1 The Brownian motion

1.1 Finite-dimensional distributions, Gaussian processes

A continuous-time process with time parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a family of random variables $(X(t), t \geq 0)$ defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. For any selection of distinct times $t_1, \ldots, t_k, (X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_k))$ is a random vector characterised by some joint probability distribution

$$\mu_{t_1,\dots,t_k}(A) = \mathbb{P}((X(t_1),\dots,X(t_k)) \in A), \ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k). \tag{1}$$

(which may or may not have density). Each $\mu_{t_1,...,t_k}$ is a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^k,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k))$, and these measures are *consistent*:

$$\mu_{t_1,\dots,t_k}(A \times \mathbb{R}) = \mu_{t_1,\dots,t_{k-1}}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}).$$

You know this condition from your Statistics courses: for instance, if $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_k)$ have a joint density, then 'integrating out' the last variable yields the joint density of $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_{k-1})$.

It turns that also a converse is true. Let $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ be the space of functions $x:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}$, with Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)})$ generated by the cylider sets $\{x:x(t)\in A\}$ where t is fixed and $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1.1. Kolmogorov's Extension Theorem Let μ_{t_1,\dots,t_k} be a consistent family of probability measures. There exists a unique probability measure \mathbb{P} on the measurable space $(\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}))$ such that (1) holds.

The practical implication of this result is that a random process is determined by a family of consistent finite-dimensional distributions.

Recall that a random vector (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k) has a multivariate normal distribution (we call such vector Gaussian) if each linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \xi_i$ has a one-dimensional normal distribution. In that case

- (i) vector $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)M$ is Gaussian for any $k \times n$ matrix M,
- (ii) distribution (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k) is uniquely determined by the mean vector $(\mathbb{E} \xi_1, \dots, \mathbb{E} \xi_k)$ and the $k \times k$ covariance matrix with entries $\text{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_j)$,
- (iii) if $Cov(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, then ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are mutually independent.

A random process $(X(t), t \ge 0)$ is called Gaussian, if the vector $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_k))$ is Gaussian for any selection of distinct times t_1, \ldots, t_k . The probability law of a Gaussian process is uniquely determined by the mean function $m(t) = \mathbb{E} X(t)$ and the covariance function $c(s,t) = \operatorname{Cov}(X(s), X(t))$; this is because of property (ii) of the multivariate normal distribution of each vector $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_k))$.

1.2 Scaled random walk

The general random walk is a sequence of sums of i.i.d. (independent, identically distributed) random variables. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \ldots be i.i.d. rv's with $\mathbb{E} \xi_i = 0$, $\operatorname{Var} \xi_i = 1$. By the Central Limit Theorem (CLT), the random walk $S_n = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} B(1)$$
, where $B(1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$,

and the symbol \sim in this context stays for 'the random variable has distribution...'. The convergence in distribution stated in the CLT means that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \le x\right) = \Phi(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can interpolate the random walk to a continuous-time (piecewise-constant) random process

$$X_n(t) := \frac{S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}}{\sqrt{n}}, \ t \ge 0$$

(setting $X_n(0)=0$), where $\lfloor z \rfloor$ is the integer part of z. The CLT above concerns the limit distribution of the sequence of random variables $X_n(1)$, as $n\to\infty$, which is the value of the random process at a sole time t=1. This has a natural generalisation. Fix times $0=t_0< t_1< t_2< \cdots < t_k$, and note that the *increments*

$$X_n(t_i) - X_n(t_{i-1}) = \sum_{j=|nt_i|+1}^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor} \xi_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

are independent, because the sums for $i=1,\ldots,k$ are over non-intersecting blocks of independent random variables. We now claim that there is a joint convergence

$$(X_n(t_1), \dots, X_n(t_k)) \xrightarrow{d} (B(t_1), \dots, B(t_k))$$
(2)

to some Gaussian vector. Changing variables the latter is equivalent to the joint convergence

$$X_n(t_i) - X_n(t_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{d} B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}), i = 1, \dots, k,$$

which is indeed true by the CLT, for independent random variables $B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$. It is natural to ask if this multivariate convergence (2) can be embedded into a more general

theory, which would imply, in particular, that the maximum $\max_{t \in [0,1]} X_n(t)$ converges in distribution to maximum of some random process, appearing as a limit form of scaled random walks.

1.3 Definition of the BM

We say that process $(X(t), t \ge 0)$ has independent, stationary incremens if for any choice of times $0 = t_0 < t_1, \dots < t_k$ the random variables

$$X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 1, \dots, k$$

are independent, and for $0 \le s < t$ the distribution of X(t) - X(s) depends only on t - s. The latter property is the stationarity of increments, which can be recast by saying that X(t) - X(s) has the same distribution as X(t - s) - X(0). A process with independent, stationary increments is also called a *Lévy* process, after French mathematician Paul Lévy.

Example The Poisson process $(N(t), t \ge 0)$ with rate $\lambda > 0$ is an important example of Lévy process. In applications the discrete random variable N(t) may model the number of arrivals (e.g. of customers in a service system) within the time interval [0,t]. This process has Poisson-distributed increments $N(t) - N(s) \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda(t-s)), 0 \le s < t$.

Definition 1.2. The Brownian motion (BM) is a continuous-time random process $(B(t), t \ge 0)$, such that

(i)
$$B(0) = 0$$
 a.s.,

- (ii) the paths $t \mapsto B(t)$ are continuous functions, almost surely,
- (iii) the increments are independent and stationary,

(iv)
$$B(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t), t > 0.$$

For times $0 = t_0 < t_1, \dots < t_k$, we have that $B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$ are independent rv's. Passing from the increments to the vector of values $(B(t_1), \dots, B(t_k))$, we see that the vector is multivariate normal, therefore the BM is a Gaussian process. The mean function is $\mathbb{E} B(t) = 0$. The covariance function is $\mathrm{Cov}(B(s), B(t)) = s \wedge t$ (where \wedge =minimum). The proof follows from (iii) and (iv): for s < t

$$\mathbb{E}[B(s)B(t)] = \mathbb{E}[B(s)(B(s) + (B(t) - B(s))] = \mathbb{E}[B(s)^2] + \mathbb{E}[B(s)(B(t) - B(s))] =$$

$$\text{Var}B(s) + \mathbb{E}[B(s)] \,\mathbb{E}[B(t) - B(s)] = s + 0 = s.$$

Conditions (iii), (iv) can be replaced by the equivalent condition

(v) the process is Gaussian with mean zero and $Cov(B(s), B(t)) = s \wedge t$.

To derive from (v) the independence of increments, compute for $0 \le u < v \le s < t$

$$Cov(B(v) - B(u), B(t) - B(s)) = v \wedge t - v \wedge s - u \wedge t + u \wedge s = v - v - u + u = 0,$$

and recall that, for normal variables, independence holds precisely when the variables are uncorrelated. A similar calculation with account of (v) implies that Var(B(t) - B(s)) = t - s.

There are some useful transformations, which map the BM to another BM.

Proposition 1.3. *The following are Brownian motions:*

- (a) time shift: $W_1(t) = B(t+c) B(t), c > 0$,
- (b) Brownian scaling: $W_2(t) = B(ct)/\sqrt{c}, c > 0$,
- (c) time reversal on [0,1]: $W_3(t) = B(1-t) B(1), t \in [0,1],$
- (d) $W_4(t) = tB(1/t)$ with the convention $W_4(t) = 0$.

Checking the BM axioms in (a), (b), (c) is easy. For (d) one can use the Gaussian property (v), but there is also need to verify the a.s. continuity at t=0; as a partial check one can verify that $\operatorname{Var} W_4(t) \to 0$ whence $W_4 \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$ as $t \to 0$.

1.4 Existence of the BM

There are various ways to construct the BM by manipulating a countable reserve of independent random variables defined on some probability space. We take a less constructive approach, just showing that the BM, as a process satisfying (i)-(iv), does exist. With the continuity condition (ii) omitted, the existence of the Gaussian process with required distributional properties follows from Kolmogorov's extension theorem, since the finite-dimensional distributions are consistent. However, the exitence of such process with continuous paths needs a separate proof.

Let us first use Kolmogorov's theorem to set up a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to define a process $(B(t), t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1])$ with time parameter running over the rational numbers within [0, 1]. If it turns that the paths are continuous on $\mathbb{Q}_1 := \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, then the paths can be uniquely interpolated to continuous functions on the whole [0, 1]. Consider

$$\Delta_n := \sup_{s,t \in \mathbb{Q}_1, |s-t| \le 1/n} |B(t) - B(s)|.$$

Proving $\Delta_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} 0$ would be enough to show the continuity. It is convenient to consider a better tractable quantity

$$Y_{k,n} = \sup_{s,t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right]} |B(t) - B(s)|, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

which gives upper bound

$$\Delta_n \le 3 \max_{1 \le k \le n} Y_{k,n}.$$

As a check, for instance, if $s < k/n \le t$,

$$|B(t) - B(s)| \le \left| B(t) - B\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) \right| + \left| B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) \right| + \left| B(s) - B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right|,$$

which explains the factor 3 above.

Clearly,

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \le k \le n} Y_{k,n} \ge \varepsilon) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(Y_{k,n} \ge \varepsilon) = n \, \mathbb{P}(Y_{1,n} \ge \varepsilon),$$

the latter by the stationarity of increments.

The process $(B(t), t \in \mathbb{Q}_1)$ is a martingale, because the increments have mean zero. To comply with the setting of Lecture 3, where we only discussed discrete-time martingales with time-range \mathbb{N} , we may just use the fact that the sequence $Z_1 = B(t_1), \ldots, Z_n = B(t_n)$ has the martingale property for arbitrary $t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ in \mathbb{Q}_1 . The function $z \mapsto z^4$ is convex, hence $(B(t)^4, t \in \mathbb{Q}_1)$ is a submartingale. Applying the maximal inequality (Proposition 1.13 of Lecture 3), we have estimate

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{1,n} \ge \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(\max_{t \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap [0.1/n]} | B(t)) \ge \varepsilon) \le \varepsilon^{-4} \mathbb{E} B(1/n)^4.$$

By the scaling property, $\mathbb{E} B^4(t) = t^2 \mathbb{E} B(1) = 3t^2$, as a consequence of the familiar moments formula $\mathbb{E} B(1)^{2k} = (2k-1)(2k-3) \cdot \ldots \cdot 3 \cdot 1$ for $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{1,n} \ge \varepsilon) \le \frac{3}{n^2 \varepsilon^4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \le k \le n} Y_{k,n}) < \frac{3}{n \varepsilon^4} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This implies $\Delta_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$, but since Δ_n decreases with n, we have a stronger $\Delta_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} 0$, as wanted. Replacing power 4 in our argument by other powers, we can conclude that

$$\sup_{t,s \in [0,1]} |B(t) - B(s)| < C|t - s|^{\alpha},$$

with some random variable C and $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. This property of the Brownian path is known as the local Hölder continuity with exponent α .

If function f is continuously differentiable on [0,1], then f is locally Hölder-continuous with exponent $\alpha=1$, where for C we can choose $\max_{t\in[0,1]}|f'(t)|$. The functions you studied in Analysis are all continuously differentiable, perhaps excluding some set of isolated singular points. Compared with that, the Brownian path is very odd function, because it is *nowhere differentiable with probability one*.

To see that there is no derivative at t=0, note that the process $(B(t)/t,\ t\geq 0)$ has the same distribution as $(tB(1/t)/t,\ t\geq 0)=(B(1/t),\ t\geq 0)$ (see Proposition 1.4, part (d)). But B(1/t) has no limit value, as $t\to\infty$, with probability one (see Exercises), thus B(t)/t does not converge for $t\to 0$, which along with B(0)=0 means that the derivative at 0 does not exist. The same argument together with the shift property (a) shows that the BM is not differentiable in any other point t>0, and with some more effort nowhere differentiability follows.

We sketch a construction of the BM based on a random orthogonal series of functions. The space of measurable, square-integrable functions $L^2([0,1],\mathcal{B}([0,1],\lambda)$ (λ - the Lebesgue measure) endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx$$

is a Hilbert space, in many respects analogous to finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n . A sequence of functions $\psi_k,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space if $\langle\psi_i,\psi_i\rangle=1$, $\langle\psi_i,\psi_j\rangle=0$ for $i\neq j$, and the completeness property holds, that is $\langle f,\psi_j\rangle=0$ for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ implies f=0 a.s. Then, for ξ_0,ξ_1,\ldots i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ -distributed r.v.'s, and ψ_0,ψ_1,\ldots orthonormal basis, the series

$$B(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi_j \int_0^t \psi_j(s) ds, \ t \in [0, 1]$$

converges uniformly and defines a BM on [0, 1].

1.5 BM as Markov process and a martingale

Define \mathcal{F}_t to be the σ -algebra generated by $(B(s), s \leq t)$. The family $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ is the natural filtration of the BM.

For $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ any measurable function and s < t we have the identity

$$\mathbb{E}[g(B(t))|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[g(B(t))|B(s)],$$

which is one way to express that the BM is a *Markov process* taking values in the 'continuous state-space' \mathbb{R} .

The distribution of future values of the BM after time s depends on $(B(u), u \leq s)$ only through B(s). That is to say, conditionally on B(s) = x (a present, time-s state), the future (after-s) values of the process are independent of the BM values $B(u_1), \ldots, B(u_k)$ for arbitrary choice of past times $u_i < s$. Note that given B(s) = x, the conditional distribution of B(t) is $\mathcal{N}(x, t - s)$, as is seen from the decomposition

$$B(t) = B(s) + (B(t) - B(s))$$

into independent terms B(s) and B(t) - B(s), therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[g(B(t))|B(s) = x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y)p(t - s, x, y)dy.$$

Here, the function of three variables

$$p(\tau, x, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \exp\left(\frac{-(y-x)^2}{2\tau}\right)$$

is the transition density of the BM. Viewed as a function of y for fixed $\tau > 0$ and fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, this is the conditional p.d.f. (probability density function) of $B(s + \tau)$ given B(s) = x.

Axioms (iii), (iv) in the definition of the BM can be equivalently replaced by the condition that

(vi) $(B(t), t \ge 0)$ is a Markov process with transition density function $p(\tau, x, y)$ for moving from state x to state y over time interval of length $\tau > 0$.

In our notation, τ is a dummy variable for the time increment t-s. That the transition density depends on s, t (with s < t) only through t-s is the feature called time-homogeneity of the Markov process. The BM is also state-homogeneous, in the sense that $p(\tau, x, y)$ depends on x, y only through |x - y|.

Choosing for g the identity function we arrive at the identity

$$\mathbb{E}[B(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[B(t)|B(s)] = B(s), \quad 0 \le s < t,$$

which means that $(B(t), t \ge 0)$ is a continuous-time martingale, considered along with its natural filtration.

1.6 Finite dimensional distributions

Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$. The joint p.d.f. of the vector of increments $(B(t_1) - B(t_0), \dots, B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1}))$ is clear from the axioms (i), (iii), (iv):

$$(y_1, \dots, y_k) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_j - t_{j-1})}} \exp\left(\frac{-y_k^2}{2(t_k - t_{k-1})}\right),$$

which is just a product of one-dimensional normal p.d.f.'s. Changing variables to $x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_1 + y_2, \dots, x_k = y_1 + \dots + y_k$ (and so $y_j = x_j - x_{j-1}$) and observing that the Jacobian of the linear transformation is 1, we arrive at the joint p.d.f. of $(B(t_1), \dots, B(t_k))$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^k p(t_j - t_{j-1}, x_{j-1}, x_j),$$

written in terms of the transition density function. This formula for p.d.f.'s of the finite-dimensional distributions is yet another way to express axioms (iii), (iv).

1.7 The quadratic variation

For function $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ the variation of order $\beta>0$ on the interval [a,b] is defined as the supremum

$$V_{\beta}(f; a, b) := \sup \sum_{i=1}^{k} |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|^{\beta},$$

over all partitions $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{k-1} < t_k = b$ of the interval [a, b]. We call $V_1(f; a, b)$ the variation of f, and $V_2(f; a, b)$ the quadratic variation of f on [a, b].

If f is continuously differentiable, its variation is

$$V_1(f; a, b) = \int_a^b |f'(t)| dt,$$

but $V_{\beta}(f; a, b) = 0$ for $\beta = 2$ or any other $\beta > 1$.

For the Brownian motion the situation is radically different. To save notation, let us focus on [0,1], and consider the uniform partition by points $t_j=j/n,\ j=0,1,\ldots,n$. We have as $n\to\infty$

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{n} |B(i/n) - B((i-1)/n)| = n \,\mathbb{E} |B(1/n)| = \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}} \,\mathbb{E} |B(1)| = \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \to \infty.$$

This can be pursued to show that $V_1(B;a,b) = \infty$ a.s., the variation of the BM is infinite.

Let us assess the quadratic variation of the BM. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{n} |B(i/n) - B((i-1)/n)|^2 = n \,\mathbb{E}\,B(1/n)^2 = 1,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |B(i/n) - B((i-1)/n)|^2 = n \operatorname{Var} [B(1/n)^2] = \frac{n}{n^2} \operatorname{Var} B(1)^2 \to 0.$$

Thus, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |B(i/n) - B((i-1)/n)|^2 \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 1,$$

and with some effort one shows that the limit holds in the sense 'almost surely'.

Using the scaling property, we see that the quadratic variation of the BM on [0, t] is equal to t. In Probability Theory the quadratic variation is also denoted by angular brackets, thus

$$\langle B \rangle(t) = t.$$

Warning. One should not confuse the variance and the quadratic variation. Formula Var B(t) = t features a single rv B(t). But $\langle B \rangle(t) = t$ is the quadratic variation accumulated over the time interval [0,t], which is the property of a path $(B(s), s \le t)$.

Written symbolically, the quadratic variation property of the BM is the differential rule

$$(dB(t))^2 = dt.$$

This underlies the formulas for stochastic integrals like

$$\int_0^t B(s)dB(s) = \frac{1}{2}B(t)^2 - \frac{1}{2}t,$$

where the second term appears due to the nontrivial quadratic variation. To compare with classic Calculus, for differentiable f with f(0) = 0

$$\int_0^t f(s)df(s) = \int_0^t f(s)f'(s)ds = \frac{1}{2}f(t)^2.$$

Literature

- 1. T. Liggett, Continuous time Markov processes, AMS 2010.
- 2. S. Shreve, Stochastic calculus for finance, vol. II, Springer 2004.

Exercises

- 1. Write in terms of the density functions, what it means for distributions of B(1/2) and (B(1/2), B(3/2)) to be consistent.
- 2. Show that the process $(X^2(t) t, t \ge 0)$ is a martingale for (a) X the Poisson process, (b) X the BM.
- 3. Give a detailed proof of Proposition 1.3.
- 4. For constants $\mu, \sigma > 0$ the process $B_{\mu,\sigma}(t) := \mu t + \sigma B(t)$ is 'a Brownian motion with drift μ and diffusion/volatility σ '. Show that $B_{\mu,\sigma}$ is Gaussian, find its mean and covariance functions. Also find the quadratic variation of the process on [0,t].
- 5. The process $B^{\circ}(t) := B(t) tB(1)$, $t \in [0,1]$, is known as the Brownian bridge. Find the covariance function of B° . Is this process Gaussian? Markov? Martingale? Explain your answers.
- 6. Prove that B(t) has no limit as $t \to \infty$ almost surely. Hint: it is enough to show that B(n) B(n-1) is not a Cauchy sequence, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 7. Let (c_n) , (t_n) be two sequences of positive numbers. Show that the event $\{B(t_n) > c_n \text{ i.o.}\}$ (infinitely often) has probability 0 or 1. What is this probability in the case $c_n = \sqrt{n}$, $t_n = n$?