in (4.11), the linear operator

$$(4.14) \quad S \in L(V_c) \mapsto [L_{a^2}, S] = L_{a^2}S - SL_{a^2} \in L(V_c)$$

is hermitian.

We are now equipped to prove the Jordan identity. Indeed, we have

$$[L_{a^2}, [L_{a^2}, L_a]] = 0.$$

by (4.12) and as before, applying Lemma 3.4 to the hermitian operator in (4.14) yields

$$\|[L_{a^2}, L_a]\|^2 \leq 4\|L_a\| \|[L_{a^2}, [L_{a^2}, L_a]]\| = 0$$

and therefore  $[L_{a^2}, L_a] = 0$ , proving the Jordan identity in  $V$ .

It remains to show that  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  is a JB-algebra and  $\overline{\Omega} = \{x^2 : x \in V\}$ . To show the former, it suffices to prove

$$-e \leq a \leq e \implies 0 \leq a^2 \leq e \quad (a \in V)$$

by [16, Proposition 3.1.6].

Let  $-e \leq a \leq e$ . We have already shown  $a^2 \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Since  $e \pm a \in \overline{\Omega} \cap J(a, e)$  and all pure states of  $J(a, e) \approx C(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{R})$  are multiplicative, we have

$$\psi(e - a^2) = \psi((e + a)(e - a)) = \psi(e + a)\psi(e - a) \geq 0$$

for all pure states  $\psi$  of  $J(a, e)$ , which implies  $\varphi(e - a^2) \geq 0$  for all states  $\varphi$  of  $J(a, e)$ , by the Krein-Milman theorem. Hence  $e - a^2 \in \overline{\Omega}$  since each state of  $V$  restricts to a state of  $J(a, e)$ . This proves that  $(V, \|\cdot\|_e)$  is a JB-algebra. It follows that  $\{x^2 : x \in V\}$  is closed and coincides with  $\overline{\Omega}$ , by (4.13).  $\square$

**Remark.** The proof of Theorem 4.2 reveals that condition (iii) in the theorem is equivalent to  $\Omega$  being a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone in the tangent norm  $\tau$  defined in (4.1). However, (iii) can also be equivalent to  $\Omega$  being a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone in another  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant tangent norm. For instance, the other tangent norm can be the Riemannian metric given in Example 4.5 below.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The Hilbert space direct sum  $H \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , with inner product  $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ , is a JH-algebra with identity  $e = 0 \oplus 1$  and the Jordan product

$$(a \oplus \alpha)(b \oplus \beta) := (\beta a + \alpha b) \oplus (\langle a, b \rangle + \alpha\beta).$$

We have

$$\{x^2 : x \in H \oplus \mathbb{R}\} = \{a \oplus \alpha : \alpha \geq \|a\|\}.$$

Its interior  $\Omega$  is linearly homogeneous [12, Lemma 2.3.17] and a Riemannian symmetric space in the metric

$$g_p(u, v) = \ll \{p^{-1}, u, p^{-1}\}, v \gg \quad (p \in \Omega, u, v \in H \oplus \mathbb{R})$$

(see [12, Theorem 2.3.19]), where  $\{p^{-1}, u, p^{-1}\}$  denotes the Jordan triple product.

One can define an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|_s$  on  $H \oplus \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\|a \oplus \alpha\|_s = \|a\| + |\alpha|.$$

When  $H \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is equipped with this norm, it becomes a JB-algebra and is called a *spin factor*, where  $\|\cdot\|_s$  is the order-unit norm induced by  $e$ . In this setting,  $\Omega$  is a linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone with the tangent norm  $\tau$  in (4.1), which differs from  $g$ . We have

$$\tau(e, a \oplus \alpha) = \|a \oplus \alpha\|_e = \|a \oplus \alpha\|_s = \|a\| + |\alpha|$$

whereas  $g_e(a \oplus \alpha, a \oplus \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\|a\|^2 + |\alpha|^2}$ .

The class of JB-algebras include the unital JH-algebras. Indeed, unital JH-algebras have been classified in [14, Section 3], they are of the form

$$(4.15) \quad A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

where each summand  $A_j$  is either a finite-dimensional unital JH-algebra or of the form  $H \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , and the direct sum in (4.15) is equipped with coordinatewise Jordan product and the  $\ell_2$ -norm

$$\|a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n\|_2 := (\|a_1\|^2 + \cdots + \|a_n\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

When the direct sum is equipped with the  $\ell_\infty$ -norm

$$\|a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n\|_\infty := \sup\{\|a_1\|, \dots, \|a_n\|\},$$

it becomes a JB-algebra. Finite-dimensional unital JH-algebras are exactly the class of finite-dimensional formally real Jordan algebras, which have been classified in [18].

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a proper open cone in a real Hilbert space  $V$ , with closure  $\overline{\Omega}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Omega$  is a normal linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cone.
- (ii)  $\Omega$  is a linearly homogeneous self-dual cone.
- (iii)  $V$  is a unital JH-algebra in an equivalent norm and  $\overline{\Omega} = \{a^2 : a \in V\}$ .

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) This has been proved in [13]. In fact, condition (ii) entails a decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}(\Omega) = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$  and the evaluation map  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \mapsto X(e) \in V$  induces an algebra product in  $V$ , as in (4.7). One can use the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.2 to derive the Jordan identity in place of the one given in [13].

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) This has been proved in [12, Lemma 2.3.17].

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) This follows from Theorem 4.2 since  $V$  is a unital JB-algebra in an equivalent norm by the preceding remark.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) By Theorem 4.2,  $V$  is a unital JB-algebra in an equivalent norm and

$$\overline{\Omega} = \{a^2 : a \in V\}.$$

Since  $V$  is a Hilbert space, it is a reflexive JB-algebra and by [14, Corollary 3.3.6],  $V$  is an  $\ell_\infty$ -sum of a finite number of finite-dimensional formally real Jordan algebras or spin factors, or both. Hence  $V$  is a unital JH-algebra in an equivalent norm.  $\square$

**Remark.** It follows from the preceding corollary that one can view linearly homogeneous Finsler symmetric cones as a generalisation of linearly homogeneous self-dual cones to the setting of Banach spaces.

**Example 4.5.** A proper open cone  $\Omega$  in a finite-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and Euclidean measure  $dy$ , can be equipped with a canonical  $G(\Omega)$ -invariant Riemannian metric [34]

$$g = \frac{\partial^2 \log \varphi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i dx^j,$$

where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega$  defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega^*} \exp -\langle x, y \rangle dy \quad (x \in \Omega).$$

The tangent norm  $\nu$  defined by  $g$  is not the same as  $\tau$  in (4.1). It has been shown in [31] and [30] that a linearly homogeneous cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is self-dual if  $(\Omega, g)$  is a symmetric space. We see that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Corollary 4.4 provides an alternative proof of this result, as well as extends it to infinite dimension.

## A. Appendix

In what follows, we provide some details of the fact that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \Omega$  of a Finsler symmetric cone  $\Omega$  in a Banach space  $V$  carries the structure of a real Banach Lie group, with Lie algebra  $\text{Kill } \Omega$ .

This crucial result follows from [21, 22]. To begin,  $\Omega$  is an open cone in  $V$  and a Banach manifold with analytic structure given by the identity map. As noted before,  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a Loos symmetric space with the smooth map

$$\mu : (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mapsto x \cdot y = s_x(y) \in \Omega.$$

Further,  $\Omega$  is equipped with an affine connection

$$\Gamma : T\Omega \oplus T\Omega \rightarrow TT\Omega$$

(denoted by  $B$  in [21]) which, by definition, is a morphism of vector bundles such that

$$(\pi_{T\Omega}, T\pi) \circ \Gamma = \text{id}_{T\Omega \oplus T\Omega}$$

and

$$\Gamma_x : T_x\Omega \oplus T_x\Omega \rightarrow TT\Omega \quad (x \in \Omega)$$

is bilinear, where  $\pi : T\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is the tangent bundle of  $\Omega$ , and  $\pi_{T\Omega} : TT\Omega \rightarrow T\Omega$  is that of  $T\Omega$ . The bilinear condition implies that, in the identity chart,  $\Gamma$  has a (local) representation

$$\Gamma(x, v, w) = (x, v, w, H_x(v, w)) \quad (x \in \Omega, v, w \in V),$$

where  $H_x(v, w) = H(x)(v, w)$  and  $H : \Omega \rightarrow L^2(V, V)$  is a smooth map into the Banach space  $L^2(V, V)$  of continuous bilinear maps  $V \times V \rightarrow V$ .

Indeed, the affine connection  $\Gamma$  on the Finsler symmetric cone  $\Omega$  is given by

$$H_x(v, w) = -\frac{1}{2}d^2\mu(x, x)(v, 0)(0, w),$$

which is geodesically complete, with the corresponding covariant derivative

$$\nabla : \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$$

satisfying

$$\nabla_\xi \eta(x) = \eta'(x)\xi(x) - H_x(\eta(x), \xi(x)) \quad (x \in \Omega),$$

where, in the notation of [21],  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  denote smooth vector fields on  $\Omega$ . The connection  $\Gamma$  is torsionfree and hence  $H_x(v, w) = H_x(w, v)$ .

It has been shown in [22, Theorem 3.15] that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\Omega, \Gamma)$ , consisting of  $\Gamma$ -affine diffeomorphisms of  $\Omega$ , carries the structure of a Banach Lie group, with Lie algebra  $\text{Kill}(\Omega, \Gamma) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega) : \exp t\xi \in \text{Aut}(\Omega, \Gamma) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , which consists of complete vector fields  $\xi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$(A.1) \quad d^2\xi(x)(v)(w) + d\xi(x)(H_x(v, w)) = dH(x)(\xi(x))(v, w) + H_x(d\xi(x)(v), w) \\ + H_x(v, d\xi(x)(w)) \quad (v, w \in V)$$

(cf. [22, Remark 3.10, Proposition 3.11]).

It follows that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \Omega$ , consisting of  $\mu$ -automorphisms of  $\Omega$ , is a Banach Lie group since, by [21, Theorem 5.12], and also [27, Theorem 3.6], we have  $\text{Aut } \Omega = \text{Aut}(\Omega, \Gamma)$  for a Loos symmetric space  $\Omega$ .

To conclude, we show that the Lie algebra

$$\text{Kill } \Omega = \{X \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega) : \exp tX \in \text{Aut } \Omega \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

of *infinitesimal  $\mu$ -automorphisms* is the Lie algebra  $\text{aut } \Omega$  of *derivations*, which are vector fields  $\xi \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$(A.2) \quad \xi(\mu(x, y)) = d\mu(x, y)(\xi(x), \xi(y)) \quad (x, y \in \Omega).$$

This has been proved in [26, p.84] for finite-dimensional connected Loos symmetric spaces.

**Lemma.** *We have  $\text{Kill}(\Omega, \Gamma) = \text{aut } \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the arguments in [21, Proposition 5.17]. Let  $\xi \in \text{Kill}(\Omega, \Gamma)$ . Then we have  $\exp t\xi \in \text{Aut}(\Omega, \Gamma) = \text{Aut } \Omega$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\mu(x, y)) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t\xi(\mu(x, y)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t\xi(x \cdot y) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t\xi(x) \cdot \exp t\xi(y) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mu(\exp t\xi(x), \exp t\xi(y)) \\ &= d\mu(x, y) \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t\xi(x), \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t\xi(y) \right) \\ &= d\mu(x, y)(\xi(x), \xi(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\xi \in \text{aut } \Omega$ .

Conversely, let  $\xi \in \text{aut } \Omega$ . We show that  $\xi$  satisfies (A.1). Differentiating (A.2), we get  $d\xi(\mu(x, y))d\mu(x, y)(v, 0) = d^2\mu(x, y)(\xi(x), \xi(y))(v, 0) + d\mu(x, y)(d\xi(x)v, 0)$ .

Differentiating the above with respect to  $y$  in the direction  $w \in V$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} (A.3) \quad & d^2\xi(\mu(x, y))(d\mu(x, y)(v, 0), d\mu(x, y)(0, w)) \\ & + d\xi(\mu(x, y))d^2\mu(x, y)(v, 0)(0, w) \\ & = d^3\mu(x, y)(\xi(x), \xi(y))((v, 0), (0, w)) + d^2\mu(x, y)(0, d\xi(y)(w))(v, 0) \\ & + d^2\mu(x, y)(d\xi(x)(v), 0)(0, w). \end{aligned}$$

We note that, by [27, Proposition 3.3] (cf. [26, p.74]), the tangent bundle  $T\Omega$  is a Loos symmetric space  $(T\Omega, T\mu)$ , where

$$T\mu : T\Omega \times T\Omega \rightarrow T\Omega$$

is the tangent map of  $\mu : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ , and we have

$$T\mu(v, w) = 2v - w \quad (v, w \in T_p\Omega, p \in \Omega).$$

Finally, putting  $y = x$  in (A.3), where  $\mu(x, x) = x$ , and making use of the preceding equation, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & d^2\xi(x)(2v, -w) + d\xi(x)(-2H_x(v, w)) \\ & = -2dH(x)(\xi(x))(v, w) - 2H_x(v, d\xi(x)(w)) - 2H_x(d\xi(x)(v), w), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (A.1). □

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggesting the inclusion of an appendix with an exposition of the Lie structure of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \Omega$  of a Finsler symmetric cone  $\Omega$ .

## References

- [1] *E. M. Alfsen* and *F. W. Shultz*, Non-commutative spectral theory for affine function spaces on convex sets, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1976), no. 172, 1–120.
- [2] *E. M. Alfsen* and *F. W. Shultz*, State spaces of Jordan algebras, *Acta Math.* **140** (1978), no. 3–4, 155–190.
- [3] *E. M. Alfsen* and *F. W. Shultz*, On noncommutative spectral theory and Jordan algebras, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **38** (1979), no. 3, 497–516.
- [4] *E. M. Alfsen*, *F. W. Shultz* and *E. Størmer*, A Gel’fand–Neumark theorem for Jordan algebras, *Adv. Math.* **28** (1978), no. 1, 11–56.
- [5] *A. Ash*, *D. Mumford*, *M. Rapoport* and *Y. Tai*, Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties, *Mathematical Science Press*, Brookline 1975.
- [6] *W. Bertram*, Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces over general base fields and rings, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **192** (2008), no. 900, 1–202.
- [7] *F. F. Bonsall* and *J. Duncan*, Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras, *Cambridge University*, Cambridge 1971.
- [8] *R. Braun*, *W. Kaup* and *H. Upmeyer*, A holomorphic characterization of Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Z.* **161** (1978), no. 3, 277–290.
- [9] *M. Cabrera García* and *A. Rodríguez Palacios*, Non-associative normed algebras. Vol. 1, *Cambridge University*, Cambridge 2014.
- [10] *É. Cartan*, Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l’espace de  $n$  variables complexes, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg* **11** (1935), 116–162.
- [11] *S.-S. Chern*, Finsler geometry is just Riemannian geometry without the quadratic restriction, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1996), no. 9, 959–963.
- [12] *C.-H. Chu*, Jordan structures in geometry and analysis, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.* **190**, *Cambridge University*, Cambridge 2012.
- [13] *C.-H. Chu*, Infinite dimensional Jordan algebras and symmetric cones, *J. Algebra* **491** (2017), 357–371.
- [14] *C.-H. Chu*, Bounded symmetric domains in Banach spaces, *World Scientific*, Singapore 2020.
- [15] *J. Faraut* and *A. Korányi*, Analysis on symmetric cones, *Oxford University*, Oxford 1994.
- [16] *H. Hanche-Olsen* and *E. Størmer*, Jordan operator algebras, *Pitman*, Boston 1984.
- [17] *L. Ingelstam*, Real Banach algebras, *Ark. Mat.* **5** (1964), 239–270.
- [18] *P. Jordan*, *J. von Neumann* and *E. Wigner*, On an algebraic generalization of the quantum mechanical formalism, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **35** (1934), no. 1, 29–64.
- [19] *W. Kaup*, Algebraic characterization of symmetric complex Banach manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **228** (1977), no. 1, 39–64.
- [20] *W. Kaup* and *H. Upmeyer*, Jordan algebras and symmetric Siegel domains in Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* **157** (1977), no. 2, 179–200.
- [21] *M. Klotz*, Banach symmetric spaces, preprint 2011, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.2089v3>.
- [22] *M. Klotz*, The automorphism group of a Banach principal bundle with  $\{\mathbf{1}\}$ -structure, *Geom. Dedicata* **154** (2011), 161–182.
- [23] *S. Kobayashi*, Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings, 2nd ed., *World Scientific*, Hackensack 2005.
- [24] *M. Koecher*, Jordan algebras and their applications, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1710**, *Springer*, Heidelberg 1999.
- [25] *J. Lawson* and *Y. Lim*, Metric convexity of symmetric cones, *Osaka J. Math.* **44** (2007), no. 4, 795–816.
- [26] *O. Loos*, Symmetric spaces. I: General theory, *W. A. Benjamin*, New York 1969.
- [27] *K.-H. Neeb*, A Cartan–Hadamard theorem for Banach–Finsler manifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* **95** (2002), 115–156.
- [28] *R. D. Nussbaum*, Finsler structures for the part metric and Hilbert’s projective metric and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Differential Integral Equations* **7** (1994), no. 5–6, 1649–1707.
- [29] *I. Satake*, Algebraic structures of symmetric domains, *Princeton University*, Princeton 1980.
- [30] *H. Shima*, A differential geometric characterization of homogeneous self-dual cones, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **6** (1982), no. 1, 79–88.
- [31] *T. Tsuji*, A characterization of homogeneous self-dual cones, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **57** (1981), no. 3, 185–187.

- [32] *H. Upmeyer*, Symmetric Banach manifolds and Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1985.
- [33] *E. Vesentini*, Invariant metrics on convex cones, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **3** (1976), no. 4, 671–696.
- [34] *E. B. Vinberg*, The theory of homogeneous convex cones, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **12** (1963), 303–358.
- [35] *D. R. Wilkins*, Infinite-dimensional homogeneous manifolds, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **94** (1994), no. 1, 105–118.

---

Cho-Ho Chu, School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London,  
London E1 4NS, United Kingdom  
e-mail: c.chu@qmul.ac.uk

Eingegangen 16. Oktober 2020, in revidierter Fassung 28. April 2021